St. Thomas East End Reserves Watershed Management Plan

May 2013



Prepared for:

NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program
USVI Department of Planning and Natural Resources
The Nature Conservancy

Prepared by:

Horsley Witten Group, Inc.







The STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project is part of a broader effort by the NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program to support the St. Thomas East End Reserves (STEER) through monitoring, use studies, and watershed restoration activities. This plan is supplemented by the 2013 STEER Watershed Existing Condition Report.

Acknowledgements

In addition to the Horsley Witten Group, the project team consisted of Anne Marie Hoffman with The Nature Conservancy; Rob Ferguson and Marlon Hibbert with the NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program; members of the STEER Core Team; and the USVI Department of Planning and Natural Resources. We would like to specifically recognize JP Oriol, Roy Pemberton, and David Smith for input during the planning process and for providing staff support. Anita Nibbs, Alex Holocek, Renatta Platenburg, Steven Hale, and January Murray, in particular, participated in watershed assessments. Steve Aubin and James Grum from the Virgin Islands Waste Management Authority improved our understanding of the wastewater system and landfill issues. Representatives of the Department of Education and Public Housing Authority facilitated access to schools and other public properties where potential restoration projects were identified. We also want to thank residents and business owners who took time to attend public meetings held during the course of the project and to show us issues in the field including (but not limited to): Drew Russo, Paige Passano, Frank Galdo, Mike Houk, Christine Luton, Greg Moorhead, and Jim Kellogg. Thanks also to all of the stakeholders who provided verbal comments on the Draft report. Buddy Lobue and staff at EPA Region 2; Lisa Vandiver, Lisamarie Carrubba, and Maria Dillard with NOAA, and Anne Marie Hoffman provided detailed written comments.

List of Acronyms

ACOE	US Army Corps of Engineering	MLWTP	Mangrove Lagoon Wastewater
APC	Area of Particular Concern		Treatment Plant
BMP	Best Management Practice	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric
BP	Building and Permits		Administration
CLCC	Caribbean Landscape Conservation	OSDS	On-Site Disposal System
	Cooperative	STEER	St. Thomas East End Reserves
CRCP	Coral Reef Conservation Program	TBT	Tributyltin
CZM	Coastal Zone Management	TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
DPNR	Department of Planning and Natural	TNC	The Nature Conservancy
	Resources	TPDES	Territorial Pollution Discharge
DEE	Division of Environmental Enforcement		Elimination System
DEP	Division of Environmental Protection	USFWS	US Fish and Wildlife Services
DFW	Division of Fish and Wildlife	USDA	US Department of Agriculture
DP	Division of Planning	VICCC	Virgin Islands Caribbean Cultural
DPW	Department of Public Works		Center
EAST	Environmental Association of St.	VIDE	Virgin Islands Department of Education
	Thomas-St. John	VIHA	Virgin Islands Housing Authority
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	VIHTA	Virgin Islands Hotel and Tourism
ESC	Erosion and Sediment Control		Association
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management	VINE	Virgin Island Network of
	Agency		Environmental Educators
LBSP	Land-Based Sources of Pollution	VIRG	Virgin Islands Recycling Group
IDDE	Illicit Discharge Detection and	VIWMA	Virgin Islands Waste Management
	Elimination		Authority
IGBA	Island Green Building Association		



Introduction	Т
Purpose	1
The STEER Watershed	3
Watershed Recommendation Summary	6
Recommendation #1: Bovoni Landfill	10
Problem	10
Potential Restoration Opportunities	11
Implementation Actions	12
Recommendation #2: Wastewater	15
Problem	15
Potential Restoration Opportunities	16
Implementation Actions	17
Recommendation #3: Stormwater	21
Problem	21
Potential Restoration Opportunities	25
Implementation Actions	
Recommendation #4: Pollution Prevention	29
Problem	29
Potential Restoration Opportunities	29
Implementation Actions	
Recommendation #5: Wetland Habitats	34
Problem	34
Potential Restoration Opportunities	
Implementation Actions	
Recommendation #6: Monitoring	39
Problem	39
Implementation Actions	40

Recommendation #7: Education and Stewardship	
Problem	
Implementation Actions	45
Recommendation #8: Watershed Oversight	48
Problem	
Implementation Actions	49
mplementation Strategy	50
Appendices	
Appendix A: Potential Restoration Projects by Subwatershed	
Appendix B: Subwatershed Management Maps	
Appendix C: Site Concept Sketches and Field Notes	
List of Tables	
Table 1. Summary of STEER Subwatershed Characteristics	3
Table 2. Summary of STEER Watershed Recommendations	7
Гable 3. Bovoni Closure Plan Schedule	11
Table 4. Sites for Wastewater Investigations	17
Table 5. Sites for Stormwater Improvement	26
Table 6. Sites for Pollution Prevention Activities	31
Table 7. Sites for Wetland Habitat Restoration	35
Table 8. Potential Properties for Conservation	37
Table 9. Sites for Community Education and Stewardship Activities	43
Fable 10. Hypothetical 5-yr Implementation Schedule	51



This management report provides watershed restoration recommendations for the lands surrounding the St. Thomas East End Reserves (STEER). STEER is a 3.7 sq. mile collection of marine reserves and wildlife sanctuaries that includes the last remaining mangrove lagoon on St. Thomas. Widely recognized as one of the Territory's most significant nursery grounds for commercially and recreationally-important fisheries, STEER encompasses Mangrove Lagoon, Benner Bay, Compass Pt. Salt Pond, Jersey Bay, Nazareth Bay, Cowpet Bay, and Great Bay. The STEER watershed is 6.2 sq. miles of upland area that drain directly to these waters. More information on the environmental, social, and economic aspects of STEER can be found in the 2011 STEER Management Plan and in the 2012 STEER Coastal Use Survey.

In 2011, NOAA CRCP sponsored a watershed assessment and planning effort to identify potential land-based threats to STEER. This project was conducted concurrently with a sediment sampling and biological monitoring project, and with a study of current uses within STEER. Collectively, this information will be used to inform territorial management decisions related to STEER protection and restoration.

Purpose and Limitations of this Plan

The purpose of this plan is to identify priority watershed management

recommendations and an approach to implementation based on three main objectives:

- 1. To meet existing federal and territorial public health criteria and water quality standards by reducing sediment, bacteria, nutrients, and other contaminant loading to STEER.
- **2.** To engage a diversity of local residents and businesses in STEER watershed restoration activities and inspire a sense of community ownership and activism.
- **3.** To support existing efforts to improve development regulations and advance sensitive habitat conservation goals.


This plan summarizes 8 key watershed recommendations, 39 strategic actions, and potential projects at 93 locations throughout the watershed. The information presented here is based on a review of previous studies and existing territorial planning documents, input from a subset of watershed stakeholders, and a rapid field assessment. The size and complexity of the watershed coupled with budgetary limitations and inaccurate mapping information necessitated a broad approach to the watershed planning process (e.g., limitations on the number of sites visited, number of public meetings held, extent of project concept designs and cost estimates). The implementation strategy is preliminary, and is expected to

evolve as funding opportunities arise, management priorities shift, and additional information is collected.

The following list summarizes gaps to fill in order to develop a more comprehensive watershed management plan:

- Evaluate conditions and opportunities on Great St. James, Little St. James, and on individual commercial/ industrial properties where issues have been raised, but assessments not completed;
- Further refine concept designs, cost estimates, and potential benefits of structural restoration projects;
- Collect information on the location, capacity, and condition of existing stormwater and wastewater infrastructure (e.g., effluent concentrations, extent of combined system, design of existing BMPs);

- Estimate the extent of impervious cover managed by cisterns and their capacity/drawdown for small storms;
- Estimate potential pollutant loading based on best professional knowledge of wastewater inputs, land use event mean concentrations, and other secondary sources (this will require calibration with existing TMDL models and available water quality data);
- Investigate the role of climate change and anticipated sea level rise on shoreline properties, groundwater, and rainfall;
- Review Bovoni Landfill closure plans; and
- Engage a broader cross-section of the watershed community in the management planning process (e.g., homeowner associations, DPW, EPA).



The STEER Watershed includes the land area draining to waters within the STEER boundary. The watershed was divided into 10 smaller subwatershed drainage units for ease of future management.

The STEER Watershed

The STEER watershed is one of the largest and, arguably, most heavily impacted watersheds in the USVI. It includes the Jersey Bay and a portion of Red Hook Bay Watersheds—extending eastward from Bovoni to Cabrita Pt., and northward to the ridgeline above Anna's Retreat and New Tutu Valley. The watershed was divided into 10 smaller subwatershed drainages, listed in **Table 1**.

This highly urban watershed is home to over 1/3 of the population of St. Thomas and is a mosaic of compact residential, commercial, and industrial uses including the Bovoni Landfill, Tutu Park Mall, and Heavy Materials quarry. Sited directly along the shoreline are the Clinton Phipps Racetrack, a dense string of marinas and boatyards, and half a dozen resorts and condominiums. The steep slopes of the interior watershed remain largely undeveloped, although a handful of planned residential developments are under construction or have been proposed.

Roughly 20% of the watershed is impervious, which is equivalent to over 800 acres of roads, rooftops, and parking lots. The vast majority of the developed area in the STEER watershed is not managed for stormwater; thus, sediments eroded from construction sites and other pollutants washed off impervious surfaces are carried directly to guts (or ghuts), ponds, and waters of STEER. Turpentine Run, which drains over 60% of the watershed, discharges untreated stormwater and sewage overflows directly into Mangrove Lagoon. The Bovoni Landfill is unlined, and the extent of groundwater contamination and leachate seepage into the adjacent

Mangrove Lagoon is unknown. Poor soils and steep slopes render a majority of the watershed unsuitable for convention septic systems, but less than a quarter of the developed area is currently serviced by the Mangrove Lagoon Wastewater Treatment Plant (MLWTP). A significant portion of the sanitary sewer system consists of old, failing pipe; is combined with stormwater drainage; and is often subject to overflows.

Table 1. Summary of STEER Subwatershed Characteristics

Table 1. Summary of STEER Subwatershed Characterist				ed Characteristics
Water-	STEER Sub-	Area	% IC	Impaired Waters
shed	watershed	(Acres)		(DPNR, 2010)
	Bovoni (BV)	531.4	15%	Mangrove Lagoon (bacteria, turbidity, temp.)
Вау	Frydenhoj/ Compass Pt. (FC)	193.5	23%	Benner Bay Lagoon Marina (bacteria,
Jersey Bay	Nadir Gut (NG)	385.2	15%	turbidity)
7	Nazareth Bay (NB)	117.6	28%	Nazareth Bay (turbidity)
	Turpentine Run (TR)	2265.8	23%	Mangrove Lagoon (bacteria, turbidity, temp.)
Redhook Bay	Cowpet Bay (CB)	91.5	39%	Cowpet Bay (DO)
Redho	Great Bay (GB)	68.2	31%	Great Bay (DO, turbidity)
Other	Cays	123.0	0	Mangrove Lagoon (bacteria, turbidity, temp.)
	Little St. James (LSJ)	36.4	14%	No*
	Great St. James (GSJ)	153.5	0	Great Bay (DO, turbidity)
Total		3966.0	20%	

IC = Impervious Cover, DO = Dissolved Oxygen *Waterbodies on LSJ not included by DPNR (2010)

More detail on existing conditions, hydrology, geology, land use and other watershed factors can be found in the supplemental **2013 STEER Watershed**


Existing Conditions Report. Given the intensity of urbanization and limited treatment infrastructure, it is not surprising that:

- Most of the bays within STEER are currently listed as impaired by DPNR for dissolved oxygen, bacteria, temperature, and/or turbidity;
- Two TMDLs have been established for dissolved oxygen and bacteria in Mangrove Lagoon and Benner Bay;
- Sediments in northern Benner Bay and Mangrove Lagoon are contaminated and have the third highest TBT concentration ever recorded by NOAA. Biological sampling shows that the health of benthic organisms has been negatively impacted in STEER;
- A federal consent decree has been issued for the Bovoni landfill requiring

- leachate interception, stormwater management, and other cleanup activities prior to closure;
- The Tutu Wellfield Superfund Site was established to clean groundwater in that was contaminated from gas stations and dry cleaners;
- Classic impacts of urbanization are observed in many of the guts and wetlands (e.g., sedimentation, water level fluctuation, channelization, water quality impacts, buffer encroachment, bank erosion, dumping, and loss of native vegetation); and
- Watershed impacts have translated into impacts on human health and on recreational and commercial uses of STEER (boating, fishing, swimming, diving, etc.).



are the 303(d) listed assessment units listed in Table 1.



This map from NOAA's 2012 STEER Coastal Use Survey shows where most commercial and recreational uses (fishing, boating, diving, swimming, etc.) occur. Red indicates more uses. They found that due to water quality impacts, historic uses of Mangrove Lagoon have declined.



groundwater treatment efforts at the Tutu Wellfield Superfund Site.

Watershed Recommendation Summary

Table 2 summarizes watershed recommendations and strategic actions that are described in more detail in the remaining sections of this report. Each section includes a description of the issue, an elaboration on each strategic action, and a list of next steps for moving forward with implementation. Table 2 includes a preliminary schedule for initiating actions in the short-term (1-2 years), mid-term (2-5 years) and long-term (5-10 years and beyond). Suggested partners for coordinating, funding, or technical implementation of each activity are also listed; however, there may be other potential partners not listed here that may also be involved (see discussion in subsequent sections of this report).

Tables summarizing proposed projects identified at 89 sites throughout the

watershed are provided in **Appendix A**. These tables are organized by subwatershed. Each table includes a site ID# and name, a description of the proposed project, an initial feasibility ranking, and comments on implementation. Ranking is not based on a formal prioritization process. It merely represents an initial assessment of feasibility based on property ownership, cost, visibility, and stakeholder priorities, and should be adjusted as more information is collected. Implementation of projects ultimately comes down to opportunity and interest.

Site locations can be found on the subwatershed management maps located in **Appendix B**.

Field notes and concept sketches for each site visited can be found in **Appendix C.**



STEER Watershed Management Plan

Table 2. Summary of STEER Watershed Recommendations

Table 2. Summary of STEER Watershed Recommendations				
Watershed Recommendation	Strategic Actions	Implement (years from 2013)	Key Partners	
#1 . Quantify and reduce water quality and wetland	1.1 Provide public access to regularly updated information on compliance activities, enforcement actions, and closure plans on federal and territorial agency websites.	1	EPA, VIWMA, DPNR	
	1.2 Conduct groundwater monitoring to quantify the amount, flow rates, and spatial extent of contaminated leachate reaching Mangrove Lagoon.	1-2	UVI, VIWMA, EPA	
impacts on Mangrove Lagoon from the Boyoni	1.3 Advance temporary measures to manage and treat stormwater runoff in the short-term where necessary.	1-2	VIWMA, DPNR	
Landfill <u>prior</u> to proposed closure in 2021.	1.4 In addition to the scrap tire removal requirements of the CD, develop a plan for restoring the fringing mangrove and enhancing the vegetated wetland buffer, where feasible.	1-2	UVI, DFW, NOAA, Bovoni Tire	
	1.5 Design and implementation of leachate controls (i.e., pumping or perimeter interceptor prior to treatment).	1-2	VIWMA, TNC, DPNR, EPA	
#2. Reduce nutrient	2.1 Provide immediate public access to monthly effluent monitoring reports and enforcement actions for small package systems by posting on agency website.	1	DPNR	
and pathogen loading to STEER through improvements to	2.2 Complete mapping of sanitary sewer and combined network for MLWTP. Collect information on pipe diameter, structural condition, invert elevations, manholes, outfalls, and suspicious discharges.	2-5	VIWMA, EPA	
sanitary sewer infrastructure and by addressing inadequacies of	2.3 Conduct inventory of on-site sewage disposal systems (OSDS) including system type and location, maintenance, and feasibility of sewer connection.	2-5	DPNR, EPA, local businesses	
privately-operated systems.	2.4 Identify and secure funding to replace failing pipes, disconnect the combined system, and extend sewer service to priority areas.	5-10+	VIWMA, EPA, DPNR	
	2.5 Develop a subsidy program for sewer service connection or advanced OSDS installation.	5-10+	VIWMA, DPNR, EPA	
#3. Reduce flooding, gut erosion, and water quality impacts through the improved management of stormwater runoff from existing and future developments.	3.1 Establish pollutant removal, recharge, and channel protection criteria for post-construction stormwater management for new development and redevelopment projects. Investigate new regulatory or policy updates to improve technical review capacity and mechanisms to encourage private properties to retrofit.	2-5	DEP, CZM, DPW, VIHA, EPA, NOAA	
	3.2 Enforce existing TPDES, Earth Change, and other environmental regulations through stop-work orders and/or mitigation. Clarify the mechanism for stakeholder reporting of observed violations.	2-5	DEP, CZM, BP, DPW, FEMA, ACOE	
	3.3 Complete mapping of stormwater infrastructure (e.g., catch basins, pipe diameter, invert elevations, culverts, manholes, outfalls, BMPs, and suspected illicit discharges). Coordinate with sewer mapping.	2-5	DEP, DPW, VIWMA, EPA	
	3.4 Design and implement priority stormwater retrofits and drainage improvements to improve water quality and reduce flooding and erosion problems.	2-10+	DPNR, DPW, VIDE, VIHA, NOAA	

Watershed Recommendation	Strategic Actions	Implement (years from 2013)	Key Partners
	4.1 Conduct site inspections at each marina/boatyard facility to document potential sources of TBT, boat fluids, and other contaminants, and to provide technical assistance in the development of a cost-effective and practical pollution prevention plan.	1-2	TNC, CZM, business owners, Blue Flag, EPA, NOAA
#4. Implement non-	4.2 Work with Heavy Materials to develop a plan for reducing sediment, equipment fluids, and wastewater loads from quarry-related activities (e.g., secondary containment at truck filling station, bathrooms for workers).	1-2	TNC, DPNR, EPA, business owner
structural and other pollution prevention measures to minimize exposure	4.3 Conduct site inspection of Clinton Phipps horse track to document animal waste management procedures and to develop a pollution prevention plan for the facility.	1-2	DPNR, EPA, operator
of trash, oil, sediment, TBT, and other chemicals to the drainage system.	4.4 Conduct site inspections at each automotive repair business along Turpentine Run Rd. and near Tutu Park Mall to document potential sources of stormwater contamination and to provide technical assistance in the development of a cost-effective and practical pollution prevention plan for each business.	1-2	DEP, business owners; EPA
	4.5 Retrofit trash collection/roll-off dumpster stations to provide secondary containment, covered storage, and signage announcing household hazardous waste collection opportunities.	2-5	VIWMA, DPW
	4.6 Work through the Inter-Virgin Islands Council to ban TBT used in products in the British Virgin Islands.	2-5	TNC, CLCC, business owners
	5.1 Remove trash and prevent dumping at key locations within the gut corridor.	1-2	EAST, VIWMA
#5 . Protect and	5.2 Enforce <i>USVI Buffer Protection Regulations</i> and require mitigation where recent violations occurred.	1-5	DPNR
restore existing wetland habitats through land conservation,	5.3 Conserve land surrounding priority freshwater and salt ponds (e.g., Herrnhut, Tutu Reservoir, Cabrita Pt.) via conservation easements, land acquisition, or stringent development criteria.	2-5	TNC, DFW, CZM, DEP
benthic restoration, and gut corridor enhancements.	5.4 Develop design plans/feasibility studies for Compass Pt. Salt Pond restoration, opening of Mangrove Lagoon false entrance, and removal of contaminated sediments in Benner Bay.	2-5	DFW, CZM, UVI, USFWS, ACOE, NOAA
	5.5 Implement priority gut stabilization and buffer restoration projects.	2-10+	USDA, DPNR, NOAA
#6. Develop a unified watershed monitoring program that integrates and	6.1 Develop a STEER monitoring plan that identifies key elements of known monitoring efforts, information needs and technical gaps, links to regulatory priorities, proposed future monitoring projects, and a data sharing plan.	1	UVI, DEP, DFW, VIWMA, EPA, NOAA, USGS
tracks various sampling efforts in STEER.	6.2 Update watershed residents on the status of the Tutu Wellfield Superfund Site cleanup and monitoring efforts.	1-2	EPA, DPNR

Watershed Recommendation	Strategic Actions	Implement (years from 2013)	Key Partners
	6.3 Install rain & stream gauges throughout watershed in order to correlate sedimentation with rainfall quantity and intensity.	1-2	UVI, DPNR, USGS
	6.4 Provide access on the STEER website to annual monitoring reports, trends analyses, and/or links to data.	2-5	TNC, UVI, DPNR, EPA
	6.5 Conduct illicit discharge detection and elimination sampling concurrently with sanitary sewer system mapping efforts.	2-5	UVI, VIWMA, EPA, DPNR
	7.1. Engage community centers and homeowners associations in a residential education campaign linking STEER (and human) health with proper maintenance of septic systems, vegetated buffer protection, and household waste disposal.	1	EAST, VICCC, DPNR, EPA, VIWMA, VIHA, HOAs
	7.2. Convene boating-related businesses and boaters to investigate benefits of participation in the Blue Flag marina program or other certification program.	1-2	TNC, DPNR, Blue Flag, NOAA, site managers
#7 . Implement a long-term education plan that provides	7.3. Convene resort managers workshop to discuss potential waste disposal, lawn care, visitor education, and stormwater improvements that could be advanced to improve STEER.	1-2	TNC, VIHTA, Blue Flag, resort managers
opportunities for residents and businesses to	7.4. Conduct a watershed tour for politicians and commissioners to highlight big ticket items.	1-2	DPNR
actively engage in watershed stewardship	7.5. Link recycling efforts to STEER watershed benefits and increase the number of household hazardous waste collection days and/or stations.	1-2	VIWMA, VIRG
activities.	7.6. Use demonstration projects (e.g., drainage improvements, storm drain stenciling, trash cleanups, rain gauges) at schools and community centers to educate and engage kids and residents in STEER.	2-5+	VIDE, DPNR, EAST, VINE, NOAA, EPA
	7.7. Increase overall awareness of the STEER watershed through storm drain stenciling, watershed radio series, and watershed signage.	2-5+	TNC, EAST, UVI, DPNR, DPW
	7.8. Target engineers, designers, contractors, and agency staff with training on implementing stormwater design criteria and green construction techniques.	2-5+	DPNR, NOAA, IGBA
#8. Establish a formal mechanism	8.1 Designate or hire a STEER watershed coordinator.	1	DPNR, NOAA, EPA
for implementation oversight.	8.2 Organize a STEER working group to serve as watershed implementation steering committee.	1	DPNR, EPA, TNC, UVI



Quantify and reduce water quality and wetland impacts on Mangrove Lagoon from the Bovoni Landfill <u>prior</u> to proposed closure in 2021.

Problem

The Bovoni Landfill is unlined, and over thirty years of subsurface seepage of contaminated leachate is thought to contribute to the observed die-off of adjacent mangroves. Lack of stormwater management controls indicates that rainwater falling on the site becomes contaminated before it drains into Mangrove Lagoon or into the groundwater. The historical expansion of dumping areas in the adjacent wetland has reduced the separation distance between the landfill and the primary fish nursery and the largest remaining mangrove forest in the USVI. Leachate seepage was cited by the USVI District Court as a cause of sediment contamination in Mangrove Lagoon. Air quality and other public health concerns are significant for surrounding residents.

A Consent Decree (CD) between the US Government, the VI Government, VIWMA, and Joseph and Zulma Hodge (owners of Bovoni Tire) was entered by the U.S. District Court for the Virgin Islands in 2012 for: 1) the continued operation of the Bovoni Landfill in a manner that may present "imminent and substantial endangerment to public health and the environment;" 2) illegal dumping of scrap tires in the adjacent wetland; and 3) failure to comply with 1998

and 2008 Administrative Orders on Consent regarding these regulatory violations. The Virgin Islands Port Authority (VIPA) is also a party to the CD for regulatory violations at the Anguilla Landfill. Until closure in 2021, VIWMA has been directed to operate the landfill in accordance with the CD and the federal municipal landfill operating criteria. The CD requires substantial engineering improvements to control stormwater runoff, leachate seepage, and gas collection, as well as:

- Implementation of a groundwater monitoring program;
- Implementation and maintenance of a program for detecting and preventing disposal of regulated hazardous wastes;
- Application of adequate cover material;
- Control of disease vectors;
- Control of explosive gases;
- Prohibition on open burning of solid wastes;
- Control of access to the Landfill;
- Control of stormwater run-off;
- Prevention of discharges of pollutants into waters of United States; and
- Prevention of bulk or non-containerized liquid wastes disposal in landfill.

The CD does not include explicit language regarding the impacts of landfill operations on STEER. A *Wetlands Impact and Compensation Plan* is required for submittal

based on the closure schedule (Table 3); however, other than scrap tire removal, specific measures for the restoration of adjacent wetlands or vegetated buffers are not detailed in the CD.

Table 3. Closure Schedule (from CD, Appendix B)

Phase	Task	Deadline
	Complete temporary road	4/15/12
	Submit Closure Plan Engineering Report	5/1/12
	Submit Wetlands Impact Minimization Plan	5/31/12
	Submit 2012 Closure Plan	7/15/12
	Submit Wetlands Impact Compensation Plan	8/31/12
	Complete Subsurface Debris and Earthen Fill Removal	12/31/12
	Complete Land Acquisition to West (unless not to be used for waste disposal)	6/30/13
1	East – Install storm water detention pond, storm water control	5/31/14
1B	East – Complete permanent roadway relocation, leachate interceptor system, sewer force main relocation,	5/31/14
2	North – Complete slope stabilization , detention pond, storm water control	12/31/14
3	West – Complete slope stabilization, detention pond, storm water control	12/31/14
4	Top – Complete fill/grade and storm water control	1/1/16
5	South – Complete slope stabilization and storm water control	8/31/17
	Permanently cease accepting waste at landfill.	4/30/19
6	East – Complete slope stabilization and storm water control	8 months after deadline above
7	Final closure – Complete well field adjustments, closure/fill/grade, impermeable cap & cover over entire filled landfill area	18 months after Phase 6 deadline

Potential Restoration Opportunities

Many of the mitigation requirements for the landfill are in-line with STEER watershed management objectives. VIWMA has indicated that the following mitigation activities are being considered, among others:

- Restoration of a section of white mangrove where previous dumping has occurred;
- Installation of a leachate collection system at the landfill boundary, which may include treatment at the WWTP, relocation of the service road, and additional encroachment into the adjacent wetland;
- Top cover and reshaping of the landfill, which will redirect drainage for a portion of the site out of the STEER watershed and into Bovoni/Stalley Bay;
- Installation of three permanent stormwater detention basins to manage surface runoff after final grading and cover have been completed; and
- Methane gas collection and control system and construction of a gas-toenergy facility (operational).

Closure design plans are currently undergoing revision, but VIWMA anticipates a final plan release at the end of 2013. At this time, details on the proposed control measures and wetland mitigation, if any, will be publicly available. Additional opportunities may exist for mangrove restoration and vegetative buffer enhancements, as well as temporary stormwater control measures in areas where permanent controls are not expected to be installed in the next few years.

Implementation Actions

Compliance with the CD and closure planning for 2021 will ultimately drive the schedule for implementation of restoration activities. The following actions are recommended for short-term implementation over the next one to two years:

1. Provide public access to updated information on compliance activities, enforcement actions, and closure plans on federal and/or territorial agency websites.

While EPA confirms that proper public notification procedures were followed prior to issuance of the final CD, many watershed stakeholders were unaware that a public comment period was open and suggested EPA expand its notification effort in the future. In addition, access to related documents (e.g., Administrative Orders, compliance reports, draft closure plans) has been difficult to obtain without formal requests through the Freedom of

Information Act. Given the relevance of Bovoni activities on the STEER, US EPA or VIWMA should post relevant documents on their website for easy public download within the year and commit to posting regular compliance updates as well. The CD includes a number of reporting requirements that include quarterly submittals to EPA, which could easily be used to meet this objective.

2. Conduct groundwater monitoring to quantify the amount, flow rates, and spatial extent of contaminated leachate reaching Mangrove Lagoon. In 2012, researchers from UVI began applying for grant funding to establish additional groundwater monitoring wells to better characterize leachate impacts. Letters of support and permission to access the site were requested from VIWMA. Since groundwater monitoring and control is a requirement of the CD, collaboration between VIWMA and UVI could be cost effective.



Over the next two years, UVI and VIWMA should work together to secure funding and initiate monitoring. This information will be important to quantify the impact of the landfill on groundwater and the extent and rate of seepage into the adjacent marine environment. Official wetland delineation has been completed. Results from this effort should help inform wetland restoration planning efforts.

and treat stormwater runoff in the short-term where necessary. The design details for proposed stormwater management practices are unknown, but based on the closure schedule (see Table 3), it appears that a number of detention basins have been proposed for installation. The capacity of these facilities to provide water quality treatment is unknown and will depend on their design and maintenance. The CD requires the immediate control of stormwater on site rather than waiting until final grading and

cover have been completed for closure. VIWMA should work with DPNR and EPA to develop and implement temporary stormwater management measures where controls may not be proposed for installation within the next few years. Temporary controls should consist of both structural and non-structural measures, as necessary.

4. In addition to the scrap tire removal requirements of the CD, develop a plan for restoring the fringing mangrove and enhancing the vegetated wetland buffer, where feasible. The CD does not specify mangrove restoration requirements other than removal of scrap tires. The CD closure schedule includes submittal of a wetlands impact and compensation plan; however, the proposed contents of this plan have not been widely distributed. Over the next two years, VIWMA should coordinate with DFW, wetland scientists at UVI, and the ACOE to develop a comprehensive wetland restoration plan.



This plan should include looking at options for mangrove restoration and/or mitigation at other sites, as well as enhancement of the vegetated wetland buffer. It has been reported that VIWMA is planning on moving an existing road further east towards the mangroves in order to achieve the proper slope for closure. Where further buffer encroachment is anticipated, additional effort should be made to improve vegetation density and prevent direct discharges of stormwater and leachate. Fencing or other barriers to public access may be necessary to restrict illegal dumping activities and promote vegetative regeneration. Increased buffer widths should be proposed for other portions of the property or elsewhere in Mangrove Lagoon, wherever feasible.

Investigate funding opportunities for restoration planning and implementation through the NOAA Restoration Center, NFWF, or USFWS.

5. Design and implementation of a leachate control system (i.e., pumping or perimeter interceptor prior to treatment).

Typically, two alternatives for implementing gas and leachate collection systems include:

1) the installation of drilled wells for both gas and leachate extraction; or (2) separate collection systems where wells are used for gas extraction and collection, and a gravity, perimeter trench system directs leachate to a treatment facility. A combined system may be the most cost-effective alternative; depending on how the existing gas collection system was designed. The closure schedule in the CD indicates that the leachate control system should be installed in 2014.





Reduce nutrient and pathogen loading to STEER through improvements to sanitary sewer infrastructure and by addressing inadequacies of privately-operated systems.

Problem

The source of water quality impairments in four STEER waterbodies is cited by DPNR as being related to overflows from the combined sanitary sewer network into guts in the headwaters of the watershed, as well as direct discharges into STEER from small package plants and vessels.

The Mangrove Lagoon Wastewater Treatment Plant (MLWTP) was constructed in 2002 to replace four smaller treatment systems in the upper watershed—Old Tutu, New Tutu, Donoe, and Nadir. It was designed to handle 0.75 MGD with a 1.2 MGD capacity, and discharges offshore to the west of the Bovoni Landfill. It serves a population of approximately 13,500 persons (Cadmus, 2011) over roughly 20% of the STEER watershed area. The MLWTP accepts septic system pumpout loads by private companies at a smaller, adjacent facility and has recently begun accepting marine vessel pumpout from one entrepreneur on a limited scale.

This improved sewage treatment was the primary recommendation of the Benner Bay/Mangrove Lagoon dissolved oxygen and bacteria TMDLs, which assumed that upgrades to an advanced wastewater

facility would significantly reduce water quality impairments in Benner Bay and Mangrove Lagoon. However, conversion of the system did not include replacement of the extensive pipe network throughout Anna's Retreat or the New Tutu Valley. The majority of these pipes were installed in the 1960s and have far exceeded their life expectancy. According to VIWMA, breaks in the system are frequent, manholes and joints are leaky, sewer overflows are common, and there is a significant amount of inflow and infiltration occurring (>30%). Portions of the service area are a combined storm and sewer system, but complete mapping of the sewer system is not available and no active program to eliminate sewage discharges into the stormwater system exists (although these measures are reportedly underway). Because much of the pipe network is behind homes and in heavily vegetated areas, maintenance access is reportedly difficult.

To compound the wastewater problem, approximately 40% of the watershed still relies on conventional on-site disposal systems (OSDS) to manage wastewater. Most of these systems (80%) are located on poor soils where there is a medium to high likelihood of OSDS failure. An inventory of

septic systems has not been conducted; thus, little is known about the condition of these systems or the feasibility and cost of sewer hookups.

In addition, a number of resorts/condos and commercial properties operate small package plants. Managers routinely monitor effluent concentrations and submit reports to DPNR as required; however, information on the capacity, effectiveness, or maintenance of these systems is not easily accessible.


Preliminary mapping of the wastewater system in the STEER watershed was presented in the **2013 STEER Watershed Existing Conditions Report**.

Potential Restoration Opportunities

A number of potential projects were identified by VIWMA, stakeholders, and

field crews related to reducing the impact of wastewater on STEER. These include:

- Future extension of sewer service along Route 38 to bring Cost-U-Less, Ft. Mylner Plaza, and other businesses or residences on-line (e.g., Whispering Hills development). The installation of a pump station near Tropical Marine and trunk line extension further east on Bovoni Rd. would allow the marinas/boatyards and residential areas in Frydenhoj/Compass Pt. subwatershed to connect (see map Appendix B).
- Replacement of failing pipes, repair of leaky connections, and disconnection from the stormwater system in Anna's Retreat and New Tutu Valley (specific locations not identified).
- Investigation and replacement of on-site systems that are suspected of failure, or illegal discharge of raw sewage (see Table 4).



Blue shaded areas represent approximate sewer service areas, where yellow lines approximate the main trunk lines. Dashed blue lines are proposed areas of service expansion. Green markers and purple shaded areas show locations of privately owned package systems. The remaining areas rely on septic systems.

- Installation of an alternative OSDS for demonstration purposes at a willing residence on Water Pt.
- Tracking and reporting of effluent monitoring results and maintenance logs at 11 small package plants (see Table 4).
- Continued support of vessel pumpout options and enforcement of illegal discharges.

Table 4. Sites for Wastewater Investigations

Туре	Site ID*	Name
	BV-5	Premier Wines and Spirits
Sewage	BV-10	Lew Henley's
discharge investigation	BV-12	Sweet Pie's/Laundry
and elimination	TR-8	Grandview Apartments
and/or	TR-38	Heavy Materials
structural	NG-5	The Patch
improvement	FC-2	Food Center
	FC-8	Compass Pt. Marina
	TR-3	Humane Society
	TR-5	Cost-U-Less
	TR-31	Ft. Mylner Plaza
Tracking of	FC-4	Independent Boat Yard/ Budget Marine
system effluent	FC-8	Compass Pt. Marina
concentrations	NZ-3	Secret Harbor Condos
and maintenance	CB-1/2	Anchorage Resort/ Yacht Club
	CB-3	Cowpet Bay West
	CB-4/5	Elysian/ Cowpet Bay East
	GB-2	Ritz Carlton

^{*} Site ID includes abreviated subwatershed name. See Appendix for location map and site description.

Implementation Actions

Wastewater system expansions and pipe replacements are a long-term capital effort

that will require upfront mapping, design, and permitting in order to secure the funds necessary for implementation. The following early action is recommended for short-term implementation over the next year:

1. Provide immediate public access to monthly effluent monitoring reports and enforcement actions for small package systems by posting on agency website. This is not intended to put an additional burden on facility managers, since they are already monitoring and submitting reports in compliance with discharge permits. To make better use of these reports and to improve our understanding of the effectiveness of these technologies in reducing impacts on STEER, DPNR or EPA should provide access to these reports by posting them on a website for easy download. DPNR should produce and make publicly available an annual report summarizing system capacity, average effluent concentrations and standards, number of water quality violations, and general maintenance or enforcement actions of all permitted systems.

The following actions are recommended for mid-term implementation over the next two to five years:

2. Complete mapping of the sanitary (and combined) sewer network for MLWTP.
Collect information on pipe diameter, structural condition, invert elevations, manholes, outfalls, and suspected illicit discharges. EPA and VIWMA reportedly have agreed to develop a comprehensive program to investigate, map and repair the collection system. EPA reports that the agreement is in a Court Order that should be signed by the District Judge in the very

near future. According to local engineers and VIWMA staff, some progress on mapping has been initiated. VIWMA should elevate completion of system mapping to a high priority over the next two years. Customers should be notified of the effort in advance to improve access in residential areas. Ideally, as much information should be collected on the system as possible so priorities can be set and costs estimated for maintenance, repair, and replacement of infrastructure.

3. Conduct inventory of on-site sewage disposal systems (OSDS) including system type and location, maintenance, and feasibility of sewer connection. Over the next two years, DPNR and EPA should conduct a septic system inventory to verify the location, type, and condition of existing OSDS in the watershed. Coordinate this effort with local businesses that provide pump outs and system maintenance.

Inventory crews should provide owners with educational brochures on proper maintenance, system replacement options, and information on sewer connection. This information could help determine priorities for sewer service expansion or direct pilot incentive programs for maintenance or system replacement.

In the <u>long-term</u> (5 to 10 years and beyond), VIWMA, DPNR, and EPA should make strides towards implementing the following:

4. Identify and secure funding to replace failing pipes, disconnect the combined system, and extend sewer service to priority areas. While VIWMA receives approximately \$2-4 million per year in grant monies to address sewer system problems across all three islands, additional sources of funding will likely be necessary to fully address the MLWTP system. EPA reports that repairs of some of these sewer lines



Bay. There are at least nine of these systems and two advanced OSDS in the watershed to track.

are included in an Order soon to be signed with VIWMA. Through the EPA grants program, some of these repairs are already being funded. Service expansion and pipe replacement should be put on the priority list for Capital Improvements as soon as possible in order to be eligible for funding in the next 5-10 years. The sooner mapping is completed and infrastructure priorities determined, cost estimations can be made. Because this infrastructure improvement can be directly tied to federal water quality impairments, there may be additional sources of funding available for this work (e.g., Department of Interior).

As part of sewer service expansion efforts, additional technical and financial assistance should be devoted to addressing issues associated with the MLWTP capacity to accept vessel pump outs. Vessel waste water is high in salinity and often mixed

with oil and gas, which is harmful to the bacteria used in the treatment process. VIWMA should work closely with EPA, the boating community, and other experts to address this capacity problem.

for sewer service connection or advanced OSDS installation. Pilot programs have been used in other US jurisdictions to incentivize maintenance, upgrades, and sewer connection through partial subsidies to homeowners and businesses. VIWMA and DPNR should brainstorm how a program like this could be established in the USVI, and target a residential area where soils are poor and OSDS failure was highest based on findings from the septic system inventory. EPA is involved in supporting alternative septic system installations in Puerto Rico and may be a funding option.





Between the restrooms and Benner Bay is the onsite wastewater system at "the Patch." The access manhole for this OSDS is less than 15 ft from the water's edge, leaving little distance for groundwater





Reduce flooding, gut erosion, and water quality impacts through the improved management of stormwater runoff from existing and future development.

Problem

When it rains on the STEER watershed, trash, sediment, and other pollutants (e.g., oils, brake fluid, fertilizer) that have collected on roads, parking lots, and other impervious surfaces is washed off into the drainage system. Either through a network of storm drains and underground pipes—or by direct discharge—contaminated runoff finds its way to guts, ponds, or the bay. Once vegetation is removed during the development process, exposed soils are subject to erosion, and rainfall is no longer absorbed by trees or allowed to seep into the ground. Over 20% of the watershed is impervious cover, which generates large volumes of surface runoff that can lead to flooding problems, gut erosion, and warmer temperatures of receiving waterbodies.

Approximately 60% of the watershed drains to Turpentine Run and ultimately into Mangrove Lagoon. This means that used oil dripped on roads from home car repair in Anna's Retreat, soapy water from car washes near Tutu Park mall, eroded sediment from Grandview construction, and human and animal waste deposited near the gut are carried into Mangrove Lagoon.

The source of water quality impairments in five STEER waterbodies is cited by DPNR as

urban runoff and erosion and sediment. This should not be surprising given that watersheds with as little as 10% impervious cover generally exhibit water quality, biological, and hydrologic impacts.

A number of techniques are used to reduce the impacts of urban stormwater:

- Avoidance—minimizing the clearing of native vegetation, loss of top soil, and creation of impervious cover is the best way to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated in the first place.
- Erosion and sediment control (ESC) temporary ESC measures during construction can help to stabilize exposed soils and prevent muddy runoff.
- Post-construction stormwater management—apply practices that capture runoff and either reuse it, allow for evapotranspiration by plants and the sun, or infiltrate it into the ground to reduce the volume of surface runoff leaving a site. Another option is to filter pollutants out of runoff before discharging it, or at a minimum, slow it down long enough to prevent downstream erosion and flooding. Cisterns and detention ponds are the primary practices used in the USVI.



The oil stains seen here are one of a number of pollutants collecting on impervious surfaces throughout the watershed that are washed into Turpentine Run and discharged into Mangrove Lagoon when it rains.



Discharge pipes visible on the beach drain parking lots, roads, and other developed areas in the watershed. In most cases throughout STEER, this runoff is not cleaned prior to discharge.



Two examples of existing stormwater management facilities are the dry detention basins at Cost-U-less and the wet basin at the Ritz Carlton.

Unfortunately—with the exception of cisterns and a handful of detention basinsmost of the existing development in the STEER watershed is not managed for stormwater. Cisterns intercept rooftop runoff for water reuse in most houses and businesses. This helps reduce the amount of rooftop runoff that then flows across more polluted surfaces like parking lots. The number and capacity of cisterns in use in the STEER watershed is unknown, and estimates on their overall contribution to stormwater management has not been measured. Cisterns are generally required in the USVI, however, the disconnection of existing cisterns and new construction lacking cisterns has been observed (including some public housing projects, for example). Reduction in the use of cisterns runs contrary to stormwater management objectives.

For the management of non-roof impervious cover, there are only six stormwater detention basins in the watershed that collectively manage less than 30 impervious acres (e.g., Tutu Park Mall, Ritz Carlton, Cost-U-less, PriceSmart, Home Depot, and Raphune Vista). In general, these facilities tend to manage only a portion of the site, are not designed to effectively remove pollutants, and may have originally been natural wetlands. Some appear non-functional.

More disconcerting, some new development projects appear to lack post-construction stormwater management all together. Numerous active construction sites were observed during field assessments where temporary and permanent stormwater controls were inadequate or absent. For example, Grandview, a 6-acre publicly-financed

apartment complex, lacks comprehensive ESC practices, and appears to discharge unmanaged stormwater from parking areas and roadways directly to the gut. Where practical, public construction projects should demonstrate the application of preferred design and construction techniques, serving as a model for private sector construction.

Another example includes three newly-constructed parking lots built without any stormwater management practices—one parking lot was constructed in a gut and none had required permit information posted.

Each of these examples represents a "lost opportunity" during the review and inspection phase of development to address stormwater and hold developers to the same standards expected in other parts of the US.

As stormwater from unmanaged development increases, downstream culverts must pass higher volumes of flow than they were initially designed for. This is no more evident than at the three culverts along Turpentine Run Rd. At the lowermost culvert, hillside development in Mariendahl adds uncontrolled runoff volumes to an already swelling Turpentine Run causing chronic flooding, road deterioration, and gut erosion problems at the culvert.

DEP is the delegated authority for administering the Territorial Pollution Discharge Elimination Program (TPDES), and EPA is responsible for ensuring that the program is in compliance with approved measures of the territorial discharge permit. The Division of Building Permits oversees the Earth Change

Program for construction activities. The permitting and review process for development projects differs depending where the site is located in the island's two-tier system. CZM and DEP are responsible for processing Tier I and Tier II projects, respectively, which leads to differing levels of oversight and enforcement. In many cases, additional environmental protection permits are also required related to endangered species or ACOE wetland jurisdictions.

Currently, there are no post-construction stormwater design standards in the USVI that state how much runoff must be managed on site or criteria for pollutant removal, recharge, volume reduction, or

channel protection. The 2002 **Environmental Protection Handbook** provides some recommended guidance for site design and stormwater BMPs, but this manual is not mandatory and does not necessarily reflect modern stormwater designs for a changing climate. The DPW has established road design standards as a matter of policy, but there are currently no design requirements mandated by the regulations. The 2001 Hydrologic Design of Highway Culverts by US Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration is a reference guide used by DPW and DPNR staff. IGBA and NOAA also provide design and construction guidance for "green building" in the USVI.



The lack of regulatory stormwater standards and clear design guidance is a critical gap in the USVI's capacity to protect natural resources from the impacts of development.

Potential Restoration Opportunities

Table 5 summarizes potential stormwater improvement projects that were identified during field assessments. **Appendix A** provides more information on each of these sites and an initial feasibility ranking of high, medium, and low. **Appendix B** and **C** show the locations and provide notes and sketches of proposed activities, respectively. The projects include:

- Seven sites where enforcement of stormwater regulations is recommended. This includes sites currently under construction; where stormwater infrastructure raises safety concerns; and where a review of proposed drainage plans should be conducted to ensure stormwater management is adequate.
- The installation of additional ESC measures at six sites specifically to prevent sediment loading from exposed soils and unpaved roads. ESC may also be needed on other sites listed elsewhere in Table 5.
- Specific locations where culvert repair and/or replacement is recommended to reduce flooding on roads and protect stream beds from erosion.
- Stormwater retrofits to manage existing runoff on 48 public and private properties. This includes the potential retrofit of existing detention basins to improve water quality treatment.
- Four proposed locations where opportunities exist on undeveloped

parcels for stormwater retrofits that can manage runoff from multiple locations. These locations may be priorities for acquiring drainage easements or purchasing land.

Implementation Actions

Given the total number of projects that would need to be implemented to show measurable downstream results, improved stormwater management at a watershed scale can be expensive and challenging. At a minimum, efforts should be made to prevent new development from further contributing to the problem. Likewise, opportunities to improve conditions during redevelopment and repair activities should be aggressively seized.

The following actions are recommended for <u>mid-term</u> implementation over the next two to five years:

1. Establish pollutant removal, recharge, and channel protection criteria for postconstruction stormwater management for new development and redevelopment projects. Investigate new regulatory or policy updates to improve technical review capacity and mechanisms to encourage private properties to retrofit. Agency staff and local stakeholders have been aware of the need for better stormwater regulations for some time. NOAA and EPA have been working on funding to support revisions to the Environmental Handbook that would provide an opportunity for engineers, environmental groups, and agencies to reach consensus on post-construction stormwater performance standards and design criteria that are practical for the USVI.

 Table 5. Sites for Stormwater Improvement

Type	Site ID*	Name
Турс	TR-4	Whispering Hills
	TR-8	Grandview Apartments
Enforcement		New parking lot in gut
or stormwater	TR-16	behind Curriculum Center
design review	TR-20	Four Winds Plaza
activities	FC-9	New parking lots
	NZ-1	Dolphin Cove
	NZ-2	Secret Harbor Estates
	TR-1	Flag Hill/Signal Hill Rd.
Fracion and	TR-38	Heavy Materials**
Erosion and Sediment	TR-41	Equipment storage area
Control	TR-43	Cheyenne's Excavating
	TR-45	Old truck disposal area
	NG-4	Tropical Marine
	TR-35	Turpentine Run Rd.
	114-33	Culvert (upper)
	TR-37	Turpentine Run Rd.
Culvert		Culvert (Mid) Mariendahl/ Turpentine
Replacements	TR-40	Rd. Culvert (lower)
·	NG-2	Gold Hill & Elm Rds.
	FC-2	Food Center
	FC-5	Fryd. gut/Rt 32 culvert
	BV-1	BCB School**
	BV-2	Thomasville Cooperative
	BV-4	Bovoni Projects
	BV-14	Boyoni Landfill
	BV-15	Mangrove Lagoon WTP
	DV-13	Alvin MacBean Rec.
	TR-9	Center
Post-	TR-10	DPW land across from
construction	111-10	Alvin MacBean
Stormwater	TR-12	Anna's Retreat
Retrofits		Community Center Tutu I High Rise/Gut
(public property)	TR-14	Confluence
property)	TD 15	Joseph A Gomez
	TR-15	Elementary School
	TR-17	Fire Station
	TR-18	VI Housing Authority
	TR-30	Edith Williams Alternative
	111-30	Academy
	TR-33	EB Oliver Elementary
		School

Туре	Site ID*	Name
Post- construction Retrofits (cont.)	TR-34	Willy George Community Center
	TR-44	Nadir Bridge Park
	NG-3	Nadir Ball Park
	BV-7	Texaco
	BV-9	Bovoni Center Storage
	BV-11	Gas Station
	TR-2	7 th Day Adventist Church
	TR-5	Cost-U-Less**
	TR-6	Home Depot**
	TR-7	Raphune Vista**
	TR-13	Faith Christian Fellowship Church/ School
	TR-19	Holy Family Church
	TR-20	Four Winds Plaza
	TR-21	Tutu Park Mall**
	TR-22	Merchants Com.Bank
	TR-23	Lutheran Church
Post-	TR-24	Innovation Parking Lot
construction Stormwater	TR-26	Mr. Rodriguez Auto Body/ Total Gas
Retrofits	TR-27	First Bank
(private	TR-28	Church Schools
property)	TR-32	Price Smart**
,,	TR-47	Clinton Phipps Racetrack
	TR-51	Behind old humane society
	NG-5	The Patch
	FC-2	Food Center
	FC-3	East End boat yard
	FC-4	Independent Boat Yard/ Budget Marine**
	FC-8	Compass Pt. Marina
	NZ-3	Secret Harbor Condos
	CB-1	Anchorage Resort
	CB-2	Yacht Club
	CB-4	Elysian
	CB-5	Cowpet Bay East
_	GB-2	Ritz Carlton**
Easements/	BV-3	Wooded area below BV-2
land	BV-8	Luton Storage Area
acquisition targets for	TR-31	Smith Bay Rd./ Ft. Mylner Plaza
stormwater	TR-46	Lima Property
* Site ID include	s abreviate	d subwatershed name.

^{*} Site ID includes abreviated subwatershed name. See Appendix for location map and description.

^{**}Sites with existing stormwater facilities.

These standards, for example, would outline how much rainfall needs to be managed at a site for given return intervals; pollutant removal targets; infiltration requirements; and practice sizing calculation methods and design criteria needed to meet performance standards. Adopting clear standards will help engineers design better stormwater facilities; provide consistent guidance to reviewers on how to evaluate proposed projects; and incentivize site design techniques that minimize the amount of stormwater generated on site to begin with. DEP should be the lead on developing stormwater criteria, with extensive participation by knowledgeable CZM and DPW staff. VIHA also should be included in the effort, given their involvement in the development and financing of new public housing projects.

In addition DEP and EPA should consider alternatives for expanding technical review capacity and encourage private properties to retrofit, such as:

- Provisions to allow third-party peer reviews of proposed plans at a cost to the Applicant. This practice helps supplement overburdened agency staff, while educating all parties on how to best apply stormwater management requirements on individual sites.
- Expanded EPA involvement to provide additional technical assistance for stormwater reviews and site inspections.
- Issue retro-active permits requiring private properties with unmanaged impervious cover (e.g., >1 acre) to retrofit where necessary to meet water quality standards. This is being done in New England by EPA Region 1; perhaps there is some adaptation of this

- approach that could be used to engage private properties in the USVI in stormwater retrofitting.
- 2. Enforce existing TPDES, Earth Change, and other environmental regulations through stop-work orders and/or mitigation. Clarify mechanism for stakeholder reporting of observed violations. Consistent enforcement of environmental regulations during permitting and construction is critical. DEP, DEE, CZM, BP, DPW, and EPA should reach agreement on how to improve enforcement of existing regulations, particularly as relates to increased inspection frequency and meaningful mitigation penalties. Otherwise, DPNR and EPA may leave themselves vulnerable to actions for failure to enforce stormwater discharge provisions of the federal Clean Water Act and associated Territorial laws. Where FEMA, ACOE, and USFWS are involved in development projects additional enforcement support may be avialable.
- 3. Complete mapping of stormwater infrastructure (e.g., catch basins, pipe diameter, invert elevations, culverts, manholes, outfalls, BMPs, and suspected illicit discharges). DEP and DPW should work with VIWMA and EPA to map stormwater infrastructure in coordination with current sanitary sewer mapping efforts. Each agency has internal knowledge of where existing BMPs, drainpipes, outfalls and culverts are located, but information sharing is limited. Departmental tracking of the maintenance and condition of existing facilities should be a long-term stormwater program goal.

Over the <u>long-term</u> (10 years+), DPNR, DPW, VIDE, and VIHA should coordinate with appropriate federal partners to:

4. Design and implement priority stormwater retrofits and drainage improvements to improve water quality and reduce flooding and erosion problems. The list of opportunities provided herein is not exclusive and lacks design detail. A review of the list will show that there are a significant number of opportunities on publicly-owned properties (e.g., schools, public housing sites, parks, and road rightsof-way). If there are sites of interest, further investigation of those sites is recommended in order to develop a design plan sufficient to determine feasibility, cost, pollutant load reduction estimates, and permitting requirements. Having design

plans already prepared can come in handy as grant funding becomes available for implementation of shovel-ready projects.

EPA's 319 program and capital improvement grants, CZM funds, NFWF, FEMA, USFWS, and NOAA are potential sources of future grant funding for urban stormwater retrofitting, particularly where impaired waters, sensitive habitats, or flooding issues are involved. Where retrofits can be associated with road runoff or culvert replacement, the Department of Transportation may offer funding.

Applicants and reviewers should keep retrofitting opportunities in mind as new permit requests for redevelopment or repair are made at individual, private sites.



Retrofitting can help improve existing conditions. An easy fix at Home Depot is to block this inlet and allow parking lot runoff to flow into landscaping via curb cuts, allowing for plant uptake, infiltration, and filtering.



Implement pollution prevention measures to minimize exposure of trash, oil, sediment, TBT, and other chemicals to the drainage system.

Problem

No drive through the STEER watershed is complete without observations of scattered solid waste and debris, leaky grease traps, and the outdoor storage of unidentified liquids just waiting for the next rain event to make their way into Turpentine Run. Fortunately, pollution prevention is one of the most proactive and cost-effective ways of improving water quality. Pollution prevention requires: 1) identifying pollutants on a site with a high potential of coming into contact with stormwater; and 2) changing behaviors or implementing simple solutions to prevent that exposure. Examples include dumping wash water down the sink instead of into the gutter on the road, covering dumpsters and outdoor storage areas, storing buckets of oil or other fluids within a secondary containment unit, and moving operations away from guts and storm drain inlets.

Pollution prevention can be done on any property, though commercial and industrial "hotspots" tend to generate the highest concentrations of some pollutants. In the STEER watershed, there are a few key commercial activities where an emphasis on pollution prevention is recommended: quarry operations, car-related businesses, marinas and boat repair yards,


resorts/condos, and the horse track. Trash collection stations are a highly visible site where pollution prevention measures can be implemented. VIWMA can play a significant role in not only spreading the word about pollution prevention, but also by providing watershed residents and businesses with options for disposing of solid waste and collection of hazardous materials often.

Potential Restoration Opportunities

A number of specific sites were identified during field assessments where opportunities for pollution prevention measures were observed. **Table 6** divides sites up into the following general categories, recognizing that a wider range of opportunities may exist at many of these sites:

• Improved dumpster management and outdoor storage—these sites offer opportunities to cover or relocate dumpsters and to provide secondary containment for hazardous materials or other pollutants. Covers reduce the amount of direct rainfall onto materials and secondary containment provides a barrier to leaking containers. Placing materials in a shed, elevating them or moving them away from drains are relatively inexpensive solutions.





- Collection and disposal of fluids from vehicle maintenance activities whether at car repair shops, fleet storage areas, or at home, some options include: providing a designated location for all repair work where fluids can be drained and properly disposed; cleaning up spills; and disconnecting floor drains from the stormwater system.
- Structural maintenance—includes paying attention to the chemicals and procedures used for cleaning buildings, resurfacing roads and parking lots, and general repair.
- Disposal of wash water—generally related to the best procedures for disposing of dirty mop buckets and the selection of cleaning products used. Commercial car washes should not discharge to the storm drain system without some form of advanced treatment or they should be connected to the sanitary sewer system).
- Boating-related practices—a variety of industry-specific practices that could help reduce impact of boat repair and maintenance operations on water quality, such as designated areas for changing hydrologic fluids and disposing of used oil and filters; vacuums, ground tarps, and covers for paint stripping areas; and absorbent pads and booms for spill cleanup/response.
- Proper landscape management—limited application of fertilizers, spray irrigation, pesticides, or other chemicals to turf and landscaping, as well as targeted irrigation can help prevent pollution (not included in Table 6).

Table 6. Sites for Pollution Prevention Actvities

Type	Site ID*	Name
	BV-1	BCB School
	BV-4	Bovoni Projects
	BV-11	Gas Station
	BV-13	Unregulated Dumping Site
Dumpster	BV-14	Bovoni Landfill
management and outdoor	TR-24	Innovation Parking Lot
material	TR-41	Equipment storage area
storage	TR-42	Dumpsters/ collection station
	TR-43	Cheyenne's Excavating
	TR-45	Old truck disposal area
	TR-48	Trash collection station
	BV-2	Thomasville Cooperative
	BV-9	Bovoni Center Storage
	TR-18	VI Housing Authority
	TR-24	Innovation Parking Lot
Vehicle	TR-25	Auto/tire hotspot
maintenance	TR-26	Mr. Rodriguez Auto Body/ Total Gas
	TR-36	Auto Salvage Yard
	TR-39	The Best Tires
	CB-3	Cowpet Bay West
Structural maintenance	GB-1	Water Point
	BV-6	Bulk Storage
	BV-10	Lew Henley's
Proper	BV-12	Sweet Pie's/Laundromat
disposal of	TR-5	Cost-U-Less
wash water	TR-20	Four Winds Plaza
and other	TR-38	Heavy Materials
	TR-39	Best Car Wash
	TR-47	Clinton Phipps Racetrack
	TR-50	Derelict Vessels
	NG-4	Tropical Marine
Boating-	NG-5	The Patch
related	FC-1	Saga Haven Marina
practices	FC-3	East End Boat Park
	FC-4	Independent/Budget Mar.
	FC-8	Compass Pt. Marina
Proper disposal of wash water and other Boating-related practices	TR-39 CB-3 GB-1 BV-6 BV-10 BV-12 TR-5 TR-20 TR-38 TR-39 TR-47 TR-50 NG-4 NG-5 FC-1 FC-3 FC-4 FC-8	Auto Salvage Yard The Best Tires Cowpet Bay West Water Point Bulk Storage Lew Henley's Sweet Pie's/Laundromat Cost-U-Less Four Winds Plaza Heavy Materials Best Car Wash Clinton Phipps Racetrack Derelict Vessels Tropical Marine The Patch Saga Haven Marina East End Boat Park Independent/Budget Mar.

^{*} Site ID includes abreviated subwatershed name. See Appendix for location map and description.

Implementation Actions

Once aware of the issues, owners and operators should have the most knowledge of their on-site materials and procedures that may inadvertently lead to contaminated runoff. They are ultimately responsible for the implementation of voluntary and regulated pollution prevention measures on their properties.

Therefore, in the short-term, we recommend that TNC and DPNR's Small Business Environmental Assistance Program coordinate on providing technical support and/or training for willing business owners in the development of pollution prevention plans specific to their property. DPNR could also assist by providing adaptable, industry-specific pollution prevention plan template that prompts businesses to evaluate and address common issues. Many of these efforts will be linked with education priorities as well.

Over the next two years, this could include the following actions:

1. Conduct site inspections at each marina/boatyard facility to document potential sources of TBT, boat fluids, and other contaminants, and to provide technical assistance in the development of a cost-effective and practical pollution prevention plan. We recommend starting with the key properties including Independent Boatyard (which will also provide ideas for potential solutions), Compass Pt., East End, and the Patch. DPNR, EPA, and the Blue Flag program coordinator in USVI could provide technical assistance. Crown Bay Marina on STT is a member of the Blue Flag marina program, and may be able to offer some technical advice on assessment and planning. NOAA's Clean Marina Program also has assessment checklists that could be used. The Coast Guard may also be able to provide technical assistance.



- 2. Work with Heavy Materials to reduce sediment, equipment fluids, and wastewater loads from quarry-related activities (e.g., secondary containment at truck filling and wash stations, bathrooms for workers). TNC has already been in contact with managers regarding potential restoration activities. Start by reviewing copies of the original pollution prevention plan submitted under the approved, TPDES industrial discharge permit for the site.
- 3. Conduct site inspection of Clinton Phipps horse track to document animal waste management procedures and to develop a pollution prevention plan for the facility. This location houses a dozen horses at any given time. Investigate the procedures for handling horse waste, as well as the solid waste generated by large crowds to determine if pollution prevention options are available.
- 4. Conduct site inspections at each automotive repair businesses along Turpentine Run Rd. and near Tutu Park Mall to document potential sources of stormwater contamination and to provide technical assistance in the development of a cost-effective and practical pollution prevention plan for each business. Given time constraints, many of these individual sites were not investigated during field assessments.

The remaining actions are proposed for mid-term implementation over the next 2-5 years.

5. Retrofit trash collection/roll-off dumpster stations to provide secondary containment, covered storage, and signage announcing household hazardous waste

- collection opportunities. There are a number of locations where roll-off dumpsters are located adjacent to wetlands. These dumpster are uncovered, and trash often overflows or is blown into adjacent wetlands. VIWMA and DPW (where appropriate) should investigate options for installing covered areas with fencing or other containment design. These sites are frequently visited by watershed residents and would be good locations to publicize recycling programs and household hazardous waste collection opportunities.
- **6.** Work through the Inter-Virgin Islands Council to ban TBT used in products in the British Virgin Islands. With the weight of compelling evidence provided by the sediment contaminants monitoring study, boating supply and repair businesses, TNC, CLCC, and boaters in STEER should work with DPNR to raise the issue of TBT to the Governor's office and formally petition the British Virgin Islands to stop the sale of products with TBT and identify preferred paint alternatives.



Protect and restore existing wetland habitats through land conservation, benthic restoration, and gut corridor enhancements.

Problem

The mangroves in STEER represent the largest remaining mangrove forest in the USVI. Sediment and biological sampling of the benthic community has shown that this ecosystem has been measurably impacted by land-based sources of pollution. Many of the remaining salt and freshwater ponds have reduced capacity and other water quality problems due to stormwater contributions. The fringing mangroves of these systems, as well as the terrestrial vegetated buffers, have been encroached upon by development and illegal dumping activities.

Turpentine Run, while not the only gut in the STEER watershed, is the largest and the only with perennial flow. It displays typical characteristics of an urban stream corridor impacted by changes in water quality, morphology, and hydrology. Given the paucity of information on the ecology of guts in the USVI, the consequences of instream habitat degradation on intermittent and perennial guts is not well known. Conversely, the capacity of guts to transport harmful sediment and pollutants to ponds and nearshore waters is much better documented.

The USVI Buffer Protection Regulations require a minimum 25-30 ft undisturbed

vegetated buffer from the edge or center, respectively, of guts. This requirement is intended to protect property and structures from flooding issues by requiring a minimal setback, but it is also intended to maintain a vegetated gut corridor important for wildlife. Adherence to this requirement is not regularly enforced. In fact, recent construction of a parking lot in the gut was observed behind the Curriculum Center and Fire station during the watershed assessment. This project involved relocating the gut and installing weir barriers within the channel.

DFW, UVI, and others have inventoried the wetland habitats of the USVI and identified priorities for wetland protection and restoration. Some of the following key management recommendations from the 2005 USVI Marine Resources and Fisheries Strategic Plan, 2006 Draft Wetlands Conservation Plan, and 2008 Strategy for Management of Ghuts in the USVI include:

- Mangrove Lagoon/Benner Bay; Compass Pt. and Cabrita Pt. salt ponds; a fresh pond at the Humane Society and Hernhutt; and the Tutu Reservoir are priorities for conservation;
- Turpentine Run and Nadir guts are of significant interest for research and restoration;
- Restoration of pond functionality may involve dredging, but should also

- include mangrove restoration, buffer enhancements, and improved recreational access;
- Addressing land-based sources of pollution in the watershed areas draining to each wetland and gut is necessary, particularly wastewater and stormwater discharges;
- Removing derelict vessels and wastewater discharges from boats; and
- Reduced lighting around ponds.

These habitats not only have a value for wildlife, but also provide recreational and historical interests for East End residents.

Potential Restoration Opportunities

A number of specific sites were identified by DFW staff, other stakeholders, and field crews where opportunities exist for wetland habitat restoration and protection. **Table 7** divides sites up into the following general categories:

- Gut corridor restoration and trash removal—opportunities for reestablishing natural gut channels; stabilizing eroding banks; or enhancing the vegetated buffer through trash cleanups, blocking vehicular access, invasive plant removal or planting.
- Wetland restoration—bigger-scale mangrove restoration, derelict vessel removal, and potential wetland expansion activities.
- Pond protection and restoration opportunities—land conservation and development restrictions to protect ponds, dredging, and fringe habitat and buffer restoration activities.
- Other—includes flow restoration and contaminated sediment removal projects in the bays.

Table 7. Sites for Wetland Habitat Restoration

Ту
ntr.
rt
*
**
y

^{*}Site ID includes abreviated subwatershed name. See Appendix for location map and description.

^{**}Significant trash/dumping cleanup effort

[†] Great St. James and Little St. James were not included in this assessment, but are likely conservation targets.






Table 8 summarizes a list of specific parcels where options for land acquisition, conservation easements, or drainage easements should be investigated. These parcels were identified for potential wetland protection and restoration, stormwater, or recreational projects.

Table 8. Potential Properties for Conservation

Name	Purpose	Acres
BV-3. Wooded lot on corner next to BCB School	Stormwater retrofit and community park. Area may include a small existing wetland.	14.7
BV-7. Luton Property	Stormwater retrofit/drainage improvement and gut restoration project.	1.1
TR-46. Lima Property	Stormwater retrofit/community park adjacent to wetland. Involves removal of dumping.	13.3
TR-31. PriceSmart Entrance/Smith Bay Rd.	Stormwater retrofit potential along gut and existing wetland area.	2.6
TR-29. Hernhutt Pond	Conservation of freshwater pond resources	41.2
TR-11. Tutu Reservoir/ Hartmans Pond	Conservation of freshwater pond resources	70.4
GB-3. Cabrita Salt Pond	Conservation of salt pond/wetland s	3.7

Great St. James and Little St. James were not included in this assessment, but may be candidates for restoration/conservation. Though privately-owned, these areas are still subject to environmental regulations, and issues on Little St. James have been reported.

Implementation Actions

With the exception of the stream restoration project at Tropical Marine, no

detailed design plans have been developed for the projects and opportunities identified. In the <u>short-term</u>, however, the following actions can be implemented.

- 1. Remove trash and prevent dumping at key locations within the gut corridor. A good way to kick-off watershed implementation efforts and build community support is to initiate trash cleanups. The Environmental Association of St. Thomas-St. John should work with VIWMA to schedule cleanups and transportation of collected material to the landfill. Some of the cleanups will require removal of large objects (e.g., cars), and possibly will include hazardous materials. Start by going to each of the sites identified and determine the level of effort, access, and potential community or business involvement required to complete each cleanup. Evaluate any options for reducing future dumping in these locations.
- 2. Enforce USVI Buffer Protection Regulations and require mitigation where recent violations occurred. DPNR, and in some cases ACOE, needs to improve the consistency of enforcement with the current regulations starting at plan review and earth change permitting, and followed through at the site during clearing and grading. BP staff should ensure that buffer setbacks are visibly demarcated in the field before any earth change activities begin. Penalties for violations should be revised to include removal of structures and mitigation planting. Consider updates to this regulation that increases a "no-touch" buffer width to a minimum of 50 ft for guts and 100 ft from ponds and other wetlands to get the attention of developers and provide a better chance of actual protection of remaining vegetated buffers.

Over the next two to five years, the recommended mid-term actions are:


3. Develop design plans/feasibility studies for Compass Pt. salt pond restoration, opening of Mangrove Lagoon false entrance, and removal of contaminated sediments in Benner Bay. DFW has identified restoration of the Compass Pt. salt pond, opening of the second false entrance to Mangrove Lagoon, and restoration of Redhook Bay salt ponds as priorities. Additional studies are proposed for each to ascertain feasibility and priorities including: an analysis of aerial photos to establish historic conditions; bathymetric studies to establish dredging capacity; sediment contaminant sampling of organic and inorganic parameters; and water modeling to better understanding flushing rates. Given the commercial interest in dredging Benner Bay for proposed marina expansion, perhaps there is an opportunity for private sector funding of a feasibility study looking specifically at dredging and contaminated sediment removal. UVI, NOAA's Restoration Center and National Marine Fisheries Services, USFWS, and ACOE may be good partners in advancing these studies. The US Coast

Guard may provide assistance for derelict vessel removal. Proposed changes to mooring fees and boat registration by DPNR may also help with derelict vessel issues.

4. Conserve land surrounding priority freshwater and salt ponds (e.g., Herrnhut, Tutu Reservoir, Cabrita Pt.) via conservation easements, land acquisition, or stringent development criteria. TNC, DFW, CZM, and DEP should investigate parcel ownership and communicate with property owners on future plans and level of interest in working with the Territory to protect these sensitive habitats. Explore feasible mechanisms for government or third-party land acquisition and/or easement holdings.

For <u>long-term</u> implementation (10 years):

5. Implement priority gut stabilization & buffer restoration projects. Additional site investigations and hydrologic analyses will need to be conducted at potential sites in order to develop more refined design plans, invasive control and native planting plans, and cost estimates. USDA, DPNR, DPW, potentially ACOE, and local plant specialists should coordinate on implementing these projects.





Develop a unified watershed monitoring program that integrates and tracks various sampling efforts in STEER.

Problem

There are many federal and territorial entities—currently and historically—that have conducted monitoring in STEER and the surrounding watershed. These efforts range from groundwater quality, rainfall and flow measurements, surface water quality, sediment contaminant studies, and biological sampling. In many cases, the data gathered under these efforts are used for regulatory purposes, such as establishing groundwater quality monitoring at the Tutu Wellfield Superfund Site to meet remediation requirements. In other cases, results are used to make routine management decisions, such as determining if beaches are safe for swimming under DPNR's beach monitoring program.

While filling critical information gaps, these monitoring efforts do not yet provide enough information to establish baseline conditions from which performance of restoration efforts can be measured. In addition, there remain outstanding questions that may need to be answered before some restoration efforts are implemented (e.g., what is the extent of leachate seepage into Mangrove Lagoon and how does this influence mangrove restoration in this area? What is the

flushing rate of coastal ponds and mangrove lagoon?).

Sampling stations and parameters are not always easily comparable across efforts (e.g., measuring different bacteria species in one study when regulatory impairments are measured using a different species). Data is not always accessible or widely shared (e.g., difficult to access water quality data collected by DPNR). In some cases, datasets critical to other efforts are no longer being populated (e.g., flow gauge no longer operating in Turpentine Run). In other cases, entities historically responsible for certain data collection efforts have changed hands (e.g., EPA shifting Tutu Superfund monitoring to DPNR). A broad look across STEER and the watershed to put the pieces together and summarize longterm trends has never been done.

Monitoring data that is being or has been collected in STEER includes, but is certainly not limited to:

- Rainfall information at USGS and DPW weather gauges;
- Stream flow in Turpentine Run, although USGS has abandoned this station;
- Water quality of groundwater wells and the contaminated plume at the Tutu Wellfield Superfund Site;

- Sediment contaminants, benthic fauna, and water column samples at sites across STEER by NOAA and UVI;
- Recent sedimentation rate studies by UVI;
- Regulatory sampling by DPNR to ensure waters are meeting designated uses;
- Wastewater discharge effluent monitoring by operators and EPA;
- Biological surveys of in-stream fauna, pond ecology, and marine habitats by DFW, UVI, and NOAA; and
- Proposed groundwater monitoring near Bovoni Landfill by UVI.

To better utilize existing information, fill in data gaps with current and future efforts, and be more cost effective in future sampling and analysis, it makes sense to work cooperatively within a more comprehensive framework.

Implementation Actions

UVI and TNC are currently in the process of developing a monitoring plan for STEER. This process could be expanded to include watershed inputs, but also to create a consensus-based framework for monitoring efforts for the next decade. As part of this process, we recommend the following in the short-term (within the next two years):

1. Develop a STEER monitoring plan that identifies key elements of known monitoring efforts, information needs and technical gaps, links to regulatory priorities, proposed future monitoring projects, and a data sharing plan. UVI should continue to take the lead on developing a long-term monitoring plan, soliciting input from other agencies and organizations involved in monitoring projects in the area including

DEP, DFW, VIWMA, NOAA, USGS, and EPA. This plan should identify:

- a. Key elements of existing monitoring efforts (e.g., station locations, parameters sampled, equipment, reports) in order to identify commonalities and gaps;
- b. Information gaps and technical needs (e.g., flow in Turpentine Run, groundwater monitoring at Bovoni, flushing rates, lab capacity, sampling equipment);
- Guidance to sampling conundrums (e.g., methods for monitoring intermittent guts);
- Regulatory program needs (e.g., 303(d), TMDL, beach monitoring, Tutu Wellfield Superfund, Bovoni Consent Decree, Benner Bay TBT contamination);
- e. A list of future monitoring activities ranked based on need. Top projects should be refined to provide a basic description of the questions to be answered, a sampling plan, and a preliminary cost estimate; and
- f. A proposed mechanism for sharing data and reporting findings.

This plan can then be used to apply for funds, provide graduate students with projects, etc.

2. Update watershed residents on the status of the Tutu Wellfield Superfund cleanup and monitoring efforts. The operation and maintenance of the Tutu Wellfield Superfund Site has passed from EPA to DPNR, and DPNR will be responsible for continued monitoring and operation of the treatment system. DPNR and EPA should make publicly available the details of this transition plan, as well as monitoring

reports, plume spatial mapping, and progress updates.

3. Install rain & stream gauges in order to better correlate sedimentation with rainfall quantity and intensity. UVI and DPNR should work with local schools to install rain gauges as part of an overall watershed education effort. A monitoring priority needs to be the re-establishment of the stream gauge at Turpentine Run. USGS has been cutting back on the gauging commitment over the past few years; however, given the importance of Turpentine Run flow information to so many federal and territorial programs, the case can be made for re-establishment.

In the <u>mid-term</u> (2-5 year), the following actions are recommended:

4. Provide access on the STEER website to annual monitoring reports, trends analyses, and/or links to data. TNC and UVI should determine the best forum for such a clearing house. Posting of regulatory

monitoring information has been recommended for DPNR and/or EPA as well. To more easily establish the links between effluent discharge violations from a resort with surface water quality violations in the receiving water, for example, having quick access to these disparate data sources would be helpful. It is also recommended that an annual meeting be held with the objective of producing a summary report of monitoring efforts and findings, trends, and next steps.

elimination sampling concurrently with sanitary sewer system mapping efforts. Ideally, watershed monitoring efforts can be integrated with recommended infrastructure investigations, specifically water quality sampling, to identify and eliminate raw sewage and other non-stormwater discharges from outfalls in the guts and ponds in the watershed. VIWMA should coordinate with UVI and DPNR on these efforts. NOAA has funded similar IDDE investigations in Puerto Rico.



7. Education & Stewardship

Implement a long-term education plan that provides opportunities for residents and businesses to actively engage in watershed stewardship activities.

Problem

Perhaps the most important and implementable recommendation in the watershed plan is the continued effort to educate those that live or work in the watershed, and those that use the resources of STEER. The case must be made to these stakeholders as to why they should engage in watershed stewardship activities, either through simple adjustments to everyday behaviors (e.g., I will start recycling) to more substantial commitments of time and resources (e.g., I will install this stormwater retrofit to manage runoff from my parking lot). Raising awareness of the issues is not enough. The goal of a good education program is to inspire long-term engagement and activism.

DPNR has an education program that can provide support for nonpoint source messaging. VIWMA, VIHA, and VIDE are other agencies with education programs and established delivery mechanisms for reaching their constituents. TNC, EAST, and VINE are non-government organizations involved in environmental education in the USVI. VICCC has the expertise to craft the watershed message in a way that is culturally meaningful. IGBA is trying to expand membership to St. Thomas and is developing commercials and other

advertisements for green construction. CLCC may be a good regional partner for promoting watershed messages.

Recent action on STEER management planning coordinated by TNC has resurrected stakeholder education and involvement on the East End; although the boating industry, residents at Water Pt., and arguably, residents in Bovoni, are the most engaged communities. Little work to date has been done to connect those who work and reside in the upper watershed to the STEER management movement. Without broader representation and participation in the process, watershed priorities and long-term management strategies may not be fully implemented.

Fortunately, there is a wide range of watershed restoration topics to choose from when targeting selected audiences; there is a compelling story of a visible resource that can be told; and there are a number of schools, community centers, resorts, and other businesses that can be targeted over the next few years. Also, with completion of the 2012 STEER Coastal Use Survey by NOAA, there is a better understanding of who the resource users are and how they interact with STEER.

The key challenges for successful education and outreach are likely to include:

- Overcoming the cynicism and frustrations arising from repeated efforts to improve environmental conditions by those who are already educated on the issues;
- Piquing the interest of the youth in the upper watershed in activities that benefit downstream resources;
- Strategically implementing demonstration projects that can engage a diversity of stakeholders and inspire continued activism;
- Convincing others that individuals can make a difference; and
- Remembering that watershed restoration is a long-term endeavor that will evolve overtime.

Table 9 summarizes a number of locations where specific public education and outreach efforts could reach the broader watershed community. These sites include schools, neighborhoods, community gathering areas, as well as high-traffic businesses where the public is likely to patron or demonstrations can be marketed. While overarching messages relating land use activities directly to STEER health are needed throughout the watershed, audience-specific messages should also be targeted to businesses, government employees, and in some cases, tourists. Audience-specific messages are discussed below in more detail.

Table 9. Sites for Community Education & Stewardship Activities

Туре	Site ID*	Name	Comments						
	BV-1	BCB School	Retrofit demos, rain gauges, pollution prevention, and watershed school curriculum						
	TR-13	Faith Christian Fellowship Church/ School	Great location for simple retrofit demonstration project and gut restoration						
Schools	TR-15	Joseph A Gomez Elementary School	Watershed curriculum; rain gauge installation						
	TR-28	Church Schools	Watershed curriculum						
	TR-30	Edith Williams Alternative Academy	Watershed curriculum						
	TR-33	EB Oliver Elementary School	Great location for retrofit, high visibility, parents participation, rain gauge						
	BV-2	Thomasville Cooperative	Link with stormwater retrofits; residential pollution prevention						
	TR-7	Raphune Vista	Good site for green construction training; possible rain garden demonstration for homeowners						
	TR-8	Grandview Apartments	Good site for green construction training.						
Neighborhoods	TR-14	Tutu I High Rise	Public housing, good location for signage and priority stormwater retrofit						
	NG-1	Elm Road/Frydenhoj	Target residents with education on septic system maintenance, gut protection, and residential pollution prevention; organize community trash cleanup days; lay groundwork for obtaining early buy-in from residents for sewer expansion projects.						

Туре	Site ID*	Name	Comments
	GB-1	Water Point	Good community for demo wastewater project; active members in environmental issues
		Nadir Crescent	Two cul-de-sacs that could be retrofitted; focus education on car maintenance (parking was directly over stormwater swale), cistern use, and general watershed issues. Education in this neighborhood would be effective (seemed like a cohesive community).
		Estate Bovoni	Active HOA. Lots of drainage and landfill related issues for these residents. Residential education on disconnecting driveway runoff; car maintenance may be successful here.
		Mariendahl	Target residents with volume reduction (e.g., driveway disconnection, rain gardens), septic system maintenance, and green construction messaging.
		Nadir	Target residents with education on septic system maintenance, gut protection, and residential pollution prevention; lay groundwork for obtaining early buy-in from residents for sewer expansion projects. Link with Nadir ballpark retrofits.
		Cabrita Point	Target residents with green construction messaging.
		Nazareth Bay	Target residents with septic maintenance and residential pollution prevention messaging.
	TR-1	Flag Hill/Signal Hill Rd.	Top of hill, watershed overview linked to STEER
	TR-9	Alvin MacBean Rec. Center	Great visibility and way to engage the Anna's retreat
	TR-12	Anna's Retreat Community Center	community with signage and retrofit demonstration projects
Community Centers/Parks/	TR-34	Willy George Community Center	Good way to reach Tutu Valley community
Other	TR-44	Nadir Bridge Park	Highly visible location for watershed signage
	TR-48	Trash collection station	High visibility, post signage on recycling and hazmat pickup schedules
	NG-3	Nadir Ball Park	Great location for signage and events
	CB-2	Yacht Club	Good location for signage and events
	TR-2	7 th Day Adventist Church	Example of impervious cover impacts; could provide a forum for reaching out to community
Churches	TR-19	Holy Family Church	Good opportunity to reach community on cistern uses
	TR-23	Lutheran Church	Inexpensive stormwater retrofit demonstration
	TR-3	Humane Society	Good examples of green construction; site users probably receptive to watershed message
	TR-5	Cost-U-Less	High foot traffic area, signage; good site for stormwater training
Commercial	TR-6	Home Depot	Good location to hold stormwater and green construction training; use for stormwater manual design examples
	TR-21	Tutu Park Mall	High foot traffic area, signage; good site for stormwater training
	TR-47	Clinton Phipps Racetrack	High visibility, good location for signage, maybe events

Туре	Site ID*	Name	Comments					
	TR-49	Sea Kayak Tours	Good location for signage, but also to give STEER watershed information; primarily tourists					
	FC-2	Food Center	High traffic, good for signage					
	FC-4	Independent Boat Yard/ Budget Marine	Good site for pollution prevention training					
	FC-8	Compass Pt. Marina	Relatively high visibility; Good site for watershed signage					
	GB-2	Ritz Carlton	Participates in Blue Flag Beach Program; good site for education of tourists & resort managers					

Implementation Actions

STEER education and outreach efforts are already underway. The following actions are suggested to supplement these efforts. In the short-term (within the next two years):

1. Engage community centers and homeowners associations in a residential education campaign linking STEER (and human) health with proper maintenance of septic systems, vegetated buffer protection, and household waste disposal. EAST and DPNR could take the lead on identifying homeowner association and community group leaders. Meet with them and VICCC to solicit recommendations for how to best reach residents (e.g., flyers, attend association meetings, present at a church picnic, social marketing), and what messages might resonate. If there is a project that can be implemented in the vicinity, use that as a way to initiate stewardship efforts.

Use the information provided in **Table 9** to inform this effort. VIWMA could contact residents through its sanitary sewer and solid waste education program. VIHA can reach residents of public housing communities.

2. Convene boating-related businesses and boaters to investigate benefits of participation in the Blue Flag Marina program or other certification program.

Given TNC's working relationship with the boating community, consider organizing a focus group meeting with the owners and users to review watershed issues targeting the industry, present information on the Blue Flag marina program, and solicit input on the value of imitating such a program (or adopting elements of this or similar program) in the USVI. The Blue Flag Marina coordinator, and potentially representatives from the Coast Guard may be able to lend additional insight to the group. . Specifically, the focus group could provide DEP with input on practical performance standards or certifications for marinas and boatyards.

3. Convene a workshop for resort managers to discuss potential waste disposal, lawn care, visitor education, and stormwater improvements that could be advanced to improve STEER. TNC, the Blue Flag Beach coordinator, and the VI Hotel and Tourism Association could organize a similar focus group meeting with resort managers on the west end of STEER to gauge interest in eco-friendly practices to improve water quality and habitat conditions in Nazareth, Cowpet, and Great

Bays while promoting tourism. The Ritz Carlton is part of the Blue Flag Beach program and may have suggestions for other resorts. What incentives could be provided to encourage implementation of potential restoration projects?

4. Conduct a watershed tour for politicians and agency Commissioners to highlight bigticket items. DPNR should take the lead on organizing a watershed tour to make sure politicians are aware of the impacts land development, waste management, and capital improvement budgeting decisions have on the unique resources of STEER, public health, and the economy. Specific regulatory and policy solutions to help alleviate impairments should be discussed (e.g., enforcement, buffer protection, stormwater requirements, and TBT bans).

Over the next few years (2-5+ years), initiate the following mid-term actions:

- watershed benefits and increase the number of household hazardous waste collection days and/or stations. VIWMA and VIRG educational messaging should explicitly state that recycling, proper solid waste management, and sewer hookups all are individual actions that benefit STEER. As closure planning continues, investigate the potential for increasing options for proper hazardous waste disposal.
- drainage improvements, storm drain stenciling, trash cleanups, rain gauges) at schools and community centers to educate and engage kids and residents in STEER. VIDE should review the proposed opportunities identified at each school in the watershed and identify the most feasible for implementation. Work with DPNR, EAST, NOAA, EPA, and CLCC to secure funding to advance demonstration designs.



Implementation of each project should involve a hands-on experience for kids and their parents, as well as a classroom teaching lesson. Work with VINE to develop a STEER watershed program for educators.

7. Increase overall awareness of the STEER watershed through storm drain stenciling, watershed radio series, and watershed signage. Install STEER-specific storm drain markers in the watershed as infrastructure mapping is occurring (2-5 years). TNC, EAST, UVI, and DPNR should coordinate on the type, design, and placement of watershed signage throughout the watershed. DPW will need to be involved if signage is placed along roads ("You are now entering the STEER watershed!"). TNC could work with local radio contacts to set up a bi- monthly radio spot (e.g., Watershed Wednesday) to talk about ongoing STEER Watershed activities. Special guests from UVI, EAST, DPNR, Budget Marine, Water Pt., VIDE, VIHA, VIWMA, and others involved in implementation efforts could discuss how their agencies, businesses, etc. are making a

difference in the STEER and offer suggestions for what listeners can do.

8. Target engineers, designers, contractors, and agency staff with training on implementing stormwater design criteria and green construction techniques. DPNR, IGBA, and NOAA are in the process of kicking off a green construction training series on each of the islands over the next two years. These trainings provide classroom and field opportunities for practitioners on how to design, build, and live green. Many of these messages resonate with the STEER watershed management objectives. In the short-term, there is a potential to showcase field sites within the STEER watershed during the training planned for St. Thomas. Key sites for field trips during trainings may include: the Humane Society; Whispering Hills; Grandview; Raphune Vista; Home Depot/Cost-U-less; parking lot behind the Curriculum Center; Thomasville Cooperative; and the Ritz Carlton.



8. Watershed Oversight

Establish a formal mechanism for implementation oversight.

Problem

As the number of watershed planning efforts has exponentially grown over the last 20 years, it has become widely apparent that implementation is unlikely to occur without a dedicated watershed champion to provide implementation oversight. There is less agreement on the actual mechanism for providing that oversight, but consensus is growing that designating a watershed coordinator is ideal.

Experience on other islands confirms this notion. For example, Coral Bay Community Council is the lead organization responsible for implementing and updating the 2008 Coral Bay Watershed plan. With CBCC leadership, millions of dollars have been secured for implementation; dozens of projects have been installed; performance monitoring initiated; and measurable improvements in sediment load reduction to the Bay have been made. A similar approach was taken in the Guanica watershed in Puerto Rico, where a local non-profit was established to serve as the watershed coordinator. NOAA has provided financial support for Guanica watershed coordination efforts over the past few years. DOI, NOAA, and NFWF have also supported watershed coordinators in the Pacific Islands.

Alternatively, clear leadership for the 2011 St. Croix East End Watershed Plan has not yet fully formed (primarily due to changes in staffing at the St. Croix East End Marine Park). Early implementation efforts were initiated by the St. Croix Environmental Association. The STXEEMP has moved the watershed plan information to the park's website and will soon be taking on implementation leadership.

The primary role of a coordinator is to connect the activities identified in the watershed plan with the key people required to implement them (including funders). A working group represented by the key individuals identified as strategic action leads should be organized and administered by the watershed coordinator, although selection of a chairperson from the committee is recommended. The chair would be responsible for running meetings, extracting decisions from the group, and assigning action items. The chairmanship should rotate on an annual or semi-annual basis to other members of the committee. The current STEER advisory committee includes most of the individuals that would ideally participate in a watershed implementation group.

These efforts need to be tracked over time and the watershed plan updated as

priorities shift, new opportunities arise, and implementation lessons are learned. The coordinator is responsible for being the face of the watershed restoration effort and must communicate with stakeholders on a regular basis regarding the successes (and failures) of the management effort.

Implementation Actions

Over the next year, some decisions need to be made regarding the desired approach to coordination temporarily or for the long-term. The following actions are recommended:

- 1. Designate or hire a STEER watershed coordinator. CZM and DFW should approach the DPNR Commissioner with a recommended approach to supporting a watershed coordinator. Suggestions to consider include, but are not limited to:
- Re-assign the CZM's APC coordinator position to a full or part-time STEER watershed coordinator position;
- Create a new position under CZM, DFW, or both dedicated to implementation;
- Secure grant funding to expand TNC's STEER planning role to include

- watershed implementation for a minimum of three years;
- Build financial and staffing capacity of EAST to support a full-time position dedicated to the STEER watershed efforts;
- Establish a new non-profit; and/or
- Provide office space at DFW in Redhook for a federal contractor position to oversee implementation efforts.
 Consider discussing options with NOAA, USDA, USGS, EPA, and other CLCC partners.
- 2. Organize a STEER working group to serve as watershed implementation steering committee. In the short-term, it makes sense to use the existing STEER Core Team; although, representatives from VIWMA, DPW, VIHA, DE, and a few additional watershed residents from Bovoni and the upper watershed would make good additions. TNC and UVI may want to suggest an appropriate size and mix of members. Consider including key federal partners who can also guide projects that might require permits and/or consultations.



There are many potential restoration activities presented in this management plan. Efforts were made throughout this report to provide suggested timeframes for implementation and potential leads for those efforts. While an initial attempt to prioritize projects was made (see Appendix A), there was not sufficient detail for most of these projects to estimate costs at this time.


Obviously, millions of dollars will be required to implement remediation efforts at the Bovoni landfill and for sewer system upgrades. Land acquisition, dredging projects, culvert replacements, and large stormwater retrofits will cost less on an individual basis, yet collectively may require an equivalent investment. Small demonstration projects, education activities, trash cleanups, and coordination meetings are comparatively minimal.

In order to advance implementation efforts, this section presents a preliminary strategy for allocating a hypothetical budget of \$2,500,000 in grant funds over the next five years. This estimate is not meant to suggest that full implementation of all the watershed recommendations in this report can be implemented.

The schedule presented in **Table 10** is preliminary in nature, and is intended to

provide a platform to launch internal planning discussions among the STEER Core Team, implementation partners, and funders.

It should be noted that implementation is already underway on a number of priority recommendations, and many of the activities presented here already fall under existing program budgets, are within existing job descriptions (public or private sector), or are in the grant request pipeline.



The hypothetical budget excludes costs associated with implementing the minimum compliance measures at the Bovoni landfill and wastewater infrastructure improvements. In addition, the local lead identified should not be interpreted to

exclude potential partners, rather to identify a single entity on the ground ideally positioned to spearhead implementation.

Table 10. Hypothetical 5-yr Implementation Schedule

Action	Local Lead	Implementation Year and Planning Level Cost Estimate (thousands of \$)							
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total		
Hire a watershed coordinator.	CZM	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$55	\$60	\$265		
Refine concepts for top stormwater retrofit concepts; estimate costs and load reduction benefits.	CZM	\$30					\$30		
Conduct feasibility studies for priority wetland habitat restoration projects.	DFW	\$100	\$150				\$250		
Adopt post-construction stormwater standards and design criteria, and train agency staff and engineers.	DEP	\$75	\$50	\$25			\$150		
Map drainage infrastructure and conduct illicit discharge investigations.	VIWMA/ DPNR	\$50	\$100				\$150		
Annual education and outreach coordination efforts.	EAST/ TNC	\$10	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$30		
Pollution prevention planning.	TNC	\$30					\$30		
Implement small-scale demonstration projects.	CZM/DEP	\$25	\$50	\$50	\$100		\$225		
Design and implement larger stormwater and wetland restoration projects (including drainage improvements).	CZM/DEP/ DPW		\$20	\$300	\$350	\$500	\$1,170		
Monitor guts and outfalls, including establishment of rain and stream gauge; supplement for groundwater monitoring.	UVI	\$25	\$50	\$50		\$75	\$200		
Annual Total		\$395	\$475	\$480	\$510	\$640	\$2,500		

Appendix A:

Potential Restoration Projects by Subwatershed

A1. Bovoni Subwatershed	. 1
A2. Turpentine Run Subwatershed	4
A3. Nadir Gut Subwatershed	. 11
A4. Frydenhoj/Compass Pt. Subwatershed	. 12
A5 Nazareth Cownet and Great Ray Subwatersheds	12

Table A1: Potential Project Opportunities in the Bovoni Subwatershed

					Турє		dibite		Potential Project Opportunities in the Bovoni Subwatershed		
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	MM	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²	Initial Site Rank ³	Comments/Next Steps
BV-1	BCB School		✓		√			✓	A) Retrofit concrete channel up-gradient of school's infrastructure to detain and infiltrate as much offsite run-on as possible. B) Retrofit existing open field (which is supposed to be a detention basin) by modifying existing infrastructure (large outlet baffle, a few catch basins, etc.). C) All courtyards at the school have vegetated areas where downspouts are currently directed; convert these to actual rain gardens with signage. A few other areas could be converted to rain gardens where existing catch basins/parking lot islands exist. D) Stabilize eroding slope in main courtyard; restrict driving in this area or formalize specific driveways/parking areas. E) Excessive impervious cover could be reduced throughout school property, and additional cisterns use could be explored (observed it overflowing). F) Pollution prevention opportunities include moving/covering the dumpster to reduce pollution directly discharging into gut.	High	Excellent retrofit and educational opportunities here. Most costeffective and achievable retrofit in the watershed, particularly when done in phases. Will help reduce flooding on Bovoni Rd.
BV-2	Thomasville Cooperative		✓		✓		✓	✓	There is major flooding downstream from this area, particularly where Bovoni Rd intersects with runoff from BCB School Gut. Several locations were identified where existing parking lot runoff could be managed with rain gardens. Restore unvegetated slopes on gut. Trash was observed as well as staining in parking lots, and an exposed sewer pipe was observed crossing gut at downstream end of development.	Med	Good locations for retrofit; would need buy-in from development, which doesn't have many existing problems.
BV-3	Wooded parcel below BV-2				√				Wooded area downstream of development would be ideal for a storage practice in conjunction with, or upstream of, existing wetland. Install roadside swale to direct runoff to open parcel. This area could be converted to a community park.	High	Could help alleviate downstream flooding by collecting road drainage. Area actively used for cock fighting and other activities.
BV-4	Bovoni Projects				✓			✓	Projects built on steep slope with parking lot runoff directed underneath buildings in paved chutes with trash racks – headwaters of gut that crosses Bovoni Rd at Sweet Pie's, may also contribute some to Texaco gut. Cisterns are no longer used and pump chambers were closed up due to pigeon waste on roofs as well as airborne trash from landfill. Erosion was observed on steep, maintained lawn around buildings. Space is available to formalize stable drainage swales and provide storage, particularly at downstream edge of projects where a large, flat area exists. Open dumpsters should be covered.	Low	Retrofits are relatively easy here and would beautify the area. Would be great to reconnect cistern pumps for use in toilets, etc. May be hard to convince because there are no real problems here now (on hill), but would help address flooding downgradient.

					Туре	:					
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	MM	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²	Initial Site Rank ³	Comments/Next Steps
BV-5	Premier Wines and Spirits	1				√			Recommend to evaluate the septic system that is immediately adjacent to the gut and repair as needed.	High	
BV-6	Bulk Storage	√						✓	Currently, sink discharge, floor cleaning, and possibly roof runoff is being directed to a paved swale that discharges directly into the gut at back of property (which is paved at this location). Owners should be made aware of illicit discharges and make infrastructure changes to address them.	High	Easy reduction of pollutants directly discharging to the gut.
BV-7	Texaco				√				Neighborhood development resulted in re-routing of the gut into the road from its historic drainage path, with no drainage infrastructure – only paved swales. Flooding occurs at intersection with Bovoni Rd. at the old Texaco. There is open space adjacent to gas station that could be used for minor runoff treatment. Addressing runoff here alone will not solve problem due to off-site run-on; however, It could be good example of how to manage runoff generated at a gas station.	Low	Gas station is currently closed, but good opportunity if redevelopment/new business opens.
BV-8	Luton Property/ Storage Area				√		✓		Create more natural stream channel for gut and provide storage/treatment in large open area adjacent to gut just prior to Bovoni Rd. Area already showed signs of ponding. Fix clogged/damaged/potentially undersized culvert under road. Possible to bring drainage from Texaco/Estate Bovoni here via catchbasin/pipes.	High	Great location and potential restoration project! Private ownership is a big hurdle for this one, but the Luton family owns the property and may be interested in such a project.
BV-9	Bovoni Center Storage				✓			✓	Retrofit existing drainage system to help reduce parking lot flooding while providing additional storage/treatment. Educate facility manager on ways to reduce parking lot pollution. Could also create formal swale to help manage flooding from gut overflows/road runoff.	Low	Relatively easy to retrofit existing system, but adjacent land ownership might be an issue.
BV-10	Lew Henley's	✓				✓		✓	This sewage disposal site requires massive clean-up and ideally would be moved to a different location. Currently, located in the gut near an area of repeated flooding (see BV-7) – owner has tried to block off runoff, adding to the flooding problem.	High	This is a severe water quality issue that should be addressed in some way.
BV-11	Gas Station				√			✓	Uncovered dumpster should be dealt with and restricted from private use. The open grass area on property could accommodate a stormwater facility to manage parking lot runoff, as well as a covered vehicle storage/maintenance area. Existing landscape swale along edge of property could be converted into stormwater swale.	Med	Relatively easy retrofits, but may be difficult to convince private owner; except for the dumpster, which they would most likely be in favor of based on existing signage.

	Туре					9					
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	MM	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²	Initial Site Rank ³	Comments/Next Steps
BV-12	Sweet Pie's/ Laundromat	1				√		✓	An illicit sewage discharge was observed at Sweet Pie's, and an illicit laundry discharge was observed at the adjacent Laundromat. Both discharged directly into gut behind the property.	High	High priority. Both could access sewer in Bovoni Rd, easy to address.
BV-13	Unregulated Dumping Site	√						✓	Area requires extensive clean-up, signage, and owner education.	Low	Scale of required clean-up and extensive long-term dumping would make this a difficult project to undertake at this time.
BV-14	Bovoni Landfill				√		√	✓	Need to provide stormwater management in interim between now and closure. Conduct groundwater monitoring to determine extent/movement of leachate; address wetland restoration requirements; and enhance buffer.	High	High priority; requirements under Consent Decree.
BV-15	Mangrove Lagoon WWTP				✓				Bioretention area to treat parking lot runoff at operations building.	Low	Ledge removal is likely
BV-16	Second False Entrance						✓		Opening/dredging of false entrance has been proposed by a number of agency staff as potential mechanism for increasing flushing of mangrove lagoon. Feasibility study required to evaluate historic conditions, understand bathymetry and required dredging extent, contaminant sampling, and modeling of flushing rates.	Low	DFW identified as one of three priority sites for assessment; Army Corps of Engineers would likely need to be involved.

¹ Site IDs correspond to site locations on Subwatershed Management Maps and Field Notes found in Appendix B and C of this report, respectively. Numbering is based on geographic location, primarily in a west to east and upstream to downstream pattern.

² See Appendix C of this report for a more detailed description of existing and proposed conditions.

³ Initial ranking across all sites in the STEER Watershed is not based on a formal prioritization process. It merely represents an initial assessment of feasibility based on property ownership, cost, visibility, and stakeholder priorities, and should be adjusted as more information is collected. Implementation of projects ultimately comes down to opportunity and interest.

Table A2: Potential Project Opportunities in the Turpentine Run Subwatershed

	Туре						7 (= 1		ential Project Opportunities in the Turpentine Kun Subwatershed		
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	MM	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²	Initial Site Rank ³	Comments/Next Steps
TR-1	Flag Hill/Signal Hill Rd.		✓	✓					Stabilize unpaved road network. Sedimentation from unpaved road observed at entrance and guard house. Eroded discharge point evident across entrance from red-roofed apartment complex. Install STEER watershed signage at overlook on top of hill.	Med	Further investigation required to determine maintenance needs for existing road side traps, swales, and stabilization options.
TR-2	7 th Day Adventist Church		✓		✓				Site generates a tremendous amount of runoff that goes directly to roadside gut. Propose new parking layout to incorporate landscape bioretention and minimize loss of parking spaces. May be good forum for getting watershed message to community.	Low	Chance of implementation low since it is a private property.
TR-3	Humane Society		✓			✓	√		Under construction. Site has LID parking lot, alternative wastewater system, many native plants in landscaping, and could serve as a demonstration site for green construction training. Develop plan to protect small freshwater pond along road.	Low	Need to re-visit when project is completed. Reach out to owner.
TR-4	Whispering Hills	√		✓					New construction project; ESC practices observed on site. Keep an eye on effectiveness of practices; check plans to ensure post-construction stormwater management has been provided.	High	Get site plans from DEP.
TR-5	Cost-U-Less		✓		✓	✓		✓	A) Retrofit existing stormwater basin to accept more runoff from entrance road by installing speed hump to ensure water enters existing inlet under sidewalk. Add sediment forebays for easier maintenance and more vegetation for additional pollutant uptake. B) Retrofit existing drainage swales to reduce erosion and improve performance. Add pipe from concrete forebay to existing Home Depot basin to prevent overflows directly into the gut. Train Cost-U-Less workers to stop dumping wash water. Maintain package plant that is located directly adjacent to gut; this location is priority sewer expansion area.	Med	Despite the fact that this is private property, it is low-hanging fruit – easy and inexpensive fixes for a large amount of impervious area. High visibility for educational signage.
TR-6	Home Depot		✓		✓				Retrofit existing basin adjacent to Cost-U-Less with sediment forebay, vegetation, and an outlet structure to detain stormwater. Reduce excessive pavement in parking lot. Add curb cuts in landscape islands to accept runoff – already depressed in some cases. Divert some runoff across street to open area for storage before discharging to gut.	Med	Retrofits here are easy and relatively inexpensive, especially the existing basin. A highly visible site for a demonstration project.

					Турє	:					
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	ww.	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²		Comments/Next Steps
TR-7	Raphune Vista		√		√				Very little water appears to reach existing large detention basin. Retrofit existing basin to accept more road runoff. Possible rain garden sites as demonstration for homeowners. Site provides interesting LID components (solar, cisterns, narrow roads, construction materials, etc.)	Med	Need to look at site plans, but this could be an easy fix of an existing practice. Potential green construction training site.
TR-8	Grandview Apartments	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No long-term stormwater management is evident at the new multifamily residential development. Some ESC measures (e.g., erosion control blankets, silt fence) are in place, but additional erosion control measures are needed. A new sewer line was installed in the gut between construction and the Tutu Reservoir. Sewage was flowing in the lines, but none of the manholes were covered, posing a water quality and public safety threat. The gut below the construction site should be restored, and sediment and trash removed (old cars, etc.).	High	Look at site plans and determine how to retrofit site. How was this permitted with no long-term stormwater management? Is stormwater connected to the sanitary sewer? How does this impact Tutu Reservoir? Green construction training site?
TR-9	Alvin MacBean Rec. Center		✓		✓				A) Fix existing drainage issue by redirecting runoff from roadway above rec. center to grassy open area along ball field. B) Fix flooding near playground by adjusting invert of yard drain and adding/enlarging weep holes in wall. C) Opportunity to disconnect roof runoff. Add public educational signage about stormwater and watershed issues.	High	Great location for easy retrofits and public outreach. Potential land use conflict with area along ball field (may be an area where spectators stand).
TR-10	DPW land across from Alvin MacBean				✓				Potential for storage retrofit available in DPW land across the street for road runoff – this area currently has a lot of trash and stored vehicles adjacent to the gut/reservoir that should be cleaned up. Project could alleviate chronic flooding along road.	High	Public land makes project more feasible.
TR-11	Tutu Reservoir/ Hartman's						✓		Upstream development likely contributes sediment and other pollutants to reservoir, which was a manmade farm impoundment. Restore the storage capacity of reservoir by dredging accumulated sediment and revegetating with wetland species where possible. Consider long-term options for land conservation around pond.	Low	Large-scale project that could restore significant freshwater wetland habitat. One of DFW priority wetlands for conservation.
TR-12	Anna's Retreat Community Center		✓		✓		✓		Construct rain garden for parking lot runoff; restore/supplement gut capacity, potentially removing one or both basketball courts; fix upstream culvert to reduce roadway flooding; add educational signage, particularly on guts and importance of maintaining capacity (e.g., no material storage in gut).	High	Good project – one of the few in Anna's Retreat.

				Турє	:					
ID# ¹	Site Name	Education ESC SWM/Repair Wetland/Gut Pollution Prv.		Pollution Prv.	Description ²		Comments/Next Steps			
TR-13	Faith Christian Fellowship Church/ School		√	√		✓		Convert existing open grass areas into additional, off-line storage for gut flow during large storm events. Retrofit existing, eroding swale along driveway to improve performance/capacity and direct runoff from new paved swale into it. Restore gut channel with natural stream features/keep downstream box culvert clear of woody debris. Educate students on watershed issues.	Med	Great location for effective project. Private ownership could be an issue.
TR-14	Tutu I High Rise/Gut Confluence		✓	✓				Tutu High Rises are built around a flat, depressed open grass area where two branches of the gut merge—one from the Christian school to the north, and one from the other Tutu High Rise area to the east. This gut flows down towards the Curriculum Center. Convert open area into a stormwater storage/treatment facility; could possibly get more storage to the east, but the gut there is highly vegetated. Clean up existing trash and cock fighting ring; educate residents.	High	This would be an inexpensive, effective project showcased at public housing – work with VIHA
TR-15	Joseph A Gomez Elementary School		√	✓		√		Gut divides school property; upper part of school on a steep slope and lower buildings and ball field in floodplain. Offsite run-on and roof runoff may be an issue. Opportunities may exist for rain gardens and gut restoration; however, additional assessment is required.	Low	Challenging site given steepness
TR-16	New parking lot in gut behind Curriculum Center	√		√		√		New parking lot created behind curriculum center. Gut was channelized and weirs installed. Not clear whether this construction and gut alteration was permitted, or the consequences on downstream properties. Restore gut behind curriculum center to original capacity and deal with runoff from new parking lot as possible.	High	High priority to address enforcement issue.
TR-17	Fire Station			√				Retrofit roadside swale to keep runoff out of fire station; do on-site management where possible, potentially converting paved swale to vegetated swale (lined if necessary due to Superfund site); and enlarge existing opening into road inlet, adding a trash rack.	Med	Good, easy projects.
TR-18	VI Housing Authority			✓			✓	Extra impervious cover in both upper and lower parking lots that could be retrofitted with stormwater BMPs. Vehicle maintenance area and a dumping site behind building should be cleaned up.	Low	Not a good demonstration site. VIHA may be a good partner in efforts throughout watershed.
TR-19	Holy Family Church		√	√				Almost a completely impervious site – runoff flows directly to Smith Bay Rd. Some possible rain garden locations, and education opportunities about using cistern water (cistern was just overflowing).	Low	Possible demonstration site if church is interested, but not great watershed value.

					Турє	2					
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	MM	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²		Comments/Next Steps
TR-20	Four Winds Plaza	✓			√			✓	Currently no stormwater management for large parking lot. Retrofit large parking lot with lined bioretention (no recharge due to superfund site?) underground detention, or additional rooftop detention via cisterns or blue roof. Large open outfall is a potential safety concern and should be inspected and covered. Car wash drainage goes directly to outfall so look for pollution prevention.	Med	Private property and expensive, unless redoing parking area. Public safety concern at outfall.
TR-21	Tutu Park Mall		√		✓				Large expanse of impervious cover. Portion of lot drains to large existing detention basin in rear of mall. A) Further investigate retrofit potential of basin to improve water quality treatment. Install small bios in parking lot at B) Plaza Extra and C) McDonalds. D) Possible conversion of spillover parking to grass-pavers.	Low	Retrofit of existing basin could be inexpensive. Difficult and expensive for in-lot solutions, but highly visible and good location for signage.
TR-22	Merchants Commercial Bank				√				Retrofit with a swale and bioretention in corner of parking lot and alongside the road utilizing existing landscaped area.	Low	Good demo for managing commercial parking lot. Relatively inexpensive.
TR-23	Lutheran Church		√		√				Intercept drainage from existing concrete swale in a large rain garden between church and parking lot. Overflow onto road via existing paved channel.	Low	Inexpensive, maybe a good project demo to engage community
TR-24	Innovation Parking Lot				√			✓	Large parking lot drains to catchbasin in southwest corner. Further investigate opportunities for retrofitting (e.g., underground chambers or forebay/sediment trap beyond wall, stabilize unpaved upper lot) and pollution prevention for vehicle and outdoor storage areas.	Low	Private property
TR-25	Auto/tire hotspot							√	Investigate this area and surrounding automotive businesses to identify easy pollution prevention practices to reduce potential for stormwater contamination.	Med	Did not investigate
TR-26	Mr. Rodriguez Auto Body/ Total Gas				✓			√	Install perimeter sand filters in central aisle and at entrance to fueling station to provide WQ treatment prior to discharge to gut. Auto shop is built over the gut; ensure proper pollution prevention practices for material storage and washing and maintenance activities.	Med	Private property, could be expensive
TR-27	First Bank				√				Convert existing landscaping along road into bioretention. Use curb cuts to direct flows into practice. Overflow to drain in street via piped outlet or overflow into existing paved flume.	Low	Could be good demonstration project for commercial/road right-of-way treatments
TR-28	Church Schools		√		√				Disconnection of runoff; bioretention in front parking lot along stream	Low	Steep, difficult, loss of parking likely. Low priority

					Тур	е						
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	MM	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²		Comments/Next Steps	
TR-29	Herrnhut Pond						√		Investigate options for land conservation easements and/or acquisition. Potential enhancement of the Herrnhut Pond to promote access, recreation, and education.	High	Recommendation of the 2006 Wetlands Management Plan	
TR-30	Edith Williams Alternative Academy		√		✓	,			School reports having to pay for water when cistern runs dry. Hook up rest of rooftops to cistern. Rest of site is difficult for retrofits, although a portion of roof could be used to supplement garden or for demonstration rain garden in the back.	High	Easy downspout additions.	
TR-31	Smith Bay Rd./ Ft. Mylner Plaza	√			√	/	· 🗸		There is a package WTP adjacent to gut. Capacity and functionality of the WTP is unknown and should be inspected; this area is on the list for potential hook up to MLWTP. A constructed stormwater wetland retrofit is recommended near the shopping center entrance on Smith Bay Road. There is an existing paved flume that directly discharges road runoff to a nearby wetland area.	High	Highly visible retrofit location for treating road runoff; potential issues with existing wetland.	
TR-32	Price Smart				✓	,	✓		Several opportunities for bioretention areas and/or pavement removal within the parking lot. Investigate existing BMP to see if water quality improvements can be made through simple modification of orifice in outlet structure. Remove trash and debris from gut corridor.	Low	Easy retrofit of existing facility. Highly visible location but private property	
TR-33	EB Oliver Elementary School		✓		√	•			Demonstration rain garden in courtyard; bioretention in landscaped island in front. The school reports flooding issues in interior courtyard.	High	School eager; community group involvement likely; high visibility	
TR-34	Willy George Community Center		√		✓				Two locations where stormwater retrofits are feasible to reduce existing ponding, provide treatment/storage, and serve as demonstration project. Great location for educating the community about watershed issues and retrofit opportunities.	Med	Steep site may pose some implementation challenges.	
TR-35	Turpentine Run Rd. Culvert (upper)				✓	,			~8x4 ft box culvert north of quarry on Turpentine Gut Rd. at confluence of guts and road. Reportedly, culvert backs up during heavy rains; evidence of flooding was observed. Recommend replacing box culvert with a bridge.	High	Stakeholder priority	
TR-36	Auto Salvage Yard						✓	√	Possible pollutants observed – trash, oils, and grease. Gut runs through middle of property. Further investigate for pollution prevention activities and buffer protection opportunities	Med	Coordinate with broad cleanup and pollution prevention efforts along Turpentine Run Rd.	

					Туре	:					
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	WW	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²		Comments/Next Steps
TR-37	Turpentine Run Rd. Culvert (Mid)				√		✓		~48" CMP near northern entrance to quarry. Significant erosion from concrete drainage channel along road and large scour hole in channel exposing 15 ft of CMP. Recommend upgrading/replacing culvert, stabilizing stream bank, and repairing road drainage channel.	High	Stakeholder priority
TR-38	Heavy Materials			√	√	✓		✓	Possible pollutants observed – sediment, turbidity (concrete), bacteria (human waste), temperature, pH, oils, and grease. Drainage improvements to control on-site runoff from directly discharging into gut. Erosion control lacking for much of the quarried areas.	High	Manager seemed receptive to possible ideas. High priority site.
TR-39	Best Car Wash /The Best Tires							√	Possible pollutants observed – phosphorus, oils, and grease, metals. A vehicle washing station is recommended with a wash water collection and treatment system. Wash water currently runoffs directly to gut. Develop pollution prevention plan.	Med	Coordinate with broad cleanup and pollution prevention efforts along Turpentine Run Rd.
TR-40	Mariendahl/ Turpentine Rd. Culvert (lower)				√				24" undersized and misaligned culvert under road. High runoff velocity and volume from the uphill neighborhood are contributing to undermining of Turpentine Rd. and significant gut erosion. Recommend culvert replacement and relocation, as well as additional drainage control throughout the very steep road network.	High	High priority due to damage on Turpentine Run Rd. Need to link with stormwater management in Mariendahl neighborhood. Requires additional investigation.
TR-41	Equipment storage area			√			✓	✓	Trash and debris dumped near gut and material stockpiles observed with no containment or stabilization. Open barrels of used oil found sitting on site. Basic pollution prevention and dumping prevention required at this site. Recommend trash cleanup and oil recycling.	Med	Coordinate with broad cleanup and pollution prevention efforts along Turpentine Run Rd.
TR-42	Dumpsters/ collection station						✓	✓	Dumpster areas should be retrofitted to provide covered storage and secondary containment to prevent trash from migrating into gut corridor. Investigate options for restricting access to reduce dumping and reducing sedimentation from unpaved vehicle access.	Med	Coordinate with broad cleanup and pollution prevention efforts along Turpentine Run Rd.
TR-43	Cheyenne's Excavating			✓				✓	Construction equipment and other vehicles and parts are parked on an oil-stained, dirt parking area with no drainage infrastructure or stormwater treatment practice. Unprotected soil stockpiles were observed within the parking area. Recommend application of basic ESC practices at a minimum to prevent sediment mobilization via stormwater. Simple pollution prevention practices to better manage waste and outdoor material storage.	Med	Easy to apply ESC practices. Coordinate with broad cleanup and pollution prevention efforts along Turpentine Run Rd.

					Турє	:						
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement Education ESC SWM/Repair		MM	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²		Comments/Next Steps			
TR-44	Nadir Bridge Park	√	√		√		✓		Improve park grading and drainage to limit flooding; install bioretention facilities to provide water quality treatment and enhanced aesthetics. Restore gut by reconnecting it to the floodplain and removing the concrete liner. Good location for educational signage.	High	Review existing design plans for improved intersection. Link with cul-de-sac rain garden demo in adjacent Nadir neighborhood.	
TR-45	Old truck disposal area			√			✓	✓	Site has dirt parking area/road shoulders that discharge directly to the gut. Investigate further to develop a pollution prevention plan, remove trash and debris, and prevent sediment loading to gut.	Med	Review existing design plans for improved intersection and coordinate with other activities along Turpentine Run Rd.	
TR-46	Lima Property				√		✓		Consider conservation easements for stormwater drainage improvements. Retrofit upland area for constructed wetland to treat runoff from road and uphill neighborhood (current and future). Trash cleanup (tires), and wetland buffer restoration.	Low	Initial concept already developed; owner interested; work with DPW	
TR-47	Clinton Phipps Racetrack		✓		✓		✓	✓	Turpentine run discharges at race track; further investigation required to confirm that facility is sewered, and to evaluate potential to divert and manage additional off-site runoff here. Investigate how horse waste is managed. Most of the dirt track is cross-sloped toward the infield where there is a mangrove/wetland area. Sediment management practices and/or mangrove restoration may be feasible.	Med	Requires additional investigation. Could be an opportunity for improved treatment of stormwater runoff.	
TR-48	Trash collection station		✓				√	✓	Work with VIWMA to redesign dump site for covered storage and secondary containment to keep windblown trash out of wetland. Provide recycling and special collection schedules.	High	Low hanging fruit. Good demonstration location to pilot structural improvements.	
TR-49	Sea Kayak Tours		√						Located in the floodplain making it an low priroty site for retrofitting; however, this site is ideal for watershed education.	Low		
TR-50	Derelict Vessels						✓	✓	Removal of marine debris and derelict vessels.	High	Priority in STEER Management Plan.	
TR-51	Behind old humane society				√		✓		Additional investigation needed to determine if upland areas behind humane society provide available space for wetland expansion or stormwater storage/treatment.	Low	Linked with restoration activities at Nadir Bridge Park (TR-44).	

¹ Site IDs correspond to site locations on Subwatershed Management Maps and Field Notes found in Appendix B and C of this report, respectively. Numbering is based on geographic location, primarily in a west to east and upstream to downstream pattern.

² See Appendix C of this report for a more detailed description of existing and proposed conditions.

³ Initial ranking across all sites in the STEER Watershed is not based on a formal prioritization process. It merely represents an initial assessment of feasibility based on property ownership, cost, visibility, and stakeholder priorities, and should be adjusted as more information is collected. Implementation of projects ultimately comes down to opportunity and interest.

Table A3: Potential Project Opportunities in the Nadir Gut Subwatershed

					Турє	9			er recential respect opportunities in the reading out out watershed		
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	ww	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²	Initial Site Rank ³	Comments/Next Steps
NG-1	Elm Road		√				✓		Encroachment of Nadir gut is common in this community and eroding/un-vegetated slopes along gut were observed; unauthorized vegetation removal along gut banks, particularly at goat farm in gut. Target residents with education regarding buffer protection; restore vegetated buffer and stabilize slopes. Organize community trash cleanup day.	Low	Limitations include private properties and lack of a homeowners association to help organize.
NG-2	Gold Hill & Elm Rds.				√		√		Trash/debris removal. Possible bioretention in road shoulder. Headcut at end of culvert splash pad – more energy dissipation required.	Low	
NG-3	Nadir Ball Park		1		√				Constructed wetland or wetland restoration at Elm Rd/Route 32 intersection. Bioretention area in dirt parking area.	High	Highly visible location; good opportunity for education; public property
NG-4	Tropical Marine			✓			✓	✓	Undersized culverts and poor channel alignment exacerbate flooding. No erosion control for newly graded driveway adjacent to mangrove. Rainwater harvesting opportunities for large rooftops. Develop pollution prevention plan for boatyard. Investigate reports of potential discharges of non-stormwater at culvert location.	Med	Existing culvert realignment design plans. Owner receptive to improvements. Include in broader marina/boatyard pollution prevention planning.
NG-5	The Patch			✓	✓	✓		✓	Need to upgrade cesspool and relocte, which sits less than 10 ft from edge of water. Drainage from road cuts through property in a small outlet channel, which is clogged. Unpaved parking and drive aisles highly erodible. Develop site specific pollution prevention plan.	High	Further investigation required. Include in broader marina/boatyard pollution prevention planning.

¹ Site IDs correspond to site locations on Subwatershed Management Maps and Field Notes found in Appendix B and C of this report, respectively. Numbering is based on geographic location, primarily in a west to east and upstream to downstream pattern.

² See Appendix C of this report for a more detailed description of existing and proposed conditions.

³ Initial ranking across all sites in the STEER Watershed is not based on a formal prioritization process. It merely represents an initial assessment of feasibility based on property ownership, cost, visibility, and stakeholder priorities, and should be adjusted as more information is collected. Implementation of projects ultimately comes down to opportunity and interest.

Table A4: Potential Project Opportunities in the Frydenhoi/Compass Pt. Subwatershed

					Туре		\ - 7.	Otel	ntial Project Opportunities in the Frydenhoj/Compass Pt. Subwatershed	a Table 1	
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair	MM	Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²		Comments/Next Steps
FC-1	Saga Haven Marina							√	Observed oils stains on parking area; did not access docks. Educate residents and owner on pollution prevention practices.	Low	Include in marina/boatyard pollution prevention planning.
FC-2	Food Center		✓		✓	✓			Rerouted drainage contributes to flooding issue at culvert. Site constructed into rock. Above grade septic system likely underperforming and should be inspected. Runoff attenuation is required to relieve downstream flooding. Evaluate potential for diversion to retrofits on adjacent properties, or added cisterns or blue roof to extend detention. Replace culvert and reconfigure discharge channel.	Med	Local priority for culvert redesign. Potential on-site options not likely to solve full problem. Additional investigations needed.
FC-3	East End Boat Park				✓		✓	✓	Possible pollutants observed – oil and grease. Flooding at adjacent restaurant. Scour at building foundation. Drainage should be treated with oil/grit separator or sand filter, then piped to outfall.	Med	Include in broader marina/boatyard pollution prevention planning.
FC-4	Independent Boat Yard/ Budget Marine		√		✓	✓		✓	Site adjacent to highest recorded TBT levels in STEER. There are good examples of pollution prevention BMPs currently in use that could be emulated elsewhere. Drainage improvements in roadway to divert flow away from site recommended.	High	TNC has requested funding to support retrofit efforts. Include in marina/boatyard pollution prevention planning.
FC-5	Fryd. gut/Rt 32 culvert				✓		√		Flooding; undersized and deteriorated culvert. Routine maintenance required. Trash cleanup needed.	Low	Gut fairly stable
FC-6	Compass Pt. Salt Pond						✓		Feasibility study required to evaluate historic conditions, understand bathymetry and required dredging extent, contaminant sampling, and modeling of flushing rates.	High	DFW conservation plan identifies this for restoration.
FC-7	Benner Bay/Marina sediments						√		Proposed remediation of TBT contaminated sediments located off the Independent slipway. Likely part of a larger Benner Bay dredging discussion and feasibility assessment; with political implications related to proposed Compass Point Marina dock expansion.	Low	Include in broader marina/boatyard pollution prevention planning.
FC-8	Compass Pt. Marina		√		√	√		✓	Treated wastewater and parking lot runoff flows in an open channel across parking lot to Benner Bay. Should separate stormwater and wastewater and keep wastewater discharge covered or piped. Bioretention possible in parking lot. Routine drainage infrastructure maintenance required.	Med	Interested property manager; high visibility for education opportunities. TNC office location.
FC-9	New parking lots	√			✓				Clearing, grading, and installation of two new (unpermitted) gravel parking lots on hillside at Compass Pt. Marina entrance. Investigate enforcement options and require mitigation and stormwater retrofit.	High	Example of disregard for existing development regulations.

¹ Site IDs correspond to site locations on Subwatershed Management Maps and Field Notes found in Appendix B and C of this report, respectively.

² See Appendix C of this report for a more detailed description of existing and proposed conditions.

³ Initial ranking across all sites in the STEER Watershed is not based on a formal prioritization process.

Table A5: Potential Project Opportunities in the Nazareth Bay, Cowpet Bay, and Great Bay Subwatersheds

					Турє				ct Opportunities in the Nazareth Bay, cowpet Bay, and Great Bay Sub			
ID# ¹	Site Name	Enforcement	Education	ESC	SWM/Repair		Wetland/Gut	Pollution Prv.	Description ²	Initial Site Rank ³	Comments/Next Steps	
NZ-1	Dolphin Cove	√		√					Planned development project in one of last remaining undeveloped coastal areas. Employ strict development standards and make a high priority for enforcement and inspections. Consider applying water quality standards since it drains to impaired waterbody (turbidity).	High	Easier to be proactive; focus on limiting turbidity	
NZ-2	Secret Harbor Estates	√		✓					Planned development project in one of last remaining undeveloped coastal areas. Employ strict development and water quality standards.	High	Easier to be proactive; focus on limiting turbidity	
NZ-3	Secret Harbor Condos				√	✓			Bioretention to treat upper parking lot.	Low	Private ownership.	
CB-1	Anchorage Resort				√	√			Retrofit with a shallow bio or wet swale near tennis courts. Parking lot runoff discharges to beach. Investigate existing yard drains. Track package plant effluent readings due to impaired water status.	Med	Private ownership, but could help solve Yacht Club problem.	
CB-2	Yacht Club		✓		√	✓			Undersized culverts and lack of infrastructure along access road contribute to flooding issues around boat shed. Drainage path blocked by Anchorage.	Med	High visibility for watershed projects.	
CB-3	Cowpet Bay West		√			1		✓	Residents observed repairing vehicles in parking lot; no real drainage issues at site; limited opportunities for demo projects. Track package plant effluent due to impaired water status.	Low	Limited opportunities	
CB-4	Elysian				✓	✓			Track package plant effluent readings due to impaired water status. 36" stormwater drain pipe discharges untreated onto beach. There are a number of opportunities for parking lot retrofits.	Low	Private property; some drainage from Cowpet East comes through here also.	
CB-5	Cowpet Bay East				✓	✓			Bioretention area to treat runoff from eastern-most parking lot. Opportunities for pavement removal. Heightened attention to package plant effluent readings due to impaired water status.	Low	Adequate head; possible poor soils or ledge. May be difficult to convince owner.	
GB-1	Water Point		✓			✓	✓	✓	Talk to them about asphalt sealants; demo advanced on-site system	Med	Active HOA that can help with implementation.	
GB-2	Ritz Carlton		✓		√	√			Add new outlet to existing wetland near beach to prevent wetland overtopping and restaurant flooding. Potential bioretention area in upper parking lot, but challenging. Check maintenance logs on other existing BMPs on site (e.g., Stormceptor and detention basin).	Low	Private property; part of Blue Flag Beach Program; good site for education of tourists & resort managers.	
GB-3	Cabrita Pt Salt Pond	✓					✓		Investigate opportunities for land acquisition/conservation easement to protect remaining salt pond. Alternatively, be proactive about flagging this site for stringent development requirements.	High	Check if under existing easement already; could be expensive to purchase.	

¹ Site IDs correspond to site locations on Subwatershed Management Maps and Field Notes found in Appendix B and C of this report, respectively.


² See Appendix C of this report for a more detailed description of existing and proposed conditions.


³ Initial ranking across all sites in the STEER Watershed is not based on a formal prioritization process.


Appendix B:


Subwatershed Management Maps

Bovoni Subwatershed	1
Turpentine Run Subwatershed- North	2
Turpentine Run Subwatershed- South	3
Nadir Gut Subwatershed	4
Frydenhoj/Compass Pt. Subwatershed	5
Nazareth Cownet, and Great Ray Subwatersheds	6













Subwatershed



STEER Watershed



Parcels



STEER Boundary



Roads



20 Foot Contours



Wastewater Treatment



Proposed Projects



Proposed Sewer Line Ext. (appr.)



Proposed Sewer Service Ext. (appr.)

Wetlands




Mangrove

Mixed swamp





Ponds




Locus Map USVI - St. Thomas STEER Watershed


Horsley Witten Group
Sustainable Environmental Solutions
90 Roule 6A · Sandwich, MA · 02563
16: 508-033-0600 · Fax: 508-933-370 · www.norseywitten.com

Frydenhoj/Compass Pt. Subwatershed **Management Map**

Date: 3/31/2013

400





Appendix C:

Concept Sketches and Field Notes

Bovoni Sites

Turpentine Run Sites (TR-1 through TR-25)

Turpentine Run Sites (TR-26 through TR-51)

Nadir Gut Sites

Frydenhoj/Compass Pt. Sites

Nazareth, Cowpet, and Great Bay Sites





☐ Stormwater Retrofit ☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration ☐ Residential Stewardship	Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge
□ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: Boyon
Site Name: BCB School (BO-1)
Description of Existing Conditions:
used to have flooding problem Fredit with a
le tout eige from behind the school down to
gut. Inlet to pipe is changed with regetation. Some
gut. Inlet to pipe is changed with regulation. Some roof dains connected to a distern that overflows since it isn't us
Legite / Sliver . Diver
cisterns? Yes one big cistern + irrigation. Next to cafete
How does Hypoenter to pie? There is an niet but we
couldn't and it.
Courtyards all have "ran gardens" that could be
repropriet to function be Her. I fraled slope in main
actuard. All contribusins fland down to such
suttall area at corner of parking lot - into and
some Ho may eventually flow onto unused ball feld. Dimpsters are right by gut uncovered, and eaking into coatch basin.
ield. Dimpsters are right by gut uncovered and
eaking into catch basin id 0 J

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

Description of Proposed Project:		1 2000	
· Poboset concrete su	sales with	battles and	
detention to slow	Hy D dawn a	and infiltrate as	
possible		10.08	
· convert courtyard go		and and redirect	
· Stabilize eroded slop		and and recover	
the O (prevent driving 4		22 d 22 c C	
· more dumpsters to	s better locat	and care	
· Redirect storm water	mo ball	nela 18 ereact	
stormwater wrettand		ear catch hasins/park	in
	10 10 - 0	> L	20.00
	is demo pr		
- Reduce unnecessary	- And the Andrewson of	cher throughout	
school property (excells	ive parking 10		
1-12			
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Informa	tion:		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
¥			
*			
		*	
, ***		i e	
		ū.	
Site Priority: Love it ☐ Has Po	tential 🔲 Not Likely	☐ Enforcement Needed	





Path: H:\ProjectsWOAA\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Waps\ZField\Maps\Points_Interest\120221_Bovoni_POls_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
 Residential Stewardship 	☐ Illicit Discharge
□ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: BAVO~[
Site Name: Thorsewille Cooperative (BO-2)
Description of Existing Conditions: HAVE Seven HAVE water What Cisterry W/ Cisterry OvenFloor Under parking Lat Sydrey > Mainternance supervisor Sent enosion in Longr get Upper > Fairly good strape Some Trash Mostly Longr
ARRA 7-2 Get down Carla of Road of RUSIDENIA DOWNORM A CONCRE ROAD OF * FURTHER INVESTIGATE SITE POR R.G. OPTIONS
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
Bride Sand South Possible BMP ANTAGONASS




Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
□ Land Conservation	Other


Description of Existing Conditions: VRITREAM OF SETE, GUT WAS DIVERTED WHEN CO-OP ROAD CONSTRUCTION (REPORTED BY REO-OP FAMILITY MANAGER) NOW GUT GOES DOWN ROAD TO TEXATO (BO-T). CONSIDER OF TON FOR RESTAUNT CUT TO OPICIONAL PATH. PIPE IT 3EHTEND HIMES OF UP INTO AN INFILTRATION OF VILLIAGUAND STORAGE TONE OF WOODEN LOT. WOODED LOT HAS WENTAND THEN OVERFLOW FIRST, BUT HEND IS POTENTIAL AND THEN OVERFLOW ADDITIONS TO BE DELINEATED. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: FOR A LOT OF FOR A LOT OF FOR A COMMON SHED PRESENTAL ON THE AMERICAN SHADY ACTIVITIES. PRENDING ON DUNBERHO, THE COMMON ITY A SIGNIFICANT OP FOR A COMMON ITY A SIGNIFICANT OP FOR A COMMON ITY	Subwatershed: BOVON /
Description of Existing Conditions: VP4TRGAM OF SETE, GVT WAS DIVERTED WHEN CO-OP PRILLIPY ROAD CONSTRUCTED (REPORTED BY RO-OP FAILLY) WANHUER) NOW GVT GOES DOWN ROAD TO TEXTED (BO-7). CONSIDER OF DON FOR RESTRING WITH COTT TO ORIGINAL PATH. PIPE IT 3EHTEND HIMES OF VINE GOOD STORE OF INTO ON INFINITE AND VINE GOOD AND THEN OVERFLOW TO WOODED LOT HAS WETTAND AREA IN IT THAT NEEDS TO BE DELINEATED. FIRST, EVT HENE IS POTENTAL Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: FOR A LOT OF CURRENTY, THE AREA ISED FOR COCK/DUL- FIGHTING AND OTHER SHADY ACTIVITIES. TO PRINTING ON DUNEASHIP, THIS COULD BE DEPENDENT ON ON PUNEASHIP, THIS COULD BE DEPENDENT ON ON PUNEASHIP, THIS COULD BE DEPENDENT ON ON PUNEASHIP, THIS COULD BE	Site Name: (30-3) Wooded Parcel 6 Thomasville Co-op
NOW GUT GOES DOWN ROAD TO TEXAGO (BO-T). CONSIDER OF TON FOR RESTAUNT CUT TO ORIGINAL PATH: PIPE IT SEHOND HIMES OF VP INTO ON INFILTRATION Af Or VINLINGUAND STORES FOR USE FOR IVISATION AT CO-OP) AND THEN OVERCE ON TO WOODED LOT HAS WELLAND THEY IN 1T THAT NEROS TO BE DELINEATED. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: For A LOT OF CURRENTY, THE AREA USED FOR COCK/DOL THOUGHT AND OTHER SHADY ACTIVITIES. TOTALLAST ON OWNERSHIP, THIS COMED BE DEPENDING ON OWNERSHIP, THIS COMED BE A SIGNIFICANT OP FOR A COMMUNITY	
CONSIDER OF ON FOR RESTRING WITTERS TO ORIGINAL PATH; PIPE IT BEHAND HOMES OF UP INTO ON INFORMATION AF ON VINDINGUARD STORES FOR WORKERSON TO WOODED LOT HAS WELLAND AREA IN 1T THAT NEEDS TO BE DELINEATED. FIRST, ENT HAME IS POTTENTIAL Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: FOR A LOT OF CURRENTY, THE AREA USED FOR COCK/DUL FIGHTING AND OTHER SHADY ACTIVITIES. TEPRINTING ON DUNERSHIP, THIS COULD BE DEPRINTING ON DUNERSHIP, THIS COULD BE DEPRINTING OF FOR A COMMUNITY A SIGNIFICANT OF FOR A COMMUNITY	NOW GUT GOES DOWN ROAD TO TEXACO (BO-7).
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: For A COT OF STORMWASER MANAGEMENT HERE. CURRENTY, THE AREA USED FOR COCK/DUL- FIGHTING AND OTHER SHADY ACTIVITIES. PEPENDING ON DUNERSHIP, THIS COULD BEE A SIGNIFICANT OP. FOR A COMMUNITY OSLAMAL SAMMUNITY	
HALL TO SCHOOL FOR KIDS.	Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: For A CBT OF ACTIVITIES. FLOATING AND OTHER SHADY ACTIVITIES. FLOATING AND OTHER SHADY ACTIVITIES.



Path: H:Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_Bovoni_PO\s_11084.mxd



Path: H:VProjectsINOAA111084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_Bovoni_POIs_11084.mxd






☐ Sto	ormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Str	eam/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
□ Re	sidential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
☐ La	nd Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: Bovow I
Site Name: (BO-4) BOUONI Projects
Description of Existing Conditions:
HAVE CISTONS I Not used Piggons Also McAlored
a Notunal - Spulling
Epsin orthode From spring adon
Epsin orthode From syncip adon Runoft (most Maly). Regnish pasts FIREA in Progress
MR AUSTIN Is the Maintenace Superison (very vice)
Storm whom Collists by Conto cuts that direct produce buildings that Art courses
For Access & Mr AUSTIN SAID They clear Regularity. Cistons & Slowley Release To your 13
Melo Stanger
Solan Maturator Heaters. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
Dungstons uncovered. Mr Austh mattered
that he has a problem with air borne
Thash: Debnis Arand the site. Aboutdanced who theatment Facility To the
Abandoned who theatmost
South of no Development.

Description of	Proposed Pro	umsers			
. 5	stabiliz	re Steeps	slopes u	ith vegetation	
0	to red	Me erosi	cisterni	s again for gray,	. /1
. &	Mali	2e Statote	Jules	s and stormwat	e
W	egetate	a Sprinter	nter bas	sins for wher	
9	wally.	, and show	are - pr	event/reduce	
	Jan 1	stream	Sus	easkernost	
· Cuy	king lot	- raingorde	" pear	easer me	
Pac					
		đ			
	17 61				
Additional Not	es and/or Ske	etch Information:			
					1
		/			
		Α.			
		Ħ			
Sita Prioritus	☐ Love it	☐ Has Potential	□ Not Likely	☐ Enforcement Needed	
Site Priority:	L LOVE IC	LI Has Fotelitial	LI NOT LIKELY	Linoicement Needed	



Date: 1/30/2013



Smale

Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: Sovering Subwatershed:
Site Name: Wing (Renier) (BO-5)
Description of Existing Conditions: maga/ Met with one epenation. Hesitant To TAILETO USE
Orsite Saptic, Our nateu Soince & Cloten
DRAIN TO A get out behind Building
They MAVE not had Plooding ISSUES According To
Scotle dincely Adjoint to get of Banana TREES oven & Anoral. Mas what appears to be A scotle tank.
Site is Fainly close of mostly Impensions (Mostly NO 1655My Impensions). DCB
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
Storing.
Cister Panting Panting OPFILE OPFILE
STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project – Field Form February 27-March 2, 2012

1201	2000 - de 11-	n 0 1	5			
Mo	a Don/M	1 Acm	and of	# phic	Systan	1
	(2-1-1	7	***************************************			
						_
						-
	St. Thomas - Main Office	70				
pirits ltd.	St. Thomas — Main Office 7020 Estate Bovoni P.O.Box 502790 St. Thomas, VI 00805-2790 T. 340.775.1275 F. 340.777.3695 St. Croix — Branch 57-C Eliza's Retreat P.O. Box 922 St. Croix, VI 00821 T. 340.773.6437 F. 340.773.5034					
pirits ltd.	7020 Estate Bovoni P.O.Box 502790 St. Thomas, VI 00805-2790 T. 340.775.1275 F. 340.777.3695 St. Croix – Branch 57-C Eliza's Retreat P.O. Box 922 St. Croix, VI 00821 T. 340.773.6437					
pirits ltd.	7020 Estate Bovoni P.O.Box 502790 St. Thomas, VI 00805-2790 T. 340.775.1275 F. 340.777.3695 St. Croix – Branch 57-C Eliza's Retreat P.O. Box 922 St. Croix, VI 00821 T. 340.773.6437					
pirits ltd.	7020 Estate Bovoni P.O.Box 502790 St. Thomas, VI 00805-2790 T. 340.775.1275 F. 340.777.3695 St. Croix – Branch 57-C Eliza's Retreat P.O. Box 922 St. Croix, VI 00821 T. 340.773.6437					
pirits ltd.	7020 Estate Bovoni P.O.Box 502790 St. Thomas, VI 00805-2790 T. 340.775.1275 F. 340.777.3695 St. Croix – Branch 57-C Eliza's Retreat P.O. Box 922 St. Croix, VI 00821 T. 340.773.6437					
pirits ltd.	7020 Estate Bovoni P.O.Box 502790 St. Thomas, VI 00805-2790 T. 340.775.1275 F. 340.777.3695 St. Croix – Branch 57-C Eliza's Retreat P.O. Box 922 St. Croix, VI 00821 T. 340.773.6437					
oirits lid.	7020 Estate Bovoni P.O.Box 502790 St. Thomas, VI 00805-2790 T. 340.775.1275 F. 340.777.3695 St. Croix – Branch 57-C Eliza's Retreat P.O. Box 922 St. Croix, VI 00821 T. 340.773.6437					



Stormwater	Retrofit

Stream/Wetland Restoration

☐ Residential Stewardship ☐ Land Conservation


	intrastructure
X	Illicit Discharge

Pollution Prevention

☐ Other

Subwatershed: Bovoni	
Site Name: Bolk Storage	(BO-6)
Description of Existing Conditions: SITC IS Mostly approx Sentac	e. Jalked To

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



February 27-March 2, 2012

Description of Proposed Project: Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



Site Priority:	☐ Love it	☐ Has Potential	☐ Not Likely	☐ Enforcement Needed
Ä.				



Wednesday, January 30, 2013 1:03:53 PM




	Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship Land Conservation		Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge Other	
--	---	--	---	--

Subwatershed: Bovoni Bovoni Bovoni
Site Name: TEXACO DRAINAGE PROBLEM (30-7)
Description of Existing Conditions: Abardard Gas station -> Robberg too many Times
Appears Roof is Dinewed To 4 grassed (world Ang) organismon To the North of the Gas STAtion, CB 15 clogged of Sedlmone Purp Station Upgrade, Washed of Station. Property South
upgrade, bad shape and despende For An
Planding on Boroni Rd. Appense Septic having company insdalled A benn TN on effort To key Rinoft in Road.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

& Install new catchbasins/pipe at intersection to carry sw to BO-8

on-site runoff in existing open space (e.g. rain garden/birretentim).





☑– Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	Other

	Subwatershed: Bo-8
	Site Name: Storage Facility At Intensalin of Bovoni Rd/ LUTON PROPERTY Project Rd
	LUTON PROPERTY PROject Rd
	Description of Existing Conditions:
	Owners ART the DVERGSTEN Company INC
	Owners ART the Diergster Company Inc. Owner is interested in Long TATES/donating Approx 8 April of without To Island/government.
	Proporty is mostly storage units. Got is dint
	Proporty is mostly stonage units. Got is dint For the most pant with two piped sections - ONE drive Aisley I Vaden bovoni Rd (see sketch)
	Charicals - I that is the get if one Taking Flow From Storage Facility (Bulk Storage) To
	the North
	Orgito Person Mantimed he has not seen
	it Flood outside the get but the Lonar
	ARFAS of the property were with showed Styrs of ponding Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
	Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
	Atsching Pipe under Bovoni Appeared Cloyded
,	
6	He: Resident
1	- (choisting lutar) is A Longton
/	the and This propert of
_	id her family has owned this propert of
1	re 13 Acres Across the Street (West
F	on Auhila. Very vice à oducated en mattanat
	e carrament

☐ Not Likely


☐ Enforcement Needed

Site Priority:

☐ Love it ☐ Has Potential

cluton@dvgvi.com

BY	DATE		SHEET NO.	OF	1.7
CHECKED BY	DATE		PROJECT NO	0	
TROJECT	<u></u>		BOOK NO	(BO.8)
	+	100	3	ST	
200		3/400	°/	735	,)
7 45		1	/ '	Jo wax	1
1038 K	Droni to	Fin T		My	cond,
2017	Road to	3		Tax	3
Var				Possible	BMP
1 /2/11)\ /		V. J. kel	Y AREA
,,,			/ <1	3,200	private)
Ň	(22 1/		570	nog.	
4	Ologran				
97,22	1 Alm)		
			-12" Decle	Inon	
71	+				
	+7				
		1			
	1	X			
	7	~ ~ ~	ş 🗀		474
	- Conc cha	nnel	2	100	
2		7	Cha	3 2 2 2	
5	owne Runo,			3000 %	
	J Runot	8	> \	2 th	





X Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
□ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: Sovoni
Site Name: STORAGE TACITY CENTER STORAGE (80 9)
Mostly concrete Parant, Buildings Marc cisterns
At Ends To collect Roof Rings USE cistons
To wash Buildings but AppEAR TO MOSTly overFlow
Contain of Particles ARFA That ARE comocked
To be out Openation of Facility & FRANCE VER
Note: nave his cand) said his panning Cot
Storms due to the 10" pipe under the
Access Road to the Maintenance/ Housing Abeing
Talking About is Actually Francis As
A vey/noting could possibly use a
Second culvert At same alcusting To convey
TREAT MAS Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
SEE Attached sheld

Virgin Islands Development Corp Bovoni Center Storage Corp Southshore Storage, Inc. P.O. Box 6287 St. Thomas, VI 00804

Francee A. Carter
General Manager
Francois Baron Tel: (340) 775-0300 Fax: (340) 777-7143

	of Ada	A-P	DC FO	n Co	LEGA	ec c	of
La	evant	5	1				
<u> </u>							
					//		
8 1 2 1 2 1							
litional Note	es and/or Ske	tch Informatio	n:				
	ē.						
	<u>*</u>						
Priority:		☐ Has Potent		Librative		ant Na	ad ad

CHECKED BY		7	PROJECT NOBOOK NOBOOK	OF
Buy Polo	ble mater -	Dulak d' Cook	13	
	X.			
				A poter
		I) cBs		Stens AL Sur
	PANCE			Si blig
				2 ins
Si Si	Wish T	305	wetland -	
OT MAIP PL	Acce	SS ROAD RALLEYS JONAY	E Let Too !	lights >






Stormwater Retrofit	7	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration		Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship	4	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation		Other

Subwatershed: Bovoni
Site Name: Lew Herky's (BO-10)
Description of Existing Conditions:
Site is south of Boroni Rd. Martly all gravel
and sloped From North To South Myonity
of AREA is used For storage of Active and
INACTOR TANKEUS (SEPTIC MAUKUS) à Bouse
Houses (PortoPots). Some of the olden
TANKERS Appear to be Leaking septage or
To supponding ARTA. VARIOS times during
I spection smalls of sopie were prevalent.
Low not available at time of trapection
i scepetary sold she had only been there For
"A couple months.
Gut Flans Fream Oven Bovani Rd To
the North and it appears someone
made An effort to stop the Ruroff From
entering the site & contain on the Road.
BORN Looks Roant gid is made of plastic
BARRIENS and Loose dint. Appears con stricted Recently.
This pant of Boroni Rd Floods (TETACO
VW Punp STANON up grades.
There is a metant stripping (coppen) Facility
AN HAI SOUTH
There is a metal stripping was to the sorth of Lows. Model stripping was in progress at time of Inspection. Model in progress at time of Inspection. Model
IN progress at time
Rangedents prevalout throughout
Bypnoduty prevalout throughout gravel surface

Description of Proposed Project:

Being At bottom of watershed Those Sites Receive highest volumes of offsete Rin	1 6 1
To the Bags degnodation, Clearp	-thibutors
Likely Required construction of A veni Fr	rold rolly Restant
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	*
= BoveniRd	
Appenisto un	
20pl	Natch
Jan Vanious with New	
OFFICE TO SEA	TOILET RENTAL
1 2 20	
Storage Legaling.	SEWAGE DISPOSAL & PORTABLE #I In a #2 Business St. Thomas ~ 775-6259 St. John ~ 693-9300 Fax: 715-3674 Email: lewhenleysewagedisposai@viaccess.net
Site Priority: ☐ Love it ☐ Has Potential ☐ Not Likely ☐ Enforcement N	DISPOSAI
STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project – Field Form Febru	EWAGE



Date: 2/21/2012

Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Waps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_Bovoni_PO\s_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	□ Other


${\sf Subwatershed:} _$	Bosoni (Dali)
Site Name:	Gas Statum on Boroni Rd (150-11) between Texaco + Sweet Piece Planding issues
Description of Existi	IE COMMICONS.
	- Uncovered overflowing dumpser.
	Signage indicates a problem w/ public
·	using the private sumpster.
-	Storage of rehides on property,
	coracina of unavered maintentite
	indications of ponding on parement rear areas that could be concerted to swelvofits (sintle open gass area)
	Š
Additional Notes and	/or Sketch Information:



☐ Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: Subwatershed:
Site Name: Sweet PIES/LAUNDRY (B0-12)
Description of Existing Conditions:
Site is located to the south of Bovoni Rd;
East of the Translation of the There is
A get Aborg GAS STATION on The west proport
Wie not is Feet by the HDPE celudat
that is under Bovali Rs. Gudvant upstran
Talked To the owner of sneet pies Ad he
and to the owner of sheet pies Adhe
explained that he has IsslEs with Road
Flooding will proporty, Flooding From
culvery would have to be From culvent
backing up on the upsterm and i over
Topping the Road on the get capacity
overtion. Gut is tainly will defined
WITH SIDE Slopes I vegetated SURPACE
HIDRE pipe did appear to be clogged on
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
There were Two other conduits discharge 10
the HDPE calvent, our was said to loc
to the On water system For the worker
TANKER FIT port the other is werme.
to the Rean of the Buld 75
Forts From The LACHORY DIO
44. Doll Append
School From the Facilities. The west
and has a white full pipe that
discharges Typical 10 school
From the Bovani ce Ivent Appeared clean

Description of Proposed Project:
Ugud, nonever The closen To the SAId
Lischarine the me had signs or heavy
Alask After the PVC discharge The got
WATER MAS Cloudy & had signs of
Toilal noste, Also sinched the scotle
The owner of Swort PIES SAID It was
Ever his generator containment (not likely),
The get From the Landy has SOAP ?
what appeared to be toiled debnis. Flow
nos comin From A portion of the building
Hart Marsed the according
owner of Loudy Bld said his purp
died yestenday (withely from visible sijes
with the aut
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: Boroni Road Cold cold pup. By citing gusting of small pup.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
Borbai Road & corld
be either quality of small purp.
ST STORAGE
HOPE BOVO- ROAD
PANNIL 9 Sept German
CA DAMICO
PANNER 15mp
70 / ===================================
DEOP SWEAT PIES DE LAWRY
W Lawrence
AL OF ICEN A
The Second second
60 61 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Site Priority:
Site Priority: Love it Has Potential Not Likely Finforcement Needed



Path: H:\Projects\WOAA\11084\WOAA\St. Thomas\G\S\Waps\ZField\Maps\Points_Interest\120221_Bovoni_PO\s_11084\mxd



	d cand conservation d other
Subwatershed: Bovo ~ i	
Site Name: Unregulated De	up site (West of Lande, 11) [BO-13
Stonage fruitty i From	of Bovoni Rd . Small S Formed Along Rd palon Runoff dinested From
(oil/etc) ARE everywhe AREA is Also used I thave been constructed Mokeshift Eisterns	
Bovo-1	Extensively For Construction Stagily
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information	Durp .

EXTEN	silve U	cary, ,	nost b	hely	SyperFud
		X			
	1				
lditional Note	s and/or Sket	ch Information:			
		K			
	ě				
	8	*			Si a
	*				
	× ·				v v
ite Priority:	☐ Love it	☐ Has Potential	□ Not Likely		cement Needed



Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration		Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship		Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	X	Other

Enforcement
Subwatershed: Bovoni
Site Name: LANDFILL (BO-14)
Description of Existing Conditions:
Under conjunt server there are a number
of requirements prior to closure
"Menitering
- Chormwater - Pellution prevention Laghate interception or treatment
- Pilletin preventir.
- Lachate interception or treatment
STOR NOTES ON CONSTANT DEPREE

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

HW NOTES

Bovoni Landfill Mitigation Requirements

USA vs. Government of the U.S. Virgin Islands, Virgin Islands Waste Management Authority, Virgin Islands Port Authority, Joseph and Zulma Hodge

Charges

• Landfill must submit annual reports to the EPA regarding emissions of non-methane organic compounds (NMOCs). If reports exceed annual limits, the landfill must design, construct, and operate a gas collection and control system (GCCS).

EPA's regulations issued under the CAA require that each landfill built before 1991 and that has a capacity greater than a certain threshold must submit annual reports to EPA regarding emissions of non-methane organic compounds ("NMOCs"); that if any NMOC report shows that the annual emissions of these landfill gases exceed a specified threshold quantity, the landfill must design, construct, and operate, according to certain deadlines, a gas collection and control system ("GCCS") that captures and combusts gases generated within the landfill. Effective January 2004, the CAA regulations also require each such landfill to develop and implement a start-up, shutdown and malfunction ("SSM") plan for the GCCS.

 Bovoni must install a ground water monitoring system and implement a storm water pollution prevention plan.

EPA made findings that Bovoni was being operated in a manner that may present an "imminent and substantial endangerment to health and the environment" and, pursuant to RCRA Section 7003(a), issued an administrative order on consent ("Bovoni RCRA AOC") that requires that GVI and WMA, inter alia, pursuant to an approved schedule, award contracts by August 2006 to install a ground water monitoring system and to implement a storm water pollution prevention plan at Bovoni.

Joseph and Zulma Hodge must removal scrap tires adjacent to Bovoni.

The United States filed an amended complaint ("Complaint") in this action, that added two defendants, Joseph and Zulma Hodge (the "Hodges"), and a claim that the GVI, WMA, and the Hodges failed to comply with an EPA administrative order (Docket No. RCRA-022008-7307 (October 4, 2008) ("Bovoni Tire AOC"), issued pursuant to RCRA Section 7003(a), requiring the removal of scrap tires adjacent to Bovoni.

Civil Penalties

• Defendants shall jointly pay \$50,000 as a civil penalty. Payment of the principal amount shall be made in four equal annual installments of \$12,500 (plus interest).

 WMA shall submit a revised GCCS design plan that conforms with the 2012 Closure Plan.

The GCCS as constructed deviates from the WMA's design plan for the GCCS dated May 2010 which EPA approved in March 2011, and WMA's 2012 Closure Plan (as provided under Paragraph 21.a) will require further changes to the GCCS. Accordingly, WMA shall, by the deadline specified in Appendix A, submit a revised GCCS design plan. The revised plan shall describe modifications required to conform the GCCS to the modifications to the Landfill proposed in the 2012 Closure Plan, and shall comply with the Federal Plan and the Landfill MACT.

 WMA shall submit to EPA an initial performance test report of the GCCS within 45 days after completion of the initial performance test.

Within 30 days after the Effective Date, WMA shall submit for EPA approval a revised protocol for performance testing of the control system that incorporates EPA's comments. WMA shall, by the deadline specified in Appendix A or within 90 days after EPA's approval of the revised protocol, whichever is later, complete the initial performance test of the control system. WMA shall perform the initial performance test of the control system using the test methods specified in 40 C.F.R. § 60.754(d) and (e), the test procedures specified in 40 C.F.R. § 60.754(b), and in accordance with the EPA-approved protocol. WMA shall submit to EPA an initial performance test report within 45 days after completion of the initial performance test. The report shall satisfy the specifications of 40 C.F.R. §§ 60.8, 60.757 and 60.758.

- WMA shall, within 60 days after the completion of the initial performance test or 120 days after the Effective Date, whichever is later, submit a proposed GCCS Operation and Maintenance ("O&M") Plan to EPA for its review and comment.
- WMA shall operate the GCCS at all times. During periods of SSM WMA shall operate the GCCS in accordance with an EPA reviewed SSM Plan.
- WMA shall submit required information to the EPA by May 31, 2012 to enable EPA to make a determination on the request for relief from EPA's Clean Water Act Consent Order No. II-CWA-98-125.

WMA requested relief from EPA's Clean Water Act Consent Order No. II-CWA-98-125 regarding buried metal and other material in the wetland in and adjacent to the Landfill. WMA shall by May 31, 2012, submit to EPA information to enable EPA to make a determination in the matter.

- Until Closure, WMA shall operate the Landfill in accordance with the Decree and the federal municipal solid waste landfill operating criteria, including:
 - Implement a groundwater monitoring program;

- Implement and maintain a program for detecting and preventing disposal of regulated hazardous wastes;
- c. Apply adequate cover material;
- d. Control disease vectors;
- e. Control explosive gases;
- f. Ensure that no open burning of solid wastes occurs;
- g. Control access to the Landfill;
- h. Control storm water run-on and run-off;
- i. Ensure that the Landfill does not cause discharges of pollutants into waters of United States that violate CWA requirements; and
- Ensure that bulk or non-containerized liquid wastes are not placed in the Landfill except when allowed.

Until Closure, WMA shall operate the Landfill in accordance with the Decree and the federal municipal solid waste landfill operating criteria set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 258.20-29, including:

- a. WMA shall implement a program for groundwater monitoring, as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.51-55. WMA may seek EPA's approval, for purposes of this Decree only, for WMA to conduct monitoring less frequently than, or conduct monitoring of fewer parameters than, is provided under 40 C.F.R. § 258.54;
- b. WMA shall implement and maintain a program for detecting and preventing the disposal of regulated hazardous wastes as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.20;
- c. WMA shall apply adequate cover material as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.21;
- d. WMA shall control disease vectors as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.22;
- e. WMA shall control explosive gases as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.23;
- f. WMA shall ensure that no open burning of solid waste occurs as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.24;
- g. WMA shall control access as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.25;
- h. WMA shall control run-on and run-off as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.26;
- i. WMA shall ensure that the Landfill does not cause discharges of pollutants into waters of the United States that violate CWA requirements, as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.27; and
- j. WMA shall ensure that bulk or non-containerized liquid wastes are not placed in the Landfill except as provided in 40 C.F.R. § 258.28.
- WMA shall perform an assessment for groundwater corrective action, select a remedy and implement the remedy.

WMA shall perform an assessment for groundwater corrective action, select a remedy and implement the remedy if required by and in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 258.56-58.

- WMA shall, by the deadline specified in the Closure Schedule, submit for EPA approval a revised closure plan for the Landfill ("2012 Closure Plan").
- If WMA, after consulting with the EPA, determines that the Landfill will reach its approved limits of waste at a different date than set forth in the Closure Schedule, new

deadlines may be established for accepting waste, completing stabilization and storm water control, and for complete Closure.

WMA may, after consulting with EPA, make a determination that the Landfill's physical contours and slopes will reach their approved limits at a different date than the deadline to permanently cease accepting waste set forth in the Closure Schedule. In that event, WMA shall establish, after consulting with EPA, new deadlines to permanently cease accepting waste at the Landfill, to complete slope stabilization and storm water control in the East areas, and to complete Closure. WMA shall notify EPA of the new deadlines and shall prepare a modified Closure Schedule.

- WMA shall: (a) within 21 months after the Effective Date, remove all scrap tires from Area A (as that area is described in the Bovoni Tire AOC); and (b) within 18 months after the Effective Date, remove all scrap tires from the Incidental Areas (as those areas are described in the Bovoni Tire AOC). These scrap tires shall be transported outside the Territory in accordance with applicable transport rules and management requirements of the receiving jurisdiction, or used in accordance with the Beneficial Reuse Plan under Paragraph 26.
- WMA shall implement mosquito control measures for temporarily stored tires until all tires have been removed.

WMA shall, for Area A, the Incidental Areas and any areas where the scrap tires are stored pending beneficial reuse under Paragraph 26, implement mosquito control measures in accordance with the requirements of the Virgin Islands Department of Health until all tires have been removed.

- WMA shall implement the Waste Diversion Program. (see page 17-18 of CD)
- WMA shall submit to EPA quarterly reports regarding its compliance with the requirements of the CAA regulations and the CAA provisions of the Consent Decree.
- WMA shall comply with the reporting and recordkeeping requirements specified in 40 C.F.R. §§ 60.757 and 60.758. (see page 21-23)
- The GVI has represented: (i) that it does not have sufficient funds on hand to implement the Closure Work and a Significant Groundwater Corrective Action; (ii) that it must obtain such funding through various means including the sale of bonds.
- GVI and WMA shall seek and use reasonable efforts to secure approval for the prospective imposition of a solid waste fee.


By the end of 2014, GVI and WMA, as applicable, shall seek, and shall use reasonable efforts to secure, approval by the Legislature of the Virgin Islands or the Virgin Islands Public Services Commission, as applicable, for the prospective imposition of a solid

waste fee, if and to the extent that such approval is necessary for the imposition of such fee.

GCCS/LCCS

Research yielded two alternatives for implementing a GCCS and LCCS:

- Install a combination GCCS/LCCS that relies upon drilled wells for both gas and leachate extraction and collection; or
- Install a GCCS that relies up drilled wells for gas extraction and collection and install a separate LCCS that involves primarily a gravity, perimeter trench system that directs leachate to a treatment facility.
- Data found suggests that a combination GCCS/LCCS system may be the most cost-effective alternative provided that an existing trench LCCS system isn't already in place.



Path: H:lProjects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_Bovoni_POIs_11084.mxd




Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship Land Conservation		Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	X	Other wwt

Subwatershed: Book Mangrane Lagoon (80-15)	
Site Name: Mangrove Lagoon WWTP	
Description of Existing Conditions: Jessy Ryan - Operator of Smaller Plant for that hundles Sep	1
1750 000 GRD DOS / HONDER AND 1700	VE
1750,000 GRD Design / 400,000 GRD TYP- /500,000 get right	21
- Septence handled at Smaller plant where acon "bugs"	
are rentroduced and "q.1 Her, but to sending to	
main treatment feithly	
Plant cottnes of on wishe meetment duting 8 hr workday	
Le plant does not causele of night inject	
there is a significant (2-31-9) runfall expected	
then it rans 24 hrs / day	
Theetment plant both in 2002/2003 Ft. Mylnar WWTP Should be going off-line and will howk up contourned of sympostation in Bound Neishouth will be offine in a maken of	
. It. Mylyar WINTE should be gody off-line and willhowing stown To	
of sompstation real received full be offine in a maken of	
well wells. Web propostations come onthe.	
Infradrature in Annas februare dd - Orange Source Pire a Boyrs old	
~ 40 8rs (1960s)	
E of to land the	
ediapsing pipes @	
Same BE Depress Outer to (Now To	tul
Sel tare	
Order Toplaye	
Same Same Delivery	
Same Same Starage Starage	
MENTILLE STORE KI	
Seotrage of	
Deribar Standard	
Serting/ Occariting	
35/7/2	

Description of Proposed	new
· Repure Vista	Oung WWTP
· Design for &	peine for new development near
Fx myl	peine for new development rear
1.54.65 116	-10
& when h	ouse up 60 of sever resident
Should	LOW EED
Ack about	Matorials Connection to work
- Racedrack Con	reated to sever & Doman Society
obmall station	hout \$ 0,5 mil
· Downsport Cons	estrans into manholes esteek during Printer - 3/20 naturater
Manholes /Pil	on during extended printall period
Additional Notes and/or	
·Blockeyos in	old/New toto ends up in that ford/oursystems
Pronties -	Control Norall-Room Ignation
- 7.	mil who for some land fill cover
ECC.	
·Gas ener	gy plant for lands-11 - will power WWTH
Leannete Colle	tron - not there now but is in design no
·Algine Shut don	
· Slag/merals.	in mangiture - restoration plan in place - public report
3381 stopes /de	ention ands for closure - 38 m.7
15BR WW-	P (Barsonen Abaton Seating (Decently
Site Priority: □ Lov	e it 🔲 Has Potential 🔲 Not Likely 🔲 Enforcement Needed
our disht	
STEER Watersheds Assessm	ent and Planning Project - Field Form February 27-March 2, 201 Poly mes . added to Studge
+0:11 - 5 salfrase	THA HOR WHERE - light boild points I have chemicals

FIELD ASSESSMENT	☐ Stormwater Retrofit ☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration ☐ Residential Stewardship ☐ Land Conservation	□ Pollution Prevention□ Infrastructure Repair□ Illicit Discharge□ Other
Subwatershed:	of schance was	1 line
Site Name: (CZM) ML. I	EVE, & GRUM B	EPA RICO RICO A NEW YORK
Description of Existing Conditions:	74 610011	DOT Lo painting 1
JANUARY LOOKING @ 3 5 REDHOOK SALT POND CR	TES SR SECOND FALSE ENT	
	TRICAL CONDITIONS	
	STIMATE DREDGIAN C	APACITY/
CONTAMINANT SAM	PLING - ORGANICS	
#3 IMPLEMENT TOP PRIOR		
	-(7)	
notor oils mechanics is nomeowners.		Regulated Wuste
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ints Ebrinkfirds boo
open 3 time./wk	Paints & chemicals	
		<u> </u>
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information	n:	1 0
Landfill - runoff control -	top Lover & Ilmillian	of year
Lechale collection syste	m. pretreatment the	- come to was
Consent decrae order - oren	stre retlads when so,	rap metal encroach
Methane Suplem installed Le power MLTP, 115-	build ges to energy	plant.
plasma gasifection		
SBR cerating settlin is decontin	is in each cell -> 0	Visinfection Garin
STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project	Field Form	February 27-March 2, 20

AR & TIMR builtin Description of Proposed Project: to do main Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: 2002-2003 ML WWP built. extension? > they have a pretining all piping has to be replaced in AR & New Ton Vally backups in old system in langed CMN shrow new Tuto, backs up into the gut - Jossup can take more capacity. - would need to get pump Station by tropical marine Site Priority: ☐ Love it ☐ Has Potential ☐ Not Likely ☐ Enforcement Needed west to MI. connect to bridge to no emyting east Veron STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project - Field Form going to replace Venue



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interes\120221_Bovoni_PO\s_11084.mxd

Date: 2/21/2012



☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration ☐ Infrastructu	
	re Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship ☐ Illicit Discha	rge
☐ Land Conservation ☐ Other	1954

Subwatershed: Ivrpentine Run
Site Name: Whispering Hills TR-4/ Flag Hill (TR-1)
Description of Existing Conditions:
Visited by Frank baldo -
New affirable hoveing development project. Cutting roads, some ese
PvII permit and make sun they are institutes proper post construction stormwater con trols.
- Enforcement to keep eye on Esc
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
Flay Hill- Evidence of sedimentation at svand house. Envestigate permit ansociated is road/ Levelopment project. Unpaved road may need additional Stabilization if to be permanent. Renoff discharge across on brance from Red-roofed apartments,





Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention	_
Stream/Wetland Restoration		
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge	
Land Conservation	Other	

Subwatershed: Turpertin Run
Site Name: Sounth Day Advertist (TR-Z)
Description of Existing Conditions:
ENTRE PARISE 15 PAUSO.
AN DRAINAGE GOES DIRECTLY TO GUT
PROPOSE ALTERNATIVE PARKING LAJOUT THAT INTEGRATES LANDSCAPE FEATURES FOR STORMWASTER
consider large biorg tentre at bottom of lot adjacent to stream Overfrom spilling to street will regular re-stripping of parking Spaces to be more efficient.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
An alternative parking lot design could
incorporate landscape istand bioretentian
I shade trees and for planters along
the building to capture root top runaff.



Path: H:VrojectsWOAA11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interes\1120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



☐ Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: TRUN
Site Name: HUMANE SOCIETY (TR-3)
Description of Existing Conditions:
INNOVATIVE WATE, HALLO application possibly in the parking lot. Native plants.
bould be a good site for education ? watershed signage.
Check back in once construction is completed
buffer protection / enhancement discussion in owner.
Dreep an eye on entrance road erosion. possible installation of water bars, etc.

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



Jose Corbin Ortega

P.O. Box 8150 St. Thomas, VI 00801

New York: 917.566.1420 St. Thomas, VI 00801 St. Thomas: 340.998.4726 Phone: 340.775.0599 E-mail: josecorbinortega@yahoo.com Fax: 340.775.4455



Path: H:VProjects/2011/11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship	□ Pollution Prevention □ Infrastructure Repair □ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: Turpentine
Site Name: Cost U Less TR-5
Description of Existing Conditions:
No existing infrastructure - all surface flow.
Half of lot flows into a concrete swall that
leads to a new detention basin Basin is in
very good condition; may not see much stormwater. There
is a dut b cut under sidewalk that may not be effective
Back half of lot flags into very steep swales that
lead to a detention basin near Home Depot. Some
dry weather flow observed - dumping of washwater
sediment basin near intersection of entrance road
and loading dock driveway - dirt has been berned there
to prevent overflows down in to the package plant
area. The pickage plant seemed to be working but
The generator needs mantenonce. Any overflows here
go straight into the gut.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
Home Depot Sout
136" 7
2 d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d
a flav oct
or pipe in
overflauvert pipe invert at bottom of basin foreign
ot Breshard
Sunt 1

Site Priority: Love it Has Potential Not Likely Enforcement Needed	Description of P	roposed Proj	ect:	1	1	23-
mentenance Basin near time depot retrofit with sedment prehays and a go bend on outlet gree to dutan more water here perhaps get some in altrahon Rum could be planted with more dealarism. Suales should be converted to more gentile side slopes, and add some check dams to reduce relowings. Retrofit converte foreta, with pipe to swall's faluete Cost u loss about washwater dumping. Make sure with is regularly membraned.	A. New	detent				
Bash near Home Depot retrofit with sedment brethy and a go bend on outlet pipe to detain more water here perhaps get same into thation. Bush could be planted with more departing such some check dams to reduce velocities. Retrofit concrete forchy with pipe to swales. Flucate Cost u loss about with more to swales. Flucate Cost u loss about with water dumping. Male sure with is regularly meuntained.	into	basin	The same of the sa	0 -1 -	and the second s	
E Rasm near Home Depot retrofit with sedment brokens and a go bend on outlet pipe to ditan more water here perhaps get some in hilbration. Brasm could be planted with more registation quality should be converted to more gentile side slopes, and add some check dams to reduce velocities. Retrofit concrete foreby with pipe to swalls. Flucate Cost is less about washwater dumping. Make sure warp is regularly meintained.	interser	ton.	Add sedim	ent torchol	us for	easier
brethus and a 90 bend on outlet pipe to detan more water here perhaps get some inditioning. Basin could be planted with more legislation surells. Shauld be converted to more gentle side slopes, and add some check dams to reduce velocities. Retro bit concrete foreby with pipe to swalls. Fducati Cost u loss about washwater dumping. Make size with is regularly meintained. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	mounter	nounce.			,	
brethus and a 90 bend on outlet pipe to detan more water here perhaps get some inditioning. Basin could be planted with more legislation surells. Shauld be converted to more gentle side slopes, and add some check dams to reduce velocities. Retro bit concrete foreby with pipe to swalls. Fducati Cost u loss about washwater dumping. Make size with is regularly meintained. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:				1 0-	, td.	- 1
Attan more water here perhaps get some inditation. Been could be planted with more legetation squales. Should be converted to more gentle side slopes, and add some check dams to reduce velocities. Rotro fit concrete forebay with pipe to swales. Folicate Cost u loss about washwater dumping. Make give worth is regularly meuntained. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	B- Basin	near	- Andrews			1
Resm could be stented with more dearthm Sudden should be converted to more gentile side slopes, and add some check dams to reduce velocities. Retrofit concrete forebay with pipe to swales followed cost u less about mashwater dumping. Make sure worth is regularly membraned. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	foreba	us and	0 90 0			1-11-1
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	detar					
and add some the ok dams to reduce velocibles. Rehalit concrete forebay with one to swalls Followers Cost u Loss about washwater dumping Mali sure with is regularly maintained. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	Basin	could k	se planted	7	+ 1/	
Retro fit concrete forebay with pipe to swalls Educate Cost wess about washwater dumping Make sure hours is regularly meuntained. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	Sharld	be ces	the second secon	1 1		al STOPPS,
Educate Cost u Less about weishwater dumping Make sere with 15 regularly neuropaned. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	and a	dd some			1	1 6
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	Retrof	it concu				
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	Educat	te Cost				mpine
The second Needed	Mate	sure li	WITP is rea	warty M	untaine	
The second Needed	-) ()		-
The second Needed						
The second of th		ä				
The second of th						
The second of th						
The second of th						
The second of th				22		
The second of th						
The second of th						
The second of th						
Site Priority: □ Love it □ Has Potential □ Not Likely □ Enforcement Needed						
Site Priority: □ Love it □ Has Potential □ Not Likely □ Enforcement Needed	X ,					1200
Site Priority: □ Love it □ Has Potential □ Not Likely □ Enforcement Needed						
Site Priority: □ Love it □ Has Potential □ Not Likely □ Enforcement Needed						
Site Priority: □ Love it □ Has Potential □ Not Likely □ Enforcement Needed						
Site Priority: □ Love it □ Has Potential □ Not Likely □ Enforcement Needed			9			Ģ.
Site Priority: □ Love it □ Has Potential □ Not Likely □ Enforcement Needed						
	Site Priority:	☐ Love it	☐ Has Potential	□ Not Likely	☐ Enforcem	nent Needed




Path: H:Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



✓ Stormwater Retrofit ✓ Stream/Wetland Restoration ✓ Residential Stewardship	000	Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair
☐ Land Conservation		Illicit Discharge Other

	Land Conservation	Li Other
Subwatershed: Hone D Bygg	(TR-6)	
Site Name:		
HATER HARVEST	> Padanshot B	ARTA PROJECTION
6		1 (05t)
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information	n: ,	
20 AD ADIAN DES PROPERTIES PROPER	arket of the property of the content	RSlopt:

Description of Pr	oposed Proje	ct:				
Possily	10 part	nofit Co Visen To	st v L	ting t	Josh or	ng te
CBS NP U	20212	be equ	10015 m	Hoods	and	on
Eurot Runot	CAS Y	1'~ ±51	maj	to A	cept	
Additional Notes	and/or Sket	ch Information:				
Additional Note.	, and or or or					
	×	*			2 3	
Site Priority:	□ Love it	☐ Has Potential	□ Not Likely		ent Needed	
5125 . 115114).						



Path: H:Projects/2011/11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\ErieldMaps\Points_Interes\120221_TurpentineRun_POIs_11084.mxd



	Stormwater Retrofit		Pollution Prevention
	Stream/Wetland Restoration		Infrastructure Repair
K	Residential Stewardship		Illicit Discharge
	Land Conservation	Z	Other

,	Land Conservation	Other
Subwatershed: Paphine	VIDA TO	rps
Site Name: TR-7		
Description of Existing Conditions: Description of Existence Conditi	Ment CBS To DISUM LISTERNS LISTERNS LISTERNS LICE LOR	JEAN SYSTAMS (ADS) GUT. FRON ROOF
Defension Pard resu. Defension Pard resu. Desent Look much Runaft	Stant of SA	s gething
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information	Cot (JATOR A GUT
un Remort	1 (] 215	Liza
STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project	ct – Field Form	February 27-March 2, 2012
e e	MACOJEN	ance part

Det	Harp	to GH BASIN	mone	Runoff.	To Ex.
Possini	Ralmojau	Ja For	Educa	+Con	<u> </u>
dditional Note	es and/or Sket	ch Information:			
	*				
,					
ite Priority:					



TR-7 Raphune Vista.

* NEED TO GET CATES X

PRETROFIT



Stormwater Retrofit	☑ Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	Other ESC 1

-		72		1	1
en	70-C	e	in	en	7
	1				

Subwatershed:	TR-8	

Site Name: GRAND VIEW CONSTRUCTION

Description of Existing Conditions:

SOME GOOD EXAMPLES OF ESC - SWEE STANSILIZATION

COIR FISHER LOWS, BUT FAILING SILT FRANCE AND

LACK OF ESC PROTECTIONS TO PROTECT GUIS

ON STEWER / LINE JUST INSPACED AND OPEN! NEGOTO COVER

- ROOFS ON CLISTERS - REUSE NONDOTABLE EXCEPT

FOR JOILETS

- OUT MILLS WYMERONS; APPRAIS P. BE NO STORMWATER

MANAGEMENT

- ASL ANITO FOR STORMWATER PLANS FROM SITE

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

STORMWATER?

DRAINS TO TUTU RESERVOIR; COULD BE CONMIBUTIAL TO WA PROSURING ? SEDIMENTATION IN RESERVOIR

					0.0			
lditional No								
	e g		4 ⁸					
	*		a ⁿ y					
	g g							
	я							
	в ж							
					10			
e Priority:		□ Has Potent	ial □ Not Likely	Enfo	rcem	ent Ne	eeded	

February 27-March 2, 2012


STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project – Field Form



Ž S	Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
7 -9	Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
	Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
	and Conservation	Other

	T 9 6 7
	Subwatershed: TR-9 /TR-8
	Site Name: Alvin Mac Bean Rec Center / TR-10
	Description of Existing Conditions: Gut Reshortm
	The Rec Center @ has catch basins
	for draining in various places truverer
-	to longed inlet + booton law of custoine
	along the road that is upgradient of the
	recepter. The runof plans down the
	sleep slope onto tennis conto teventually
	I so a unid drain and monings in the
	will; but the yord drain is two high to take,
	the rund and the wall openings get clogged
	this along the to its occasions in
	Also the DAW land a coops the street has.
	some great open grassy areas that could
	help provide SW Treattment strage. There's
	Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information;
	and streak of DAD vehicles in that area,
	which is wheitly adjacent to the gut Tuty
	Reservoir. Also, when we were there a severe sine appeared to be under construction
	sever sine appeared to be under construction
	1 month of were lift uncarered
0	in several what the and old cars
1	ine they a diacent to got. Than are
	several manholes were lift uncovered into several manholes were lift uncovered inectly a djacent to gut. Trash and old cars vere lift in this area, too.
0	

Description of P	show redin redin redin redin shelled rung ayaral Fulled rung ayaral rung rung ayaral rung run	enter to and to and the fence of the and the a	in al short of sisch ine flaurisha is cale the dis phe ope raid the rush of what to	ong the contract of the contra	leaned Should appured The mage show ted, and of the extent to rest locate exempro-	ula (
			,			
	2					
Site Priority:	☐ Love it	☐ Has Potential	□ Not Likely	☐ Enforcemen	nt Needed	




Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\t\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd

Date: 2/22/2012



□ Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: TR-II
Site Name: TUTU RESERVOIR /HARTMAN'S FARMPO
Description of Existing Conditions: ARTMAN'S FARM PO (TICAPIA, full of 1/1) Sediment deposit
- Called out in DFW as important wetland - serving as defacto regional storm water facility (Grandview ; Thu)
-DID NOT SEE
1) CONTACT DUNER
DINVESTIGATE RESTORATION
5 CONSERVATION OPS
HABITAT/BIO PARAMETERS
9 CHECK DAM INTEGRITY & DEFFECTIVE




Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Waps\Maps Characterization\gutzooms\120224_TurpentineRun_gut_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship	Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: Trenthe Neighborhard 1-1 Anna's Retreat Site Name: Anna's Retreat Community Center
Description of Existing Conditions: Annis retreat is an extremely large dense neighborhow constructed on steep slopest with marrow windy spads. Stormwater is conveyed through a series af pared swales culterts inlet structures etc some of which run under through trillains. Retrefitting this road system would be extremely costly tallficult as there is very little open april
available. Some residents I education could be useful on pullution prevention a church's seich as dumping things into draining neture to car maintebrance. Demo projects at the trib Community Centers would be a good way to reach people ()
The Amna's Retreat Community Center is brief in the gut particularly the new Hammit Courts. During the field viset, road work Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: field viset, road work was ander way; the stockpile of materials was placed directly in the gut upstream cultert is damaged, causing fooding over the
rond.

Description of Property of Autority of Aut	oposed Projection (esport	rain ga re day re nem de nem de nem de name	iter s nden f socitus great of line like f	should be or parking ish that I bocature strage or ided it should capacity
Additional Note	s and/or Sket	ch Information:			
*					
	ě				4
Site Priority:	☐ Love it	☐ Has Potential	□ Not Likely	☐ Enforcer	ment Needed
STEER Watershed	s Assessment an	d Planning Project – F	ield Form		February 27-March 2, 2012



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd




Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship Land Conservation	Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge Other

Subwatershed: TR-13
Site Name: Faith Christian Fellowhip Church
Description of Existing Conditions: Mis Church / School is mean the hladwaters of the gut that plans to the Charles of the gut that plans
Just upstream of the gut confluence at Just Itigh Rise II. The ant is inversed through a 24" conto pipe behind the trildings down
Through a col' x 4' box cultest under the
gravel parking lot and long paved driveray and is combeyed via a brand-new paved swater (and
is stoled in a cistern that appears to be in side
on site. Twody debris is clogging the downstream

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

		ect:	N .	000 000	
Uhim	be open	en gras	Ma d	The all	2110
sto	aug 1	to Jant	- Proces	auring l	rige
storp	no, to	malin	20019	my ervai	ng
aval	e alov	res drive	euroy -	+ Dailect	
runoff	from	Pared (surige.	into it,	1 0 00:-
uservo	rignage	to el	ucate 1	students	* pully
ensu	e that	t box a	elect o	loes not	Roomo
Cloude	e. Ku	All gut	- W/n	armal Sh	com
teahlik	25	<u> </u>			
	_				-
A -1-1:4: 1 NI-4-	a and for Sko	tch Information:			
Additional Note	es and/or ske	ten imormation.			
	350				
	119				
	5				
	, , , 5				
					*
		in the second se			
		in the second se	□ Not Likely		
			□ Not Likely		






Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention	
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair	
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge	
☐ Land Conservation	Other	

Subwatershed: Turpentine	
Site Name: Neighbor hood 13 - Tuhi High	Rices 1 TR-14
(Fixt Cron Elizance	
Description of Existing Conditions:	un ase the t
Discurrently man. Does	n't seem to
he was a face concern him off a cotton	on the wide
Kaling vin (e.g., no courts, playar	and ata
Tash ans one observed and the	umpsters on site
were not world. The out to the	east was
well-regelated. To the don'th see	other retropit
form. To the south the aut he	s a hase flan
as it flows towards the Curricu	lum center,
and fire station. Paved flumes a	ind box culverts
are used to direct runoff, as	ucl as
some catchbasins on the road. Cu	rently, the
autlet box where is set at a	rade, only
distring at high trais whe	n capacinguis
Excleded	' 0
ald /	
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:	
My My W	استهام
(Rune) Property	el bridge
Dela Maria	
best of the same o	
(Crapary) From	gut
1 Tookway 10	To Have
Man I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	oce Have ell-vegetated
The state of the s	
vegetahing cuck fighting	7///
	7
Afore to wil from	
STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project – Field Form	February 27-March 2, 2012

Description of Proposed Project:) 0,	Traysting tor ?	-
Re-ande the regetated channels Adjust the area provide more diter	open afrea rear the contin /in A'll occurs. Co	for formaliss ased storage! nut let to wahon recapitation	1
education for homea housing authorit	ners. Dee	l'hoget	
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:			
Site Priority: Love it Has Potential			
Site Priority: INLoye it I Has Potential	☐ Not Likely ☐ Enfo	rcement Needed	



-ath: H:Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_interest\120221_TurpentineRun_POIs_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention	
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair	
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge	
☐ Land Conservation	Other	3

Subwatershed: TURPENTINE RUN

Site Name: JOSEPH A GOMEZ ELEM. SCHOOL (TR-15)


Description of Existing Conditions:

School buildings divided by an intermitty
gut. There are bridges over gut and
ball tield in valley. There appears
to be 158Ves with trainere around the
building on top of slope, but
Solutions are challenging five terrain.
Solitions are challenging over terrain. Concrete swales van or the East side of
each building, carrying runoff to the north
each building carrying runoff to the north
gut is graned vegetated orlong bull field.
and a later than the second of
new parking lot constructed in ladgace A to gon
10 Wh Stream of Dalitsell.

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

See Gerial

Description of Proposed Project:
retrifit - start in simple rain scarches on west side of gut near basketball
install bisretaria along concrete smale at reastern most building.
Capture roof top runch of on second building when so grand area available for treatment
Consider buffer and gut restriction work
Covid visibility & education potential
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
Site Priority: ☐ Love it ☐ Has Potential ☐ Not Likely ☐ Enforcement Needed




Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



☐ Stormwater Retrofit	☐ Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	Kother Enforcement

Subwatershed: Turquetine Run (TR-16)
Site Name: New parking lot behind coniculum Center
Description of Existing Conditions:
Installator of new gravel parking lot (?) in and adjusent to gut behind cirriculum conter. In streum channelization and impoundent
Follow up in permits.
How is rungly from lot managed?
When is buffer protection?
Mis lot is in floodplain.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
If fined/mitigation
in Conjunction in
in Conjunction in
boseph A Gomez Elem. School
projects.



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\t\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit	☐ Pollution Prevention
	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: Turpentine TR-17 / TR-16
Site Name: Fire Station / Gut behind Curriculum Center
Description of Existing Conditions: The Gre Station is located between the
intersection of 384 and 38. Road runoff is conveyed
dung abent of fire station, which takes runoff
events transff flans from the fived pad swalle (not enough capacity) into the five States property
The prench warn in the parking lot takes this runors
the lane, they in the road, but though a beny
Small opening, causing flooding here.
Adjacent car dealership built a new parking lot rext to the gut and filled in the gut itself with large riprap and small Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
D.I. culverts. This will reduce gut capacity during storm events and needs to be
restared.

Description of Proposed Project: Febroat The road Sur	le to b	cep ru sik di	noff	from
surle to regetated che lined due to superfun	and cand cannot be a hours	envert Chight J. En la	heed to	ning
Rosture Capacity of acceptance and possible	it behin	d Cu	ri'culi ng/ot	M
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:				
*				
Site Priority: ☐ Love it ☐ Has Potential	□ Not Likely	□ Enforceme	ent Needed	



Date: 2/22/2012





4	Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
	Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
	Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
	Land Conservation	Other

110		Land Conservation	Li Other
Subwatershed:			_
Site Name: VI	Mouslag	Authority T	R-18)
Description of Existing	g Conditions:		
Low ch	Partility Al	RFA HAS A L	achiele Maintenano
		of) that c	
		Am. Hore	
Stonard	contains	cus For MANA	terres. HAVE
A Temp	Dung :	site, Possit	le Bup vean
GALAGO	but ding	ting the R	wrolf there
may 60	Feichey/E	Apr-sile.	in off there
FAILLY LAV	melsteep	Imp ANTA I	o the South-Eggt
Seldon	USED ,	Secres Un	Necessary
		1	
tro		1 Flons	Dire Alsle IN
Masssar			BMP LOCATION
would b	le FINST	Drive Ai	sle To the
Left wh	in you	Drive in	which may be
Additional Notes and/	or Sketch Informati	ion: JACHIRICE	



Jennifer Laslovich Deputy Executive Director Executive Office #402 Estate Anna's Retreat P.O. Box 7668 St. Thomas, VI 00801 Ph: (340) 715-7340 • Fax: (340) 775-0832 jlaslovich@vihousing.org



Description of Proposed Project: Non-Structural = Cover dumpsters = 0	sil	
storage areas.		
mainterer area		
dumping	*	
strictural retrofits =	.0	
O potential biorefution of	bioswell	
to collect vool runds)	
3 savenut removal		
Ja James veins		
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:		
Additional Notes and/or Sketch information.		
	2	
Site Priority: ☐ Love it ☐ Has Potential ☐ Not Likely ☐ Enfo	orcement Needed	

STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project – Field Form

February 27-March 2, 2012



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



Stormwater RetrofitStream/Wetland RestorationResidential StewardshipLand Conservation	□ Pollution Prevention □ Infrastructure Repair □ Illicit Discharge □ Other
--	--

Subwatershed: Tupe Ala Ru
Site Name: Holy Family church (TR-19)
Description of Existing Conditions: Sitt is Mostly Impensions. Building have A Lower Level cistom that was Over Flow At Time of Inspection (Light Rain)
Two opper herel CB Typestratures that discharge to the Long panling lot Are down
Cold sinet Ranoff From PARNING Let To A grassid ANEA in the Fastern Side of panhy Lot. 16t great value

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:






	Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration	Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair
	Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Ш	Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: TR-20
Site Name: Four WINDS PLAZA
Description of Existing Conditions: It appears that all of parking lot drains to large open outfall at south and of lot.
Opportunities to evente landscape features in parking lot ysing lined biovetention is planters along building. Plaza could also use vetrufit / large tre
Syperfund groundwater monitoring wells exist throughout the site - limit infiltration
Consider underground stover for thains & 0/6- seperto
Make sure can want drains going to sunitary.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



Path: H:Nrojects/2011/11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\ZFieldMaps\Points_Interes\\120221_TurpentineRun_POIs_11084.mxd



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Waps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



☐ Stormwater Retrofit ☐ Po	ollution Prevention
	frastructure Repair
	cit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation ☐ Ot	ther

Subwatershed: TURP RUN
Site Name: Turu PANK MAK (TR-21)
Description of Existing Conditions:
Complex site - cisterns for some of roof drains. Half of purking lot drains to a large detention pasin in the back of mall threstische facility for schrolit potartial. Needl to see as-built plans
Dome opportunition for Diorestation in Nortorn portion of lot near Plaza Extra
Comment lower lot near Mac Donalds

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

You could spend all Day here retrolitis.

4605 Tutu Park Mall • Suite 254 • St. Thomas, US Virgin Islands 00802-1736




Carlton Duncan Maintenance Director

Mail: 340-777-7144 • Office: 340-775-4658 • FAX: 340-775-4688 www.tutuparkmail.com




Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\1\120221_TurpentineRun_Pols_11084.mxd




Path: H:Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\1120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd





15	Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
	Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
	Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
	Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: TR.
Site Name: MERCHANTS COMMERCIAL BANK (TR-22)
Description of Existing Conditions:
Parking lot and adjacent cond drain to Dottom south corner of lot. Convert ex. Pervious grea in corner to biometation install a veg. swale along ROAD ROW. The overflow into ex. catchbasin.
V
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd




☐ Stormwater Retrofit ☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration ☐ Residential Stewardship ☐ Land Conservation	□ Pollution Prevention□ Infrastructure Repair□ Illicit Discharge□ Other
--	--

Subwatershed: TURPENTINE RUN	
Site Name: Lutteran CHURCH (TR-23)	
Description of Existing Conditions:	
and to road.	church
to Church & Parking lot. Overflow can to Street via existing smale.	next 90

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

Description of Prop	oosed Project:		
	19 41 3 mg 3		
		Line in the second	
Additional Notes a	nd/or Sketch Information:		
This is	a bood site	and the	2 church
Pro	improves aes	helps in (drainage s
	improves aes	thetics.	
	*		
	* ₂ *		ë
		I PARTITULE	T Enforcement Needed
Site Priority:	□ Love it	I □ Not Likely	☐ Enforcement Needed






Ø.	-Stormwater Retrofit	Ø	Pollution Prevention
	Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship		Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge
Ш	Land Conservation		Other

	Subwatershed: Turpentine Run
	Site Name: Innovation Parking lot TR-24/TR-25 3 HOTSPOT ANTO/TIRE STOPS
	Description of Existing Conditions:
TV	to CB in sortheast corner of lot. Some of the roof also drains to pared lot. Stais is purking for innovative fleet vehicles and outdoor storage
	Veretment in stream Valley.
(VL	.15
	TIRE replier and intomotive yards - high exposure of fluids, metals, etc to stormweter runoff. Do a hotspot inventory and recommend pollution green for measures.

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

See aerial

Description of Proposed Project:		
FOR INNOVATIVE, C	ONS/082.	
DUNDERLINGER SANDFILTE	UD Of GERBAR	CORNER
2) CISTERN ROOFTON	DUNOFF PLANTE	ENS FOR
(3) LOOK POR STREAM	MENT OUTS	SIDE OF
Q PANMEA IN VPPEN	OLE PAVER	8 W VNOER DRAW
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Ir	nformation:	
*		
	7 9	
i 12		
	*	
Site Priority: ☐ Love it ☐ I	Has Potential □ Not Likely	☐ Enforcement Needed



Path: H:NProjectsWOAA111084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_POIs_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration	Pollution Prevention
Residential Stewardship	Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: TR - 26

Site Name: Me. Roberty Et / Total GAS

Description of Existing Conditions:

Large context goes under building of Auto Shop

Reaftop and parking lot drainage goes directly
to steeget i no treatment.

Perinter said filter of entrance to ges


Station prior to discherge to road drain line.

and down central isle of Avto Shop.

Conduct pollution prevention end of antoshop
to assist we se condary containing to storage,
in practe management to reduce

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

Tough site.




Path: H:Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\t\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration	Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair
 Residential Stewardship 	Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: 12-27
Site Name: 15+ BANK
Description of Existing Conditions: Across from McD's at TVH Plaza
runcif (there is one ex. cooped area to collect
investigate potential retrofit to enhance landscape once to provide was treated and for entire parking lot via more curb curts.
highly Visible site.
dditional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



Path: H:VProjectsWOAA\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Waps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\1120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



☐ Stormwater Retrofit ☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration ☐ Residential Stewardship ☐ Land Conservation	□ Pollution Prevention □ Infrastructure Repair □ Illicit Discharge □ Other □ □ □ □ ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ←
--	--

Subwatershed: TURPENTINE RUN
Site Name: CHURCH SCHOOLS TR-28
Description of Existing Conditions: STEEP!! WHOVE ALVER DRAINS TO EX DI AT BASE OF ROAD - DIRECT DISCHARE IND TURNENTIME RUN AT ~36" (MP
Additional Notes and for Skotch Informations

Description of Property	roposed Proje		no grass	ed are	ca	
Ma	gen 10.	cations -	but ho	t lasy	-	
	1-10	Homef hill	E	/	Credi	rect
	- (B)) into bash	it ball con	1	(reci	recy
	- (1)	Balan Sac	ned are	ea adja	cent 6	>
		rivewar		V		
	LA	0				
-						
Additional Note	es and/or Sket	ch Information:				
	160					
				El .		
	4					
						,
		*				N.
Site Priority:	☐ Love it	☐ Has Potential	Not Likely	☐ Enforcer	nent Nee	ded
Site Priority:	L LOVE IL					



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Waps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



☐ Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
□ Land Conservation	Other


Subwatershed: TURP. RUN
Site Name: TR-29 HERNNHUT POND
Description of Existing Conditions:
- called out in DEW Wetlands Conservation
- consider and conservation options.
- drainages to fono should be protected from future development.
Additional Notes and for Sketch Information.



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: TURPENTINE RUN
Site Name: EDITH WILLIAMS ALTERNATIVE ACADEMY (TR-30)
Description of Existing Conditions: School has no real drawage 155ves, parking lot in front drains to road. Some roofs are directed to cisterns. Gut runs pehind school frace—has a worden buffer. Some trast. School is on septic. - have had to pay Money to fill up cistern working prechased water
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: Septic School School School
ball fourt a, play grand

posed Project:		
on for foor g	to to the ciser	N .
2 \$		
Space not restor r	much sportin	kily
	1	3
		4 2 2 4 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7
and/or Sketch Information	:	
	- Pullanca	,
DR. CEONA	US ICIC HARCUS ON	
ABSISTAN P	RINGAPAC	
Love it ☐ Has Potent	tial □ Not Likely □ Enforc	ement Needed
	Space not sportmentar r	Space, not much sprox for stormulation retrafits of par, and/or Sketch Information: DR. CEONARD RICHARDS ON ABSISTANT PRINCIPAL



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\1120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



	_	
Stormwater Retrofit		Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration		Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship		Illicit Discharge
		Other
□ Land Conservation		Other

the sea Challes and the
Subwatershed: TR-31
Site Name: SMITH BAM RD / FT. MYLNER PLAZA
Description of Existing Conditions:
AND PRICESMANT DRIVE THAT COULD SE EXPANDED TO MANAGE HILL GONS. GROM GUT AND TREAT PUNDER FROM SMITHBAY RD.
- NOVERD TO CONTINETANTE EX. WETLAND
100.00
- CONVERT IS TO DIVERT SMITHSHIP RD DRAINAGE VIA NEW CATCHBASINS AND CONMETTE SWALES ON ROAD INTO A STORMWATIER WETLAND.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: DVAR POWS TO DISCHARGE TO GUT.
May be possible depending on space available, to divert high flows from available, to divert high flows from
A NEED TO CONFURM EX. WETLAND BOUNDARY AND

	1	·			
		man i marka	1 1 1 1	A STATE OF THE STA	
					-
				*	
				(*)	
Additional No	tes and/or S	ketch Information	:		
Additional No	tes and/or S	ketch Information	:		
Additional No	tes and/or S	ketch Information			
Additional No	tes and/or S	ketch Information			
Additional No	tes and/or S	ketch Information	:		
Additional No	tes and/or S	ketch Information	:		
Additional No	tes and/or S	ketch Information			
Additional No	tes and/or S	ketch Information			
	3 X	ketch Information			
	3 X	ketch Information			
	tes and/or S	ketch Information			il)
	3 X	ketch Information			
	3 X	ketch Information			
	3 X	ketch Information			
	3 X	ketch Information			
		Has Potential			

TR-31. Price Smart / Smith Bay Road — Stormwater Retrofit

Site Description

Immediately south of the entrance to Price Smart grocery, there is a vacant parcel that currently accepts a portion of the runoff from Smith Bay Road. The runoff that currently flows onto the vacant property is untreated and reaches it through an existing curb cut along the southern side of Smith Bay Road. The vacant lot consists of wetland areas and the western branch of Turpentine Run. This area is frequented by local residents because it abuts a popular outdoor market, as well as the Fort Mylner Plaza. This site offers opportunities for public education about stormwater management and resource area protection.

Proposed Concepts

A stormwater treatment facility is proposed in the vacant lot, south of Smith Bay Road. Depending on the depth to the groundwater table, either a constructed wetland or bioretention facility is recommended. Even though the majority of Smith Bay Road is superelevated away from the vacant lot, there appears to be adequate gradient to capture the roadway runoff in a below-grade drainage system and pipe it to the proposed practice. The remaining drainage area will drain to the practice via the existing curb cut. A drainage easement may be required from the owner of the vacant parcel in order to construct such a facility but it is possible that the system could be over-sized to accommodate runoff from any future development on the lot.

Practice Sizing/Design Considerations

The constructed wetland would be sized to treat up to the first 1.25 inch of runoff from the contributing impervious area. The total drainage area to the site would be approximately 2.0 acres, with 0.7 acres of that total being impervious surface. Available surface area for the proposed practice is about 3,000 SF, sufficient for effective water quality treatment. The outflow from the system would be designed to limit discharge rates and downgradient channel erosion of Turpentine Gut.

Pollutant Removal

Constructed wetlands are expected to remove 85% TSS; 48% TP; 30% TN; and 60% bacteria (RI Manual, 2010). This assumes the full design treatment volume is provided.

Next steps

- Complete a topographic survey of the area. Determine if there are any site utility conflicts and delineate existing wetland;
- Conduct test pits to verify subsurface soil conditions and depth to groundwater;
- Contact property owner to investigate willingness to participate in design discussions; and
- Map existing resource area boundaries and buffers.

Site ID	Drainage	%	Design Treatment	Practice Area	Practice Area
	Area (ac)	Impervious	Volume (cf)*	Required (sf)*	Available (sf)*
TR-31	2.0	35	3,200	1,300	3,000

^{*}Design Treatment Volume: Constructed Wetlands, 0.015*DA; DA = drainage area (sf)


^{*}Practice Area Required is calculated based on practice-specific design assumptions.

^{*}Practice Area Available is estimated from available mapping. Actual practice area may be adjusted as needed during pre-construction.











Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
□ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: TURPENTNE RUN
Site Name: PRICESMART TR-32
Description of Existing Conditions:
- CLEAN UP DEBRIS / TRASH / CARS, ETC - REMOVE PAVEMENT - POSSYBVE PERUVOUS PAVERS *PLANT SHADE / (ANOPY COVER * INSTALL LINEAR BIDS * INVESTIGATE EX- FACILITY. BESTROKIT POTER - OPEN AMATTERE ANY WAY TO TAKE ADDITIONAL RUNDER FROM ROAD?
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
PRIVATE PROPERTY.
MAY BE EASY RETROFIT OF EX. FAZILITY TO IMPROVE WQ. TREATMENT. NEED TO GET PLANS.
TO GAM PULL


Description of P	roposed Proje	ect:				
Additional Note	s and/or Sket	ch Information:				
	•					
					1 2	
	d.				8 8	
		N/				
	T 254		V		samont Noodos	i
Site Priority:	☐ Love it	☐ Has Potential	Not Likely	LI Enforc	cement Needed	4
	i i		/			



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd







Stormwater Retrofit	☐ Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
□ Land Conservation	Other EDUCATION

NOTES	☐ Land Conservation	A Other EDUCATION
Subwatershed: TURPENTINE PO		
Site Name: E. B. OLIVER E	LEMENTARY SCH	20 C
Description of Existing Conditions:	TR-33	
- USED TO BE WATER PLAN		
- PTA WARRANTY & GARDEN IN CHINDRA	LANTHE TO 8	
- POUNTE COMES DON BUILDING ADDS TO EXISTING ORAN 1	LOAD IN CE	NIPAR GREEN
FOR DISCHANGE PROV BLAND CUL-DE-S	MEXDI IN CETA	
- SCHOOL IS ON SEWER	V.	
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information	ı:	,
Emanuel Bonyamin C	liver Remeter	8
Jamon E. LIBURD Assistance Pri	ncipal sliburd 65.	8 Hj. KIZ. VI 40-775-2000 X2102
Government of United States Virgin DEPARTMENT OF EDU St. Thomas - St. John	Jelands JCATION District	×2102
Emanuel Benjamin Oliver I 1834 Kongens Gade Phone: 340-779 St. Thomas, V 1, 00802 Cell: 340-642-6 Fax: 340-775-4	Elementary 5-2000 ext. 2102 246	

STEER \

Email: jliburd@sttj.k12 vl

February 27-March 2, 2012

Description of Pr	ARE A					
- RAIN	GAROR	NINCE	ENTRAL AR	LEA		
			FRONT (_	
			ornow of			
ENT	nove					
The state of the s		E. ~	- 27			
- BID	AT LO	VER LO-	TG LOAD	ING DOCK		
			ACK LOT (
			V		2	
Additional Note	and/or Sket	tch Information	n:		9	
Additional Note:	s and/or Sket	tch Information	n:		9	
Additional Note:	s and/or Sket	tch Information	n:		9	
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	n:		9	
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	n:			
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	i:			
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	i:			
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	n:		9	
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	n:			
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	n:			
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	n:			
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	n:			
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	n:			
Additional Note:	s and/or Ske	tch Information	1:			
			ial □ Not Likely	√ □ Enforceme	ent Needed	



Path: H:VProjects12011/111084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Waps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\til20221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



	Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship Land Conservation		Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge Other
--	---	--	---

Subwatershed: IERPENTINE
Site Name: Commenty Center Willy George TR-34
Description of Existing Conditions:
Porday to Fast of Faturace -> Possible
AREA FOR BUR Retrofit.
To West of Fitnes SW From Park of
AREA Collects Along Ditch midnay in Migh
Panking Alske i Flores over wall (451)
then denn A - Sloyer to A AMSS ANKA
day Bullding me vegetation behind
Bullding (thick). Possible swall of stopped
System.
SW System Retrokits world be more educational
They watershed wo Enhances at AS
grana Property is in the vopen
reaches of the WATERShiel

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interes\1120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



	0000		☐ Illicit Discharge	
--	------	--	---------------------	--

Subwatershed: TURGENTINE RUN
Site Name: TR-35 TURP. ROAD CULVERT (UPPER)
Description of Existing Conditions:
The biggest complaint received by public 12 flooding at road crossings on Turper for Run. At this rocation 15 a boox univer t that appears to be undersized for your amount of area dvaining to it. Conflience of two manor and area.
at this location. Major guts occurs
there is not a lot of space available for larger culverts probably need to replace with a bridge.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
we as use adequately at the time

we as use adequately at the time
2 18' to road Surface from too
of culvert. Not much voom to
make larger vertacely of have to under

TR-35, 37, & 40. Turpentine Run Road Culverts—

Drainage Improvements

Site Description

Turpentine Run Road parallels and crosses
Turpentine Run for much its length and as a
result, the condition of the roadway and the gut
can be intertwined. For instance, undersized
drainage infrastructure will contribute to
erosion of the receiving channel. When that
receiving channel abuts the street it is servicing,
the erosion can jeopardize the integrity of the
roadway. This scenario is occurring in many
locations along Turpentine Run Road, several of
which have created flooding and public safety
hazards.

Proposed Concepts

Approximately one-half mile north of the main entrance to Heavy Materials, Turpentine Run flows beneath Turpentine Run Road. There is a box culvert at this location (TR-35) that is reportedly overwhelmed during significant rain events. At the public meetings that were held in February 2012, many residents commented on the observed roadway flooding at the site. The culvert is approximately 4'x8'which is not sufficient for handling large storm flows given the hydrology of the gut. Increasing the culvert size or constructing a bridge in its place would offer the most improvement for flow capacity and for better managing the flooding. One significant design constraint is the elevation of Turpentine Run Road at the culvert location. There is only ~18" above the culvert to the top of the roadway, therefore limiting the improvements to options that create a wider channel cross-section. Installing a bridge would be the least flow restrictive option but it will likely be the most expensive to construct and cause the longest traffic delays. An alternative to a bridge would be to target better floodplain drainage by installing multiple small culverts adjacent to the existing culvert. This option may provide a reasonable balance between effectiveness and cost.

About one-quarter mile south, near the northern entrance to Heavy Materials, Turpentine Run crosses Turpentine Run Road again through a 48-inch diameter corrugated metal pipe (CMP) at TR-37. Velocities at the downstream end of the culvert are so great that a large scour pool has formed and the culvert is protruding 10-15 feet from the roadway embankment. Lack of and deteriorating roadway drainage infrastructure has exacerbated the problem. An incomplete concrete channel has caused an erosion gully to form leading into the gut. Sedimentation in the gut is a problem, both from erosion and from the dirt driveway leading to Heavy Materials. Design improvements should include: (1) upgrading and properly sizing the culvert beneath Turpentine Run Road, (2) stabilizing the stream bank and bed downstream of the culvert, (3) and repairing the concrete drainage channel that empties into the gut and providing adequate energy dissipation.

Across from the main entrance to Heavy Materials is a third location where inadequate drainage infrastructure has caused severe impacts to Turpentine Road (TR-40). Here runoff from the steep roads of the Mariendahl neighborhood collects and flows underneath Turpentine Road through a 24-inch diameter CMP. Due in part to the likely undersized culvert, poor channel alignment, and high velocity storm flows, undermining of the roadway has occurred. This has created a safety hazard not only to vehicles but also pedestrians. Pedestrians now have to walk into the roadway to maneuver around the resulting scour hole. Design improvements may include a combination of increasing the culvert size, relocating it to provide better channel alignment, and providing runoff energy dissipation up-gradient of the culvert.

Stormwater should be managed strategically throughout the Mariendahl neighborhood to attenuate the high energy flows before they reach Turpentine Run Road. When velocities are reduced, erosion potential also decreases.

Practice Sizing/Design Considerations

When feasible, culverts should be sized to adequately pass at least the relevant design recurrence interval storm. In the case of the two culverts discussed that serve the main Turpentine Run channel, consideration should be given to balancing both high and low flows for environmental reasons versus simply installing the largest culvert possible. Traffic management (both vehicular and pedestrian) is likely to be the most challenging obstacle to overcome during the design and construction phases. All proposed designs should be coordinated with the DPW or DOT to make sure the concepts fit with any long-term goals that may exist.

Next steps

- Complete a topographic survey of the area. Determine if there are any site utility conflicts;
- Determine contributing drainage areas and size new culverts accordingly;
- Conduct in-stream flow monitoring for use in the calibration of stream simulation models;
- Investigate contributing watersheds to identify possible up-gradient drainage controls to reduce channel flows;
- Discuss design concepts with the DPW.





TR-35 culvert. USGS gauging station is upstream from here.



TR-40 showing hazardous conditions below Mariendahl neighborhood.





Concrete channel and scour downstream of culvert at TR-37.






Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention	
Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair	
Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge	
Land Conservation	☐ Other	

Subwatershed: TURAFUNDERUN
Site Name: TR-36 AUTO SALVAGE
Description of Existing Conditions:
Alea is well-sorted and organized. There appears to be no secondary containment for oil there is a sut that runs thru the property but appears to be fairly stable à vegetared.
*Consider pollution prevention planning de make sure veg-buffer is protected
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

Ser aerial



Date: 2/23/2012



Stormwater Retrofit		Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	A	Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship		Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation		Other

Subwatershed: TURP. RUN
Site Name: TR-37 TURP, RD. CULVERT (MID)
Description of Existing Conditions:
- Piped cultart of 2 48 diameter CMP - large scorr food evident downs tream - contest change from road is incomplete and evosion is occurring above contract. - seliment boding to cot evident from evosion is possibly from seld transport from avarry. High priority.



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
 Residential Stewardship 	☐ Illicit Discharge
□ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: TURPENTINE RUN Site Name: TR-39 BEST CARWASH BEST TIRES
Description of Existing Conditions:
Chromash draws to coad, carrying 5048 & cleaning Avids along with sils and antomotive fivid. Recommend providing formal washing and with berms) and wains to sewer. No sympan ??
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



Date: 2/23/2012



Stormwater Retrofit	K	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration		Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship		Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation		Other

NOTES	☐ Land Conservation	☐ Other_			
Subwatershed: Turpontine 7				Я	
Site Name: Heavy Males, als	TR-38	_			
Description of Existing Conditions:					
· No Stormwater Management					
· Direct discharges from a					
" leaking septie pipes /	septie discharge	es	E		
. Human wate area solon . Heavy sedmentation in	shirt from on	n. Keiles /oun H			
- Settling basin overtops	I very turbid) and from	2.0		
overland to ghut	. Only silt for			+	
(currently filled)	n).				
· No containment for act		5			
Execut country con	0.4.62		-		
	,		-1-2		
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information	n: ~	Ledge			
		ese			
	/ /				
	2	()		0802	4
	6	5	Douglas Gurlea President Cell (340) 513-0196 d.gurlea@heavymaterialsvi.com	7865 Estate Mariendahl #11 St. Thomas, US Virgin Islands 00802 T. (340) 775-0100 x107 F. (340) 777-7499	PO Box 1547 Frederiksted, St. Croix, US Virgin Islands 00841 T: (340) 692-1134 F: (340) 692-2889
	HHlhy bash		196 materia	endahi irgin Is 0 x107	lerikste in Islan 9
consider	merthours .	d d	Douglas Gurlea President Cell (340) 513-0196 d.gurlea@heavymat	7865 Estate Mariendahl #11 St. Thomas, US Virgin Islan T. (340) 775-0100 x107 F. (340) 777-7499	PO Box 1547 Frederiksted, St. Croix, US Virgin Islands Tr. (340) 692-1134 F. (340) 692-2889
Filling Shahan		w w 1	Douglas Gurlea President Cell (340) 513-c d.gurlea@heavy	65 Esta Thoma (340) 7 (340) 7	Box 15 Croix, 1 340) 6 340) 6
Teathing Area	\cup	Ashpaltrower	9 6 0 9	St. E.E.	St.
	100			57	
mont Gut	-	~~~	1	- ×	

TR-38. Heavy Materials Quarry — Retrofit / Pollution

Prevention

Site Description

Heavy Materials, LLC operates a quarry facility on Turpentine Run Road that supplies construction aggregates, masonry blocks, and ready-mix concrete. It is one of the largest facilities of its kind in the U.S.V.I. The quarry consists of approximately 23 acres of disturbed land. The magnitude of the operation alone gives it the potential for significant impact to surrounding resource areas. All precipitation that runs off the site ultimately travels northeast to Turpentine Run. Erosion control and runoff management practices on the property were found to be either poorly maintained or non-existent. Numerous opportunities for site improvements were observed that would help to reduce sediment and pollutant discharges.

Proposed Concepts

Water is commonly used in the production of aggregate and concrete products. For this reason, at least four settling ponds can be found on the Heavy Materials property for removing sediment particles from the facility process water. In order for the settling ponds to be effective, they must be sized appropriately according to influent flow rates and desired settling times. The ponds must also be periodically maintained to remove accumulated sediments. At the time of the site walk, the most down-gradient settling pond was observed to be overtopping its banks and was flowing across the access drive to its ultimate discharge point in Turpentine Run. Proposed strategies for preventing pond overtopping include increasing the available storage within the setting pond and modifying the pond outlet control system to handle the appropriate flow rate. Furthermore, settling ponds do little for removing the fine, suspended particles from water. Consequently, filtration and/or

flocculation processes are recommended in conjunction with the existing settling strategies.

Proper sediment containment was also lacking at the concrete truck filling stations on the Heavy Materials property. The process for producing concrete involves mixing water with cement and aggregate. During the filling process, water was allowed to spill from the truck, creating a stream of cement slurry that was flowing directly into Turpentine Run with little or no treatment. A small containment bay was observed in one location near ghut but the flow of slurry at the time of observation was much greater than the capacity of the bay. Impacts from this process were observed in Turpentine Run at and downstream of the truck filling station, including cement deposits and highly turbid stream flows.


Bacterial pollution was observed along the banks of Turpentine Run at the Heavy Materials facility. A latrine, of sorts, was discovered near concrete truck filling station. Human waste was present along the banks of Turpentine Run in close proximity to the cement slurry flows.

Practice Sizing/Design Considerations

In order to reduce the long-term environmental impacts of the Heavy Materials facility, a more complete investigation of the operational processes and planning measures is necessary. Only then can a complete set of future goals and strategies be developed. However, small steps can be taken in the near-term to reduce the extent of the untreated discharges currently entering Turpentine Run. At a minimum, erosion control practices and properly sized sediment containment bays could be installed. Sizing of the proposed treatment or containment practices, either in the long- or near-term, might also consider future site expansion and increased material production.

Next steps


- Meet with the facility operations manager to discuss the current and future plant processes;
- Develop goals and strategies to adequately manage and treat the facility process water;
- Develop a plan and schedule for construction and installation of agreedupon management strategies;
- Educate the Heavy Materials staff on the importance of protecting the sensitive resource areas that abut the property.













☐ Stormwater Retrofit	☐ Pollution Pre	vention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructur	
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Dischar	
☐ Land Conservation	□ Other	

Subwatershed: TR-40
Site Name: Mariendal Neighborhood / TURP. ROAD CULVERT (104
Description of Existing Conditions:
Very Steep roads no drainage infrastructure. Drainage flows down roads to intersoction in Turpentian Run Rd. where it results in gut exosion and road flooding at undersized authorts. Road is Being undermined.
This 24" autort is undersized and misalignes
DEAD/DY/NU 604T.

Description of Pro	posed Proje	ct:				
H	h pooding	per Sta	to a der		neg recom	m D
T nee	d to	go buelc	into to	veighton to	hood	rest
	,					
Additional Notes	and/or Sket	ch Information:				
	Đ					
		20				
	¥				9	
		#			k 19	
Site Priority:	☐ Love it	☐ Has Potential	□ Not Likely	☐ Enforcem	ent Needed	



Stormwater Retrofit	K	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration		Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship		Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation		Other

Subwatershed: TURPENTINERON

Site Name: TR-41 EQUIPMENT STORAGE

Description of Existing Conditions:

this is a dumping grea and area of

Outdoor Storaks. Lots of trash is debris

dumped near gut. Material Stockpiles in no 65

Barrels of Oil sitting open were observed.

- Need to Clean Up & prevent further

champing

- take used oil to Bovoni for recycling

(Orev stockpiles.


Date: 2/23/2012





Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	16 3
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

	Subwatershed: Turpentine Tun
	Site Name: TR-42 /TR-43 (heyenne's Exc.
	Description of Existing Conditions:
	There are a number of plane along road when dumping of materials and sediment along out is evaluated.
T	242 pmpster/collection stations should be
	and containment to prevent dinging
* **	TR-43 - Consiler providing stabilized washritan envance (rock ped) and get lang allow perimeter to prevent sedimt
	Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
	with Silfence perimeter)



Date: 2/23/2012



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
🖒 Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
□ Land Conservation	□ Other

	orpentine Run
Site Name: TR-	-44 NADIR BRIDGE PARK TION BRIDGE TO NOWHERE " Try
	"BRIDGE TO NOWHERE" / ITY
Description of Existing C	Conditions:
	SEE WINTE UP
	SKAMONIO
61	
	
	<u> </u>
Additional Notes and/or	Sketch Information:
	SEE AFMAZ

TR-44. Nadir Bridge Park — Drainage Improvements & Gut/Floodplain Restoration

Site Description

Nadir Bridge Park is a popular recreational site that serves many purposes for the surrounding community. The park offers a location for residents to play sports, barbeque, and organize for a variety of social events. These activities are hampered during rain events due to poor drainage and standing water. The park currently accepts untreated runoff from Mariendahl Road and the surrounding neighborhoods. The runoff causes flooding in the park because it is isolated from Turpentine Run by a two-three foot high flood-control concrete wall. The lack of drainage infrastructure creates a pond in the park until the water evaporates or infiltrates. Moreover, the concrete wall is part of a 1/4 mile long concrete lined segment that acts as a barrier to fish passage and eliminates any natural connection between the ghut and the floodplain. Nadir Bridge Park offers opportunities for restoring the Turpentine Run riparian corridor while also enhancing public interest and recreation.

Proposed Concepts

Nadir Bridge Park is approximately two acres in size. Although much of the park is used for recreation, a significant portion is either unutilized or poorly controlled. With better control of traffic patterns in the park, drainage improvements such as bioretention areas could be installed to treat runoff from Mariendahl Road and the park. By converting the travel lanes and parking areas to pavement or gravel, soil erosion could be minimized. There is also ample space near the ghut to restore floodplain connectivity and vegetation if the eastern-face of the concrete channel were removed. The bottom of the concrete channel could also be removed to provide deeper flows and improved fish passage.

Practice Sizing/Design Considerations

The bioretention areas would be sized to treat up to the first 1.25 inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area. The available surface area at this location is approximately 6,000 SF which substantially exceeds the minimum surface area recommended for effective treatment. Any additional runoff that enters the bioretention areas will pass through an overflow structure and discharge into the gut.

An important consideration for the ghut & floodplain restoration components is the stability of the concrete wall on the western bank of the ghut if the existing concrete channel is modified. A footing for the wall will have to be designed that can withstand scour from the flow of the gut.

Any proposed improvements to the park area should be coordinated directly with the roadway design plans for the new Nadir Bridge. The drainage improvements and gut restoration features should be sited to avoid potential conflicts with the future roadway traffic patterns. It is also important to engage the community in the park design so there is a complete understanding of how the park is currently used and what the key features are for all stakeholders.

Pollutant Removal

Bioretention areas are expected to remove 90% TSS; 30% TP; 55% TN; and 70% bacteria (RI Manual, 2010). This assumes the full design treatment volume is provided.


Next steps

 Review the existing design plans for the Nadir Bridge intersection. Site proposed drainage improvements and

- park amenities to accommodate the future road layout;
- Complete a topographic survey of the area. Determine if there are any site utility conflicts;
- Conduct test pits to verify subsurface soil conditions and depth to groundwater;
- Engage the community for input of the proposed park design and layout.



Nadir Bridge Park, 3/22/2012 (Source: Frank Galdo)







Site ID	Drainage Area (ac)	% Impervious	Design Treatment Volume (cf)*	Practice Area Required (sf)*	Practice Area Available (sf)*
TR-44	3.1	40	5,600	5,600	6,000

^{*}Design Treatment Volume: Tv (cf) = (1.25")(I)/12; I = impervious area (sf)


^{*}Practice Area Required is calculated based on practice-specific design assumptions.

^{*}Practice Area Available is estimated from available mapping. Actual practice area may be adjusted as needed during pre-construction.



porchased + Crey Wheshon


Bridge project






X	Stormwater Retrofit	П	Pollution Prevention	
	Stream/Wetland Restoration		Infrastructure Repair	
			· ·	
	Residential Stewardship	ш	Illicit Discharge	
	Land Conservation	\Box	Other	- :

Subwatershed: TURPENTINE RUN
Site Name: LIMA PROPERTY (TZ-46)
Description of Existing Conditions:
AN OPEN PARIEL FILL OF TRASH.
DON'S DOING ROAD WORK PAND POTENTIAR CONSTRUCTED NETLAND AT THIS SITE COULD TREAT ROAD RUNDER AND SERVE AS STORMWATER BMP FOR NEIGHBORGOV
REPORTED IN CONS. EASEMENT FOR
COULD TURN INTO A PARK?



1R-46



Path: H:IProjects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_Bovoni_POIs_11084.mxd



2,500,000 Sq. 5 Sq. Ft



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: Turpentine Run
Site Name: CLINTON PHIPPS RACETRACK TR-47
Description of Existing Conditions:
Active house track - refer center of track is vetland area sediment and loiding from track into central wetland? files of horse wante observed at disposal gite to east of track.
Proposa: Disposa: Disposa: Disposa: Stormater permit swepp for confined animal operations -> particularly naide disposal Dinustigate potential to use interior if track as const. Stormater nuttand to manage area across road. Include Seliment forelars along outer page. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: The spland portion of site.

SIE ARMAI



Path: H:VProjects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interes\1\120221_TurpentineRun_PO\s_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention	
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair	
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge	
Land Conservation	Other	

Subwatershed: TR-48
Site Name: TRASH COLLECTION / DUMP STATION
Description of Existing Conditions:
This site is constant source of trash comping land peing blown by wind juto mangroves/retlands behind dumpsters.
afternative collection station design- Jenary in back to prent wind blow/overflow
- covered over for vollaways to reduce
- posting of Signage for Special collection daip or recyclists efforts of VIWMA



Path: H:Nprojects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\Maps\Characterization\gutzooms\120224_TurpentineRun_gut_11084.mxd




☐ Stormwater Retrofit☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration☐ Residential Stewardship☐ Land Conservation		Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge Other
--	--	---

Subwatershed: T12-49
Site Name: KAYAK TOURS
Description of Existing Conditions:
Parking aven and building are in the floudplain. Not good gife for retralithing - coisider pollytion prevention activities - oil & grease - marine debris - rend removal
* good to cater for watershed-related Signey (a) though audies a is primarily tourists






☐ Stormwater Retrofit☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration☐ Residential Stewardship	□ Pollution Prevention □ Infrastructure Repair □ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	Other Enforcem +





Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship Land Conservation	Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge Other
--	--

Subwatershed: NAVIR
Site Name: (5000 HILL 20/ FILM RD Xing (NG-Z)
Description of Existing Conditions:
Trash and delvis in gent to be removed. Runahl from phill road natural Could be managed in a roadside bis return for greater (get would be expensive for the benefit)
There is a weatout forming at the culvert solash pad it appears that livery disipatus at this tocation may be nelford
- Small bios Vain godens at interscetion to reaver flooding of ronding. Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



Date: 2/23/2012



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☑ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	☐ Other

Subwatershed: NADIR
Site Name: NAOIR BAUFIERD N6-3
Description of Existing Conditions:
SER WRITEUP
AND FERRA
Y
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

NG-3. Nadir Ballpark — Retrofits

Site Description

Nadir Ballpark is a recreational facility that offers residents a field for baseball, a court for basketball, and a playground for young children. The parking area for the facility currently accepts untreated runoff from Elm Road and the surrounding neighborhoods. A portion of the runoff temporarily ponds in the dirt parking area where is picks up sediment and then spills, untreated, into Nadir Ghut. Ghut encroachment is also a significant problem in this area with many examples of unauthorized clearing of vegetation and solid waste disposal. Nadir Ballpark offers opportunities for public education about stormwater management and resource area protection.

Proposed Concepts

Two stormwater treatment facilities are proposed at Nadir Ballpark to treat runoff from Elm Road and the surrounding residences, one bioretention area and one constructed wetland facility. Runoff from the roadway will be directed to the treatment facilities via paved flumes and/or drainage swales. Sediment forebays will be included in the design to provide runoff pretreatment.

The bioretention area is proposed along the road shoulder, north of the basketball court. This practice could treat runoff from the 2.6 acre drainage area and reduce erosion in the dirt parking area.

A constructed wetland is proposed to capture and treat runoff from the southern portion of Elm Road. The practice is proposed in the ballpark property near the intersection of Elm Road and Red Hook Road. There is currently a 5,000 SF existing wetland in the proposed treatment location that has formed due to an elevated outlet pipe and likely high groundwater. Minor alteration to the existing

wetland would be necessary to enhance pretreatment and pollutant removal capabilities. This would involve installation of a stabilized drainage inlet or paved flume, construction of a sediment forebay, and modification of the existing outlet. There is currently a roadside drainage swale that discharges into the wetland which could be expanded and enhanced. The swale could be converted to wet swale to provide an additional 1,500 SF of treatment area. Improved management of the influent stormwater is paramount because severe erosion along the road shoulder has comprised the integrity of the roadway.

For any of the proposed management strategies, it is also important to engage the community in the design process so there is a complete understanding of how the ballpark is currently used and what the key features are for all stakeholders.

Practice Sizing/Design Considerations

The bioretention area and constructed wetland would be sized to treat up to the first 1.25 inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area. The available surface area at each location is 1,600 SF and 6,500 SF, respectively, which is sufficient for meeting the minimum surface area requirements for effective treatment. Any additional runoff that enters the facilities will pass through an overflow structure or culvert and discharge into the ghut.

Pollutant Removal

Bioretention areas are expected to remove 90% TSS; 30% TP; 55% TN; and 70% bacteria. Constructed wetlands are expected to remove 85% TSS; 48% TP; 30% TN; and 60% bacteria (RI Manual, 2010). This assumes the full design treatment volume is provided.

Next steps

- Complete a topographic survey of the area. Determine if there are any site utility conflicts;
- Conduct test pits to verify subsurface soil conditions and depth to groundwater;
- Engage the community for input of the proposed design and layout.

Site ID	Drainage Area (ac)	% Impervious	Design Treatment Volume (cf)*	Practice Area Required (sf)*	Practice Area Available (sf)*
NG-3A	2.6	20	2,400	1,000	1,600
NG-3B	15.9	20	14,400	10,400	6,500

^{*}Design Treatment Volume: Bioretention Areas, Tv(cf) = (1.25'')(I)/12; I = Impervious area (sf); Constructed Wetlands, 0.015*DA; DA = drainage area (sf)

^{*}Practice Area Available is estimated from available mapping. Actual practice area may be adjusted as needed during pre-construction.











^{*}Practice Area Required is calculated based on practice-specific design assumptions.



Date: 2/23/2012

Path: H:VProjects\2011\111084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\Maps\Maps Characterization\gutzooms\120224_Nadir_gut_11084.mxd




Path: H:IProjects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interes\1120221_NadirGut_PO\s_11084.mxd



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	☐ Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	☐ Illicit Discharge
☐ Land Conservation	□ Other

Subwatershed: NADIR
Site Name: Tropicar MARINE [NG-4]
Description of Existing Conditions:
- gut takes a right angle tria to go under road cultant. There is an existing set of plans for a proposed scean restoration project were.
- Reports that septic fumpout vehidu discharge at this intertocation
- Surface of boot yard drains directly
- Consider polletion prevention oportunition nerigioch as Oparing for areas where fluids are
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
3 sand filter
roof that drains to the Patch"

* SEE Ex. DESIGN Plans for jut restoration



Path: H:VProjects\2011/11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\Maps Characterization\gutzooms\120224_Nadir_gut_11084.mxd

Date: 2/23/2012



Date: 2/21/2012

Sta. 10+00F to 16+00F Road & Drainage Improvements St. Thomas, Virgin Islands PLAN & PROFILE BALLPARK ROAD Route 32 REVISION PARTY 12/20/90

ald C. Hamli

CONSULTING ENGINEERS, IN

St. Thorone 37 S. Virgin lefands


RFILMS


JOB NO. R. U.D. DATE 1 (1-7-8)

SCALE SHOWN

SURVEY

0







Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: Nadir
Site Name: The Patch NG-5 (Humphry's owner) * UNPAUSO
Description of Existing Conditions:
- History of got revorting around the ball field - option for small swall down back of Tropic Marine hid so ok nite that, but not to med. - brackish well is desch plant swartmater goes into the ground y composed "goes into the ground
- wants to divelop when current draineye is. Vight & boat landing, aways diveloping every 3 years - tropical marine rooftop not collected on cistery

Description of	Proposed	Project:
----------------	----------	----------

Projects:
De move and for velocate
DRemove anfor velocate
(2) pave area designated to
(2) pave arch designated to collect sils & other bout repair
B) firest sediment lade much
From entrace to swall or other posin O consider biosvale along western edge to tropical marine
Western edge to tropical
marine





200	Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration	Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair
	Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
	Land Conservation	Other

Subwater	shed: FRY	/ Compas	5			
Site Name	: Saga	Haven	Marina	FC-1		
Description	of Existing Con	ditions:				
	Panking	agen	aljove	varina s/vehic	le Spill	15.
	Lactud ves	e in	Pollut.	ion previous de cati	on pla	5 UNC
i i						




Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration	Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed:	Fry	(on pass	
Site Name:	Food	(enter [FC-3]	

Description of Existing Conditions:

- Address enosion and Flooding at existing channel adjacent to East End Boot Bark building	
adjacent to East End Boat Bank building. Reconstruct existing stonewall to expand the existing channel capacity	
· Stabilize existing channel to prevent erosian.	
· Stabilize existing channel to prevent ension. • Realign existing channel to prevent building foundary scow.	46
. Install sediment top at cultert willet for ease of maintena	nte
· Provide Vegetation management near harbor to address from impediment.	
Tristall a bioretention area at the eastern entrance to Food Center for water quality treatment.	



Path: H:VProjects/2011/11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Waps\ZFieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_FrydenhojCompassPoint_POIs_11084.mxd



Path: H:VProjects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_FrydenhojCompassPoint_POIs_11084.mxd

Date: 2/21/2012




☐ Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention	_
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair	
☐ Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge	
☐ Land Conservation	Other	

Subwatershed: Fry (own pass pt.
Site Name: Independent Boat yard [FC-4]
Description of Existing Conditions:
new owners are hard core about
i-vaccom paint chips, using tarps, etc
- Sediment tanks hear slip in progress - have
henley's pump it out
-> distributed 5/1874-5 - C - 1 - 10-10-10
I dust collection Vaccins for sanding Gottoms. In My used NO SANDING WITHOUT THOSE
- Mighty 05 22 100 311 311 50 11 100 1 170 312
* GER OUNTER COMMENTS
The second second of the second of the second of
Name of Marian Constant of the
a new of prior to entering property
with Bown of Collow Carin
j.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
dim Kelloz Menger of bontyard
, 3
54
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
38 10
For coning in at entrace - fuch havin? drap inlet.
saiset speed sung.
3 variables
-D DOT main voal drapinlet ; retrafit soulver
Enhalt closeful



☐ Stormwater Re		Pollution Prevention	
☐ Stream/Wetla	nd Restoration	Infrastructure Repair	
☐ Residential Ste	ewardship	Illicit Discharge	
☐ Land Conserva	ition	Other	+


Subwatershed: FPY / Come. P+/
Site Name: FC-5 GUT CROSSING-CULVERT BRT32
Description of Existing Conditions:
FC-4 Eliminate runoff from entering Independent Boat Yar Runoff currently flows west on Red Hook Boad and
into Independent via the driveway. Reported flooding occurs. Install a trench draw and gaved flume to capture road wholf.
Culvert and proposed practices for ease of mainentenance.
- Maintain existing culverts Remove sediment and debris.
· Provide vegetation management to clear obstructions. o(consider increasing culvert size to address flooding and rand overtapping.
· Stubilize exoston quilles leading to get.




Path: H:Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_FrydenhojCompassPoint_POIs_11084.mxd

Date: 2/21/2012

Bethround Sharons Budget Marke



User Corincisable /No chlerinether





Pollution Prevention
Infrastructure Repair
Illicit Discharge
Other

Subwatershed: FRY / CP
Site Name: BENNER BAY MARINA FC-7
Description of Existing Conditions:
in area near Stip where highest They suggested Disposal in a lined sit located on compan Pt. marine property.
See Jim Kellog notes.
Additional discussives with NDAA OPAR, ACOK Shortdise had to determine feasibility and benefit.

Anne Kitchell

From:

Anne Marie Hoffman [ahoffman@TNC.ORG]

ont:

Tuesday, January 29, 2013 7:21 PM

.o: Subject: Anne Kitchell Independent Boat Yard and Compass Point

Hey Anne-

FYI – Jim Kellogg, manager of Independent Boatyard supplied the following regarding 1. Remediating contaminated sediments and 2. limiting flooding/stormwater that sheet flows down from the light where my house is, heading west and ends up flowing into Independent Boat Yard. Including #2 in the Watershed Plan would be a good idea, if you agree with the concepts.

I also talked to him briefly about a rain garden in the Compass Point parking lot and he said that it is saltwater intrusion, not freshwater that floods the parking lot. Things that make you go hmmm...

Also, I know I owe you a photo!

Thanks, Anne Marie

From: jim kellogg [mailto:kelloggvi@yahoo.com]

Sent: Friday, January 25, 2013 11:13 AM

To: Anne Marie Hoffman Subject: Remediation

Ann Marie,

Regarding what we discussed today:


- (1) Remediation of TBT located off the IBY slipway. Propose removing all sediment containing the highest concentration of TBT and placing it in a plastic lined basin on adjacent property owned by Compass Point Marina where we would aerate it with a roots blower thru a grid of pvc pipe laid in the plastic lined basin until the TBT has broken down. Estimate a period of some months of blower operation.
- (2) Re runoff in front of IBY property, suggest some help with permitting would be helpful, and we would bear the cost of concrete swale across our entrance to divert runoff into the gut for settlement. Additionally, need cooperation of public works to correct the current crown in the road to facilitate diverting runoff from the hill to the gut it used to run into. Can be accomplished by creating in essence a 3 inch rise in the road surface over a distance of say 50 to 75 feet.

Regards Jim Kellogg



Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: FRY (COMPASS Site Name: COMPASS PT, SALT POWD FLG. Description of Existing Conditions: DANUARY UDDILING B 3 SITES RESHOOK SALT POWD CRSP SECOND FALSE ENTRAINE	
Description of Existing Conditions: DANUARY LODILING 3 5 1 TES	
JANUARY LOOKING 3 5 ITES	Site Name: COMPASS PT. SALT POWD FC-6
JANUARY LOOKING 3 5 ITES RESHOOK SALTPOND CRSP SECOND FALSE ENTRANCE	
RED HOOK SALT POND CRESS SECOND FALSE ENTRANCE	JANEARY 1 PDV 6 3 5 1765
	REDHOOK SALT POND CRSP SECOND FALSE ENMANCE
& L DATHORECTIFY AZRIALS HISTORICAL CONDITIONS	& L DATHORECTIFY AZRIALS HISTORICAL CONDITIONS
# 2 FIELD ASSESSMENT TO PRIORITIZE 3 SITES	4 127 전했다. 이번, 맛, 가는 그리는 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12
BATHYMETRIC TO ESTIMATE DREDGIAN COPPERTY	
CONTAMINANT SAMPLING - DREAMIC : INDREAMIC	
WATER MODELING - ECUSHTNG PRITE	
#3 IMPLEMENT TOP PRIORITY	23 IMPLEMENT TOP PRIORITY




Path: H:\Projects\2011\111084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_FrydenhojCompassPoint_PO\s_11084.mxd



Subwatershed: Frydenhos (Compass Pt.
Site Name: Compass Pt. Marina FC-8
Description of Existing Conditions:
Consider sofetal for expanding landscape areas in parking lot to provide shallow bios for Nutter field trentment. Would be a great highly visible location for deno project. Maybe could be finded by mitigate for in permitted grand parking lots (FC-9)





Date: 2/21/2012



0000	Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship Land Conservation		Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge
П	Land Conservation	X	Other

Subwatershed: Mounday Frydentos/comptes PT Site Name: WALLACOUR NEW PARKING LOTS
Site Name: YOUR VIEW PARKING LOTS
Description of Existing Conditions:
Enforcement action follow-up.
Two new parking lots cut into hillside -agrand/stone lots.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

	1 204.4	255 730	902 (V N - 1		
1 * K	1 1				
	1 1 1 1 1 1		(V)		
1					_
	i i				
	1				
dditional Note	s and/or Sket	ch Information:			
ite Priority:	□ Love it	☐ Has Potentia	I □ Not Likely	Enforcement Needed	



Stormwater Retrofit		Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration		Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship		Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	M	Other

Subwatershed: NAZARETH
Site Name: DOLPHINGOVE SECRET HARGON ESTATES Description of Existing Conditions: (NZ-1) (NZ-Z)
Now development projects here need to engine full compliance in ESC and other dev. yelf vlattons. Oise to carter at STEAR carsidar vervices aformulator facilities to Provide Wa treatment for 1.25
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:





Stormwater Retrofit Stream/Wetland Restoration	Pollution Prevention Infrastructure Repair	
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge	
Land Conservation	Other	

Subwatershed: NAZARETH BAY	
Site Name: SECLET HARBOR BEESON	
Description of Existing Conditions:	
potential for a number of biorefution to be installed to treat parking lot vuneral (novin end of apper 10+ noew many britains).	ad
Tow priority	


Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

SECRET HARBOUR

SAINT THOMAS USVI

HARVEY DUNBAR General Manager

6280 Estate Nazareth . St. Thomas . US Virgin Islands 00802–1104 (340) 775–6550 . Fax (340) 775–1501 . Reservations 800–524–2250 hdunbar@chrco.com





Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: Cowret	
Site Name: ANCHORAGE CB-1	
Description of Existing Conditions:	
Drainage above yacht Club Ancorage come down an causer flooding by the boothouse at yacht Club. Drain hints was created to divert onto Ancora property northwest of termis courts - Consider Creating small constructed wet and or shallow bid at in grany area at anchorage and fremalize drainage from yach to be discreted into the retrafit. * good & cat or for water shed sign	t club

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:


o Elim	inate Cul	west from	ach+ Qub	leading to Anche	rage.
	4 Runa	SF should	he Managed	leading to Ancho	vidue 1
	P noo	esty			
· Cosid	es a l	ato swale	type system	m on Yacht Club	o lot
	on north	side of exis	ting Concrete	wall. Vegetation	, remove
	realcopmen	of will be o	earned Bon	- wall. Vegetation	we have
	La heare la	cotte slig	holy mosth.		
· Inc	+ 11 a b	abre tention	aves a c	r constructed w	etland
1	100 SPETEN	on System	an Anchor	allo property to	M97990
0	nd Lieat	their site	wast oran	ye property to	at bear
4	VIG NOT	141613 3	Taylor, b.		
· Tasih	11 0500	and Const	ax led wet	-land Ex Steven S	outh of
10.	inte care	to so Ans	learne site	to treet your	AF Ran
the	1115 - 401	on half of	the somethy		
1-10	200114	ow last of	LATE PLEASE ISE		
!!!! I NI - 4	d / Cl	tab Informations			
litional Not	es and/or Ske	tch Information:			
				9	
				,	
e Priority:	□ Love it	☐ Has Potentia	□ Not Likely	□ Enforcement Nee	ما معالم




177 (179)	Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
	Stream/Wetland Restoration Residential Stewardship	Infrastructure Repair Illicit Discharge
	Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: Cow per Bay
Site Name: Youth Club [B-2]
Description of Existing Conditions:
water comes down from condo parking let and
from yourd. Satvates fenn's court and area
actor could no longer drain-
acter could no longer drain-
There used to be a salt pond in graned
There used to be a salt good in graned area adjacent to tennis work at anchorage
yout Club installed drain pipe, but it
gets blocked by Anewege. French drain
Youcht Club installed drain pipe, but it gets blocked by Anconge. French drain of stern in discharge on beach.
11 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
which shin 15 pumped up hill.
Tohien stren of proped uphill.

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:





Date: 2/21/2012

jects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_CowpetE

Path: 1



Path: H:Projects12011111084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interest\120221_CowpetBay_PO\s_11084.mxd




☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration ☐ Infrasti	on Prevention ructure Repair ischarge
---	---

Subwatersh	ed: COWPET	BAY	
	a- a I		(10 2)

Description of Existing Conditions:
Manager mentioned no 155 veste diainers high dersity condo are, no Blues parking to to are used for some residente vehicle maintenance.
hich dessite condo are as Rusel
Odarking 1 (b and West of Bours
proposed to the act of the some runidate
Venicae maintenance,
prinarily to rock channels" on sides of
oxinarile to rock char they an a de D
The state of the voice columners on sives of
property
Some room in parking lot islands to demo a raingarden - or povous pavers in parking spalls; however this is a
demo a vainaballa - or parais acres
in Placking Shill and the
My pavely) power 1015/55
1011
1000 Priority

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:





Date: 2/21/2012



Stormwater Retrofit	☐ Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration☐ Residential Stewardship☐ Land Conservation	☐ Infrastructure Repair ☐ Illicit Discharge ☐ Other



Path: H:IProjects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interes\1120221_CowpetBay_PO\s_11084.mxd





Date: 2/21/2012

Path: H:Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interest\120221_GreatBay_PO\s_11084.mxd



☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration ☐ Infr	astructure Repair
	astructure nepair
☐ Residential Stewardship ☐ Illic	it Discharge
☐ Land Conservation ☐ Oth	er

Subwatershed: Compet Bay
Site Name: Cowper Bay East (CB-5)
Description of Existing Conditions:
"Contact - Man La Plince
- WOUTP (puryage Plant) Services All condos
- discharges approx. 10 ypm (or 14400 gar/day)
Ro facility process 20 gpm of provisioner
· 4 drinking water starding cisterns
4 Roof rings kept in cisterns on Ro product and
all treated up chronine - 9 cistems total
, www used for migation + total Mushing
· Beech nonshmen (sand) regit once for year to about 200 tons requested amounty
to about 200 tons requested annually
4 comes from TNT? (6 ampay from Bernnes)
9AII runoff flows down hill to Elysian Beaux Report
and is discharged at main outfall near Elysian Restruction
· Ro discharge near restaurant (selphotis)
montp agast across road from Ritz Plant. Some location as
Elysian wwtp.
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:

STEER Watersheds Assessment and Planning Project - Field Form

	Description of Proposed Project: 6x: stry concition
	Contactor De Kichler (CM) & Day Gete (Bry) is
	* Ritz's a Blue Flag rated report
	· CYWTP
	· Construct a bioretentian area to treat rough from
	· Install a curbent of diversion structure to redirect
	world from parking lot who bio area. Somethy a trench daw directs flans away from
	Passible opportunities for levement removal at eastern of
/	Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:
	Proposed Bronedienation due
	(Terracing may be necessary due to gradient)
_	
_	
	Priving Lane
	Priving Lane
	Priving Lane French Priving Lane
	Proposed diversion structure Priving Lane French Order Parking
	Proposed diversion structure Priving Lane French Order Parking





Son vor Deo Son And Aboute Dishokaly & Scan frances was the last of the Cashing of School frances was the last of the 4400 galland

(1)



П	Stormwater Retrofit	П	Pollution Prevention	_
ш	Stream/Wetland Restoration		Infrastructure Repair	
	Residential Stewardship		Illicit Discharge	
	Land Conservation		Other	

Subwatershed: Great Bony
P 7 C 1
Site Name: 1 Carlton & GB-Z
Description of Existing Conditions:
"Controlly May 1 - / Carol D
· Lister Nichols (Asst. Eng); Didley - [Manager of Pitz is a Blue Play resort Treeted RO water is used for taler flighing Roof anoly is not used - flows overland to we manager
· Pitz is a Blue Play resort
. Tree+ed Ro water is used for tales flything
"Roof anoly is not used - flows overland to west and
" BESTURENT OFTEN TIOOS OUT WHEN WETTING OVERTORS
is hearly every year - is also an issue when
heads existen 18 also an issue when
pond overtops - no ex pond outlet
Engineers were greationsly dis cussing adding an outled to
the westgard to Stop wed topping
they are uncerned that beach ension may result
the western's to Stop over topping adding an autlet to the western's to Stop over topping the they are concerned that beach excion may result if an outlet (Pipe) is installed on the beach
Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information: Lestor Cognitioning Dept Superiors
Dudley X 8500 - Ritz Main # ~ Moragor ww/wy
140,000 g Pd capacity - Peak season 190%
Ro plant - clarities to 500 ppm conducitivity
Two Storage Cisterns for RO Intake
Me www Effect childrinated of and used strictly for arrivation
the www Effect childrincoled by and used strictly for arrightion to firigation not supplemented
· 2 Ponds hethords receive all sands / flood yearly (evertop)
, WNBlad runs both hatel and condus

" (Onsi	der Dio	neventron qui	60 1424411	44047	the
yp.	in our Vi	no lat is the	n 6 .		
14	4 Jetan	nds will need	d to be a	onverted for	n raised
	hed	s to de ores	sions		
	4 Sionific	cost tree a	nd vegetat	to removal	would be
	ne	cont tree a	or bio inst	ellations.	
· Toster	u colve	rt at south	neast parkly	y lot corne	r/driver
	inthon to	prevent pa	vernent de	teoration.	
" Exist	na hetland	retrolit -	see site	Description	memo.
)				
		mand As an account of the second seco			
lditional Note					
	£.				
	E.				
	E E				
	E V				
te Priority:		☐ Has Potential	□ Not Likely	□ Enforcemen	t Needed

GB-2. The Ritz-Carlton Resort — Drainage Improvements

Site Description

The Ritz-Carlton Resort is located in the Great Bay subwatershed. It lies on an approximate 15 acre beach-front lot. The primary resort facilities such as the lobby, guest rooms, and beach-front restaurant are centrally located around an interior wetland. The majority of the runoff from the immediate surrounding area discharges into this wetland. Ritz-Carlton employees have reported that during large storm events, or about 1-2 times per year, the capacity of the wetland to manage stormwater is exceeded. When this occurs, the wetland overtops and floods the downgradient restaurant. Field investigations suggest that the wetland lacks a primary outlet structure or pipe. Therefore, when the approximate 3-4 feet of storage is exceeded, water spills over the wetland embankment and flows onto and underneath a nearby footpath. Since the restaurant is below the footpath, runoff can easily flow into it.

The northeastern portion of the resort has a small denention pond/constructed wetland and a few Stormceptor systems, which collect parking lot runoff and discharge to to Muller Bay (outside the watershed).

Proposed Concepts

Ritz-Carlton managers were receptive to possible retrofits that would help to reduce the number of instances the restaurant was flooded and consequently closed. To solve this problem, an outlet structure could be installed within the wetland to manage most storm events. Discharges would then flow through an outlet pipe adjacent to the restaurant and ultimately discharge on the beach. Utilizing an outlet structure over a culvert helps to reduce susceptibility to clogging. In addition to this retrofit, opportunities for flow reduction to the

wetland should be considered. Options may include up-gradient recharge, attenuation, and/or rainwater harvesting.

Practice Sizing/Design Considerations

The wetland outlet structure should be sized to accommodate flows for up to the 25-year recurrence interval storm event. The total drainage area to the site is approximately 10.5 acres with 35% impervious surface. The wetland currently offers about 5,000 cubic feet of volume for attenuation. A primary design consideration for this project is where to locate the proposed outfall. It will have to be placed in a location that is effective for drainage and will not contribute to beach erosion. Resort managers are also likely to want it placed in a hidden location, away from beach goers.

Next steps

- Complete a topographic survey of the area. Determine if there are any site utility conflicts;
- Contact property owner to gauge project interest, discuss potential funding, and provide input on the design;
- Map existing resource area boundaries and buffers; and
- Check maintenance status of existing BMPs on site to ensure that they are functioning properly and not impacting existing wetlands.

Site ID	Drainage Area (ac)	% Impervious	Design Storm (yrs)	Practice Volume Required (sf)*	Practice Volume Available (cf)*
GB-2	10.5	35	25	5,100	4,900

^{*}Design Treatment Volume: Tv (cf) = (1.25")(I)/12; I = impervious area (sf)

^{*}Practice Area Available is estimated from available mapping. Actual practice area may be adjusted as needed during pre-construction.










^{*}Practice Area Required is calculated based on practice-specific design assumptions.



Path: H:IProjects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_GreatBay_POIs_11084.mxd



Path: H:VProjects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interest\120221_GreatBay_POIs_11084.mxd




Path: H:Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interest\120221_GreatBay_PO\s_11084.mxd

GM Kuhler
- Bluefleg Resort
- Cisterns
- 110,000 ged hotel/condo
- irrigation
- Ro plant
- Potable use/totets

•

6 6



Ols 11084.mxd Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interest\120221_GreatBay_




Path: H:Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\GIS\Maps\2Field\Maps\Points_Interest\120221_GreatBay_PO\s_11084.mxd



☐ Stormwater Retrofit	Pollution Prevention
☐ Stream/Wetland Restoration	Infrastructure Repair
☐ Residential Stewardship	Illicit Discharge
Land Conservation	Other

Subwatershed: CREAT BAY
Site Name: CABRITA PT. SALT POND (-B-3)
Description of Existing Conditions:
Called out in DPW wethands Conservator
flan - ove of last remaining
galt ponds that hash't been impacted
Onvertiget land acquisition options
Dapply Wil Critaria for non dow,
(3) enforce addinal buffer reg.

Additional Notes and/or Sketch Information:



Path: H:\Projects\2011\11084 NOAA St. Thomas\G\S\Maps\2FieldMaps\Points_Interest\120221_GreatBay_PO\s_11084.mxd